DEVELOPMENT AND USE OF PROFESSIONAL LEARNING SCHEME TO IMPROVE TEACHERS' COMPETENCE AND STUDENTS' ACHIEVEMENT IN MATHEMATICS IN ABEOKUTA, OGUN STATE

BY

BOLAJI AJIBOLA, POPOOLA (Matric. No. 183182) [B.Sc. (Ed.)(OAU), MBA (Maiduguri), M.Sc. (FUNAAB)]

A thesis in the International Centre for Educational Evaluation (ICEE) submitted to the Institute of Education In partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of

> DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY of the UNIVERSITY OF IBADAN

> > FEBRUARY, 2020

i

ABSTRACT

Over the years, examination bodies have observed low achievement in demanding mathematical questions such as mensuration, trigonometric-graph and construction. Past studies investigating the effect of teaching strategies on enhancing students' achievement in mMathematics suggest that teaching competence is low among teachers. This study therefore developed a Professional Learning Scheme (PLS)into two packages: the Professional Learning Scheme with Enhanced Supportive Skill (PLS+ESS) and Professional Learning Scheme Only (PLS ONLY). The packages were tried on teachers after assessing the level of their teaching competence. Moderating effects of teachers' dispositionand <u>years-of</u> teaching were also examined.

The study wasanchored to Social Cognitive Theory. It adopted descriptive and pretest-posttest control group quasi-experimental designs. Thirty schools from two Local Government Areasin Abeokuta metropolis were randomly selected with 15 schools from each from each LGA, with 15schools from each LGA. Ten selected selected schools were assigned to each of the two experimental groups and one control group. All the SSS1teachers (33) and their students (1552) participated in the study. The PLS was administered on teachers who used it to teach students concurrently for eight weeks. The instruments used were the The instrumentsedwere the Professional Learning Scheme Content Essential Scale (CVI=0.91), Teachers' Competence Observational Tool (π =0.73), Teacher Disposition Scale (r=0.61) and Student Mathematics Achievement Test (KR-20=0.83). DData were subjected to descriptive statistics and analysis of covariance at 0.05 level of significance.

Content validity indices of the components of the PLS ranged between 0.53 and 0.99. There was a significant main effect of treatment on teachers' competence ($F_{(2, 26)}=32.51$, partial $\eta^2=0.71$) and students' mathematics achievement ($F_{(2, 1535)}=51.52$, partial $\eta^2=0.63$). Teachers in PLS ONLY had the highest mean competence score (82.19), followed by PLS+ESS (81.80) and the control (39.60) groups. Students in PLS ONLY had the highest mean achievement score (21.26), followed by PLS+ESS (18.32) and the control (16.02). There was a significant main effect of the theteachers' years of teaching ($F_{(2,1535)}=7.60$, partial $\eta^2=0.01$) and disposition ($F_{(2,1535)}=2.21$, partial $\eta^2=0.001$) on students' mathematics achievement. Teachers with high years of teaching had the highest students' achievement score (20.09), followed by moderate (18.15) and low

Comment [C1]: Truism should be avoided Comment [C2]: Poor, since it doesn't really say much

Comment [C3]: Make it clear that there are 30 schools

Comment [C4]: It is not clear or obvious how many groups were involved. Distinguish them.

Comment [C5]: As stated it is not clear why 33 teachers should emerge out of 30 shcools or where 1552 students emerged from.

Comment [C6]: Incomplete. You may use only this word when speaking or after stating it in full. "disposition towards...

years of teaching-years of teaching (18.01). The students taught by teachers with "high" disposition had higher achievement score (18.83) relative to those taught by teachers with "low" disposition (18.58). Teachers' disposition and years of teaching had no significant effect on teachers' competence. The two-way interaction effects of treatment and years of teaching ($F_{(4,1535)}=6.02$, partial $\eta^2=0.02$), treatment and disposition ($F_{(2, 1535)}=5.85$, partial $\eta^2=0.01$) as well as years of teaching and disposition ($F_{(2, 1535)}=9.82$, partial $\eta^2=0.01$) were significant on students' mathematics achievement. Apparently, Tthe provision of ESS became a distraction tolimited teachers's input, explaining why PLS ONLY recorded the highest achievement score. The three-way interaction effect of treatment, years of teaching and disposition was significant on students' mathematics achievement ($F_{(2,1535)}=25.40$, partial $\eta^2=0.03$).

The Professional Learning Scheme package designed improved the teachers' competence and students' achievement in <u>M</u>mathematics. Mathematics teachersare, therefore, encouraged to adopt the Professional Learning Scheme Only to improve their competence in order to produce students with better achievement in <u>M</u>mathematics.

Keywords: Professional learning scheme, Students' achievement in mathematics, Mathematics teachers' competence.

Word count: 491

DEDICATION

To God Almighty

To My Late Parents

- Alhaji Kareem Adedoyin

and

- Mrs Wosilatu Aduke Adedoyin

To all the preachers of the truth

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I give God all the glory, for His unfailing mercies and faithfulness received through His Only Son, my Lord Jesus, in the course of this study.

Deep appreciation goes to my supervisor, Dr. J. O. Adeleke, who graciously availed me the benefit of his great intellectual endowment which helped in no small way to ensures the successful completion of this project. I will always remain grateful to you, sir, for many insightful comments and suggestions that improved the work.

To all my lecturers in the Institute; Prof. F. V. Folajogun, Prof. E. A. Okwilagwe, Prof. G. A. Adewale, Prof. J. A. Adegbile and Prof. M. N. Odinko, Dr. B. A. Adegoke, Dr. J. A. Abijo, Dr. M. Metibemu, Dr. I. O. Jinadu, Dr. S. F. Akorede, Dr. E. O. Babatunde, Dr. F. O. Ibode, Dr. (Mrs.) Omole and Dr. Oladele. I am really grateful for all your support and contributions.

Sincere gratitude to all my colleagues in the Institute. I thank Dr. Nathan Olaniyan, Mrs. Arowojolu, Mrs. Deborah Fajimi, Mrs. R. Lawal, Mr. Oladimeji Seyi, Dr. Afeez Jinadu, Dr. Segun Ojetunde and my friend and brother, Mr. Johnson Olabode. God bless you all.

I want to appreciate all my research assistants for the un-relenting efforts to ensure the fieldwork was a success. Worthy of mention are Mr. Johnson Olabode, Phillip Oklu, Yinka Sobande, Adebori Adebayo, Sola Fatoki and Mosaku Taiwo. I equally appreciate the staff of the Research Unit, Ogun State Ministry of Education and those of the Teaching Service Commission for the opportunity given to me to train the teachers of senior secondary schools for my fieldwork.

My gratitude goes to my co-workers in the Department of Mathematics, FCE, Abeokuta for their prayers and support. They are Mr. I. A. Awe, Dr. S. O. Ogunrinade, Dr. C. A. Akintade, Mr. B. J. Akinbo, Mrs. F. A. Olaore, Mrs. O. V. Adeaga and Oriola, B. M. I also thank my siblings – Pastor and Mrs. Aderemi Adedoyin, Mr. and Mrs. Mojisola Peter Adewale.

Finally, to my dear spouse, Prof. A. R. Popoola, there is no gainsaying that God has placed you there for me in terms of everything. Your assistance is inestimable as well as the prayers and understanding of our children.

CERTIFICATION

I certify that POPOOLA, BOLAJI AJIBOLA has fulfilled all the requirements for the award of the PhD in Education.

This thesis is as a result of the research work carried out by her during the course of her PhD degree in the Institute of Education, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria.

Supervisor Dr. J. O. Adeleke

Date

Dr. J. O. Adeleke B. Ed. (Ilorin), M. Ed. (UI), PhD (UI)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Page |
|------|
| i |
| ii |
| iv |
| v |
| vii |
| viii |
| xiii |
| xv |
| xvi |
| xvii |
| |

CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

| 1.1 | Background to the Problem | 1 |
|-----|---------------------------------|----|
| 1.2 | Statement of the Problem | 15 |
| 1.3 | Research Questions | 16 |
| 1.4 | Hypotheses | 17 |
| 1.5 | Scope of the Study | 17 |
| 1.6 | Significance of the Study | 17 |
| 1.7 | Operational Definition of Terms | 18 |

CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW

| 01111 | | | | |
|-------|------------------------|---|----|--|
| 2.1 | Theoretical Background | | | |
| | 2.1.1 | Behaviourism Theory | 22 | |
| | 2.1.2 | Social Cognitive Theory | 23 | |
| | 2.1.3 | Constructivism Theory | 25 | |
| | 2.1.4 | Attitudinal Theory, Components and Formation of Disposition | 27 | |
| 2.2 | Conce | ptual Framework | 29 | |

| 2.3 | Conceptual Review | | | 32 |
|--------|---|------------------|---------|-------|
| | 2.3.1 Transformed Teaching Methods and Practices for Improved Teacher | | | hers' |
| | Output | | | 32 |
| | 2.3.2 The Unique Circumstance and Substance | e of Expert I | earning | and |
| | Improvement | | | 40 |
| | 2.3.3 The Idea of Expert Learning Plan in Post P | rimary Schools | | 41 |
| | 2.3.4 Proficient Learning Plan: Instructive Theor | y and History | | 42 |
| | 2.3.5 Characteristics of Professional Learning Sch | neme | | 44 |
| | 2.3.6 Professional Learning Scheme: A Model for | r a Change | | 47 |
| | 2.3.7 Qualities of Effective PLS in Post Primary Se | chools | 47 | |
| | 2.3.8 The Idea of PLS in Post Primary Schools | | | 48 |
| | 2.3.9 The Focal Points of PLS in Secondary School | S | | 48 |
| 2.3.10 | 0 The Concept of Teachers' Competence | | 49 | |
| | 2.3.11Concept of Teachers' Disposition | | | 51 |
| | 2.3.11.1 Disposition towards Science | | | 54 |
| | 2.3.11.2 Teachers' Disposition to Mather | natics Teaching | | 56 |
| | 2.3.12 Possible Setbacks of Professional Learning | Scheme | | 56 |
| | 2.3.13 Combination of Normal Practices for Fruit | ful Learning Scl | neme | 58 |
| | 2.3.14 Indicators for Proficient Instructors and Pro | ocedures for | | |
| | Quality Education | | | 59 |
| | 2.3.15 Assessing the Adequacy of the Learning S | cheme | | 60 |
| 2.4 | Empirical Review | | | 61 |
| 2.4.1 | Professional Learning Scheme and Students' Learn | ing Outcome | 61 | |
| 2.4.2 | Teachers' Level of Teaching Experience and Stude | nts' | | |
| Achie | evement | 63 | | |
| | 2.4.3 Teachers' Disposition to Mathematics Teach | ing and Studen | ts' | |
| Achie | evement | | | 66 |
| | 2.4.4 Professional Learning and Teachers' Compe | tence | | 67 |
| 2.4.5 | Teachers' Years of Teaching Experience and Teach | iers' | | |
| | Competence | | 69 | |
| 2.5 | Appraisal of Literature | | | 70 |
| | | | | |
| CHAI | PTER THREE : METHODOLOGY | | | |

3.1 Research Design

73

| 3.2 | Varial | ples of the Study | 73 |
|-----|--------|--|----|
| | 3.2.1 | Independent Variables | 75 |
| | 3.2.2 | Moderating Variables | 75 |
| | 3.2.3 | Dependent Variables | 76 |
| 3.3 | Popul | ation | 76 |
| 3.4 | Samp | le and Sampling Procedure | 76 |
| 3.5 | Instru | mentation | 77 |
| | 3.5.1 | Teachers' Competence Observational Tool | 77 |
| | 3.5.2 | Teachers Disposition to Teaching Mathematics Questionnaire | 78 |
| | 3.5.3 | Students' Mathematics Achievement Test | 78 |
| 3.6 | Proce | dure for the Treatments | 81 |
| | 3.6.1 | Experimental Group I: Professional Learning Scheme with | |
| | | Enhanced Supportive Skill | 81 |
| | 3.6.2 | Experimental Group II: Professional Learning Scheme only | 82 |
| | 3.6.3 | Procedure for Experimental Groups I and II | 82 |
| | 3.6.4 | Participants' Activities | 82 |
| | 3.6.5 | Unsupported Group | 83 |
| | 3.6.6 | Additional Roles for the Researcher | 83 |
| 3.7 | Data (| Collection Procedure | 84 |
| 3.8 | Data A | Analysis Procedure | 84 |

CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

| 4.1 | Development of Professional Learning Scheme | | |
|--|--|--|-----|
| | 4.1.1 | Research Question 1: How essential are the components of the | |
| | profes | sional learning scheme for the training of mathematics teachers? | 85 |
| | 4.1.2 | Research Question 2: What is the pattern of teachers' scores in | |
| | the ide | entified difficult topics in mathematics? | 87 |
| | 4.1.3 | Research Question 3: Will the activities within the scheme | |
| | enhan | ce teaching skills among the teachers? | 89 |
| 4.1.4 Research Question 4: How correlated are the scores of selection to | | | est |
| | with tl | ne teaching skill of the teachers? | 92 |
| | 4.2 | Testing of the Hypotheses | 94 |
| | 4.2.1 Hypothesis 1: There is no significant main effect of treatment and | | |
| | dispos | ition on teachers' competence in teaching difficult topics in | |
| | | | |

| | mather | matics | 94 |
|-----|---------|--|-----|
| | 4.2.2 | Hypothesis 2: There is no significant main effect of treatment, | |
| | teache | rs' years of experience and disposition on students' achievement in | |
| | difficu | It topics in mathematics | 97 |
| | 4.2.3 | Hypothesis 3: There is no significant first order interaction effect | |
| | of trea | tment and disposition on teachers' competence to teach difficult | |
| | topics | in mathematics | 103 |
| | 4.2.4 | Hypothesis 4: There is no significant first order interaction effect | |
| | of trea | tment, teachers' years of teaching experience and disposition on | |
| | studen | ts' achievement in difficult subject in mathematics | 103 |
| | 4.2.5 | Hypothesis 5: There is no significant second order interaction | |
| | effect | of treatment, teachers' years of experience and disposition on | |
| | studen | ts' achievement in difficult topics in mathematics | 110 |
| 4.3 | Discus | sion of Results | 113 |
| | 4.3.1 | The pattern of teachers' scores in identified difficult | |
| | topics | in mathematics | 113 |
| | 4.3.2 | The Effect of Activities within the Professional Learning | |
| | Schem | e on teachers' teaching skills | 115 |
| | 4.3.3 | The Correlation of Selection Scores and Teaching Skills of | |
| | Teache | ers | 115 |
| | 4.3.4 | Main Effect of Professional Learning Scheme and Disposition | |
| | on Tea | chers' Competence in teaching Difficult Topics in Mathematics | 116 |
| | 4.3.5 | Main Effect of Professional Learning Scheme, Teachers' | |
| | Years | of Teaching Experience and Disposition on Students' Achievement | |
| | in Diff | icult Topics in Mathematics | 118 |
| | 4.3.6 | First Order Interaction Effect of Professional Learning Scheme | |
| | and Di | sposition on Teachers' Competence in teaching Difficult Topics | |
| | in Mat | hematics | 119 |
| | 4.3.7 | First Order Interaction Effect of Professional Learning Scheme, | |
| | Teache | ers' Teaching Experience and Disposition on Students' Achievemen | t |
| | in Diff | icult Topics in Mathematics | 121 |
| | 4.3.8 | Second Order Interaction Effect of Professional Learning | |
| | Schem | e, Teachers' Teaching Experience and Disposition on Students' | |

Achievement in Difficult Topics in Mathematics 122

CHAPTER FIVE: SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

| APPE | NDICES | 152 |
|------|----------------------------------|-----|
| REFE | REFERENCES | |
| 5.7 | Contribution to Knowledge | 127 |
| 5.6 | Benefits of the Study | 126 |
| 5.5 | Limitations of the Study | 126 |
| 5.4 | Suggestions for Further Studies | 126 |
| 5.3 | Conclusion | 125 |
| 5.2 | Implications and Recommendations | 123 |
| 5.1 | Summary of the Findings | 123 |

LIST OF TABLES

| TABI | LE TITLE PAGE | |
|--------|---|----|
| 1.1 | Performances of students in May/June WASSCE in | |
| | General Mathematics in Ogun State, 2015 - 2018 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Performances of students in May/June WASSCE in | |
| | General Mathematics in Nigeria, 2006 - 2017 | 5 |
| 1.3 | Candidates' areas of weakness in Mathematics May/June | |
| | Examinations as contained in WAEC Chief Examiners' Reports, | |
| | 2009–2018 | 7 |
| 1.4 | Students' enrolment in WASSCE Mathematics, Further | |
| | Mathematics and Technical Drawing, 2010 - 2017 | 9 |
| 3.1 | A 3 x 3 x 2 Factorial Design | 75 |
| 3.2 | Sampling profile | 76 |
| 3.3 | Table of Specification | 80 |
| 4.1 | Analysis of the essential Components of PLS for Training | |
| | of Mathematics Teachers | 86 |
| 4.2 | Pattern of Teachers' Scores in Identified Difficult Topics in | |
| | Mathematics | 87 |
| 4.3 | Change in Teachers' Teaching Skills Before and After | |
| | Engagement in Professional Learning Scheme Training | 90 |
| 4.4Int | er-correlation Matrix between Teachers' Teaching Skills | |
| | (Before and After) and Selection Score for the Engagement in | |
| | Professional Learning Scheme Training | 93 |
| 4.5 | Main Effect of Professional Learning Scheme and Disposition | |
| | on Teachers' Competence in teaching Difficult Topics in | |
| | Mathematics | 94 |
| 4.5a | Estimated Marginal Means for Post-Competence Score of | |
| | DifferentProfessional Learning Group | 95 |
| 4.5b | Post Hoc Multiple Comparisons of Post-Competence Scores by | |

| Treatment Gro | oup 96 | |
|---------------|---|------|
| 4.5c | Marginal Mean Estimation of Teachers Disposition on Teachers | |
| Competence | 97 | |
| 4.6Main Effe | ct of Professional Learning Scheme, Teachers' Teaching | |
| Experience a | nd Disposition on Students' Achievement in Difficult | |
| Topics in Ma | thematics 98 | |
| 4.6a | Estimated Marginal Means for Post-Score Achievement Test of | |
| | DifferentProfessional Learning Group | 99 |
| 4.6b | Multiple comparison of Post-Score of Students' Achievement Tes | t by |
| Treatm | nent Group 100 | |
| 4.6c | Estimate of Marginal Means for Different Years of Experience | 101 |
| 4.6d | Post Hoc Pairwise Multiple Comparisons of Difference in Means | 101 |
| 4.6e | Estimate of Marginal Means for disposition | 102 |
| 4.6f | Pairwise Comparison for the Mean Difference of Students' Scores | 5 |
| | acrossLevel of Teachers' Disposition 102 | |
| 4.7a | Pairwise Multiple Comparison of Treatment and Year of | |
| | Teaching Experience on Students Achievement | 104 |
| 4.7b | Pairwise Multiple comparison of Means for Treatment and | |
| | Teacher Disposition | 105 |
| 4.7c | Multiple Comparison of Means for the Interaction Effect of | |
| | Disposition and experience on students' achievement in | |
| | Mathematics | 108 |
| 4.8a | Multiple Comparison of Means for the Interaction Effect of | |
| | Treatment, Experience and Disposition on Mathematics | |
| | Achievement | 111 |

xiv

LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE | TITLE | PAGE |
|---------------|--|---------|
| 2.1 | A conceptual model of Professional Learning Scheme | 31 |
| 1 | Pattern of teachers' scores in the identified difficult topics i | n |
| | mathematics | 89 |
| 2 Differentia | l effect of professional learning training scheme on | |
| teachers' com | ppetence 91 | |
| 4.7a | Graph of interaction effects between treatment and teachers | , |
| | years of teaching experience on students' achievement in | |
| | mathematics post-test | 105 |
| 4.7b | Graph of interaction effects between treatment and teachers | , |
| | disposition on students' achievement | 107 |
| 4.7c | Graph of interaction effects between teachers' years of expe | erience |
| | and teachers' disposition on students' achievement in | |
| | mathematics | 109 |
| 4.8a | Graph of interaction effects of treatment, experience and | |
| | disposition on students' achievement in mathematics | 112 |

LIST OF APPENDICES

| | | PAGE |
|------------------|--|------|
| APPENDIX I | Pre Assessment for SS1 Students | 152 |
| APPENDIX II | Selection Test Questions for Teachers Attending the | |
| | Workshop | 162 |
| APPENDIX IIITe | aching Engagement Disposition of Secondary School | |
| Mathematics Teac | chers 166 | |
| APPENDIX IV | Teachers' Competence Observational Tool | 169 |
| APPENDIX V | Handbook on Professional Learning Scheme | 175 |
| APPENDIX VI | Project Pictures | 199 |
| APPENDIX VII | Project Approval Letter from Ogun State Ministry of | |
| | Ministry of Education, Science and Technology | 206 |
| APPENDIX VIII | Project Approval Letter from Teaching Service Commission | on |
| | Ogun State | 207 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| AAAS | - American Association for the Advancement of Science |
|--------|---|
| ESS | - Enhanced Supportive Skill |
| INTASC | - Interstate New Tri- Assessment and Support Consortium |
| MDG | - Millennium Development Goal |
| NCLB | - No Child Left Behind |
| NCTE | - National Council for Teachers Education (NCTE) |
| NERC | - National Educational Research Council |
| NRC | - National Research Council |
| NSDC | - National Staff Development Council |
| PLG | - Professional Learning Group |
| PLS | - Professional Learning Scheme |
| SEDL | - South West Educational Development Laboratory |
| SSSCE | - Senior Secondary School Certificate Examination |
| TC | - Teacher's Competence |