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#### Abstract

Striga hermonthica $(\mathrm{SH})$ is a parasitic weed that attacks and significantly reduces the yield of maize in Africa. The genetic interactions responsible for resistance or susceptibility of hosts to the parasite and the genetic differentiation that exists between and within SH populations are not fully known. This study investigated the genetic diversity of SH populations in the largest maize producers in Sub-Saharan Africa (Kenya and Nigeria) and; the genetic responses of a susceptible (5057) and a resistant (ZD05) maize genotype to SH infestation.

The SH plants were collected from farms across western Kenya (KSH) and northern Nigeria (NSH) in October 2012 and authenticated at the Department of Botany, University of Ibadan (UIH-22774). The plants ( $\mathrm{n}=1029$ ) were then genotyped with 1576 single nucleotide polymorphism markers and indices of genetic diversity [effective alleles $\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)$, Shannon's information index $(\mathrm{I})$, expected $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)$ and observed heterozygosity $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}}\right)$ ] were determined. Population structure and fixation index $\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{st}}\right)$, were assessed to identify genetic differentiation between and within KSH and NSH populations. Two maize varieties ( 5057 and ZD05) were divided into four groups of nine plants each and planted in rhizotrons (root observation chambers). Seven days after planting, three groups of each maize genotype were infested with pre-germinated SH and the fourth was used as uninfested control. Root tissue was taken at 3, 9 and 22 days post infestation (DPI) and total ribonucleic acid (RNA) was extracted using standard method. The root transcriptome was sequenced using next-generation sequencing. Gene expression levels of secondary metabolism, defence, and antiapoptotic genes were determined by profiling the messenger RNA levels and comparing the $\log _{2}$ fold-change (LFC) between the infested and uninfested maize plants and between the genotypes. Data were analysed using two-way ANOVA at $\alpha_{0.05}$.


The two populations of SH displayed high levels of genetic diversity. KSH showed higher levels $(\mathrm{Ne}=1.41 \pm 0.01, \mathrm{I}=0.38 \pm 0.01, \mathrm{Ho}=0.28, \mathrm{He}=0.25 \pm 0.0)$ than NSH
$\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}=1.41 \pm 0.01, \quad \mathrm{I}=0.332 \pm 0.01, \quad \mathrm{H}_{0}=0.21, \quad \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}}=0.20 \pm 0.00\right)$. Significant genetic differentiation ( $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{st}}=0.15$ ) was observed between the two populations and between three subpopulations detected within the NSH population ( $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{st}}=0.053$ ). At 3DPI, secondary metabolism and defence genes, benzoxazineless 1 ( $\mathrm{LFC}=2.5$ ) and chalcone synthase 2 ( $\mathrm{LFC}=3.2$ ), were upregulated in ZD05, while in 5057, antiapoptotic genes, bax inhibitorl (LFC=1.4) and bcl-2 binding anthanogene-1 (LFC=1.7) were upregulated. At 9DPI, secondary metabolism and defence genes, chalcone synthase ( $\mathrm{LFC}=-1.7$ ) and cellulose synthase ( $\mathrm{LFC}=-1.7$ ), were downregulated in 5057, while secondary metabolism and defence genes, chalcone isomerase ( $\mathrm{LFC}=2.3$ ), cellulose synthase $(\mathrm{LFC}=1.5)$, chitinase $(\mathrm{LFC}=1.6)$ and phenylalanine ammonia-lyasel ( $\mathrm{LFC}=1.8$ ) were upregulated in ZD05. At 22 DPI , secondary metabolism and defence genes, chalcone synthase ( $\mathrm{LFC}=-2.9$ ) and phenylalanine ammonia-lyasel ( $\mathrm{LFC}=-2.9$ ), were down regulated in 5057, while in ZD05, secondary metabolism and defence genes, bxl3 (LFC=1.8), chalcone synthase (LFC=1.8), phenylalanine ammonia-lyase $(\mathrm{LFC}=2.6)$ and antiapoptotic gene, bax inhibitorl $(\mathrm{LFC}=1.8)$ were upregulated.

Striga hermonthica populations in Kenya and Nigeria are genetically distinct and ecotypes exist within Nigeria. Genes involved in secondary metabolism and defence were upregulated in the resistant maize genotype, but down regulated in the susceptible genotype. The resistant line mobilized a more comprehensive response to the parasite than the susceptible line.
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## CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1. Background of the study

Maize (Zea mays L.) is one of the most important cereal crops in the world in terms of quantity produced (McCann, 2005). Maize is cultivated in every ecological zone in sub-Saharan Africa. The moist savannahs, with an annual rainfall of $1270-1590 \mathrm{~mm}$ and relatively high amount of sunlight, are the most suitable regions for maize production. Maize production in Africa is estimated at 64 million tonnes of grains from 26.9 million hectares (FAO, 2017). In 2010, Kenya produced over 3.3 million tonnes of maize grains from over 2.3 million hectares, while Nigeria produced over 10 million tonnes from 6.7 million hectares of land (FAO, 2017). Maize grains are rich in carbohydrates, essential minerals, vitamins A, C and E, and they contain 9 per cent protein. They are good energy sources; rich in calories and dietary fibre (US Department of Agriculture, 2019). It is used as food for humans and animals, raw materials for several industries and fuel. Despite its potentials, the production is severely constricted by both abiotic and biotic factors. Striga spp. is thought to be the greatest biotic constraint to Africa's cereal production (Sauerborn, 1991).

The genus Striga contains obligate root hemiparasites which are considered to be responsible for the most extensive agricultural losses in the semi-arid tropics of Africa. Striga (family; Orobanchaceae) contains about 41 parasitic species of flowering plants (Raynal-Roques, 1994). They are commonly referred to as 'Witchweeds' and are found occurring naturally in Africa, Asia, America and Australia. Plants of the genus Striga mostly parasitize important graminaceous crops. However, one species, Striga gesnerioides, is an important parasite of broadleaf crops such as cowpea, tobacco and sweet potato (Musselman, 1980). On the world scale, of all the Striga species, Striga hermonthica (DEL.) BENTH. is considered to be the most economically important (Kountche 2013). No other individual weed species in Africa results in as much crop loss as this parasite (Sauerborn, 1991). A single Striga hermonthica plant on a host
plant can lead to approximately five per cent yield loss (Parker and Riches, 1993) and where infestation is high, it can cause total crop failure (Ejeta, 2007). Striga hermonthica plants are highly fecund, 10000 to 200000 are small, light seeds per plant. These seeds have long viability periods and are easily dispersed by wind, water, animals and agricultural practices.

A common challenge in controlling this parasite is the inherent diversity in Striga hemonthica populations and its adaptation to broad geographic areas (Hearne, 2009). Effective development and deployment of technologies geared at controlling $S$. hermonthica is undermined by this variability within the parasite populations, rendering these controls method ineffective across different areas. This may also be due to the specificity of parasite populations to the different host plants (Hearne, 2009) eliciting differential reactions of host genotypes to the parasite. As an obligate outcrossing species, $S$. hermonthica has enormous within population diversity which enables the parasite to evolve and adapt to changing environmental conditions. Its physiology, including the production of large number of seeds with long viability, contributes to its high genetic diversity (Kuiper et al., 1996). The constant changes in cropping patterns and farming systems across regions in sub-Saharan Africa impose varying selection pressures, which can also promote evolution of new ecotypes of $S$. hermonthica. A detailed knowledge of the distribution of $S$. hermonthica, is therefore necessary to facilitate the selection of representative testing sites for host resistance screening and evaluation of other control options. Host plant genotypes with durable resistance can therefore be developed along with other control options across the prevalent broad range of ecotypes of the parasite.

Crop varieties that are resistant to Striga hermonthica are central to any effective $S$. hermonthica control strategy for resource-poor small holder farmers (Kim, 1994). The use these cultivars though considered to be one of the most cost-effective strategies for control of Striga, has their effective deployment limited by a lack of understanding of the underlying genetic and phenotypic basis of the adaptation of Striga populations to new host resistance phenotypes (Scholes and Press, 2008) and environments. Kim, (1994) reported the lack of genes conferring resistance to Striga among most African maize landraces, even though some of these landraces showed differing amounts of tolerance to the parasite. Over the last decade, research into Striga hermonthica
resistance in wild relatives of cultivated maize including Zea diploperennis and Tripsacum dactyloidehave have led to Striga resistance in Zea diploperennis derived maize lines (Amusan et al., 2008, Yallou et al., 2009). A good example of resistance in maize is found in ZD05 inbred developed in the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA). This inbred, with pedigree Z.Diplo.BC2-19-4-1-\#-1-13-1-B-B, was chosen due to its ability to resist $S$. hermonthica in field trials. It had a lower number of attached S. hermonthica plants, the parasite development was delayed and the number of attached parasites that died were higher when compared to a susceptible inbred (5057) (Menkir 2006). Menkir (2006) showed that the resistant response manifested in this maize line can be transferred successfully to other genotypes. However, the underlying mechanisms (molecular, biochemical and physiological) of this resistance are yet unknown and uncharacterized. Knowledge of the mechanisms controlling and driving resistance to Striga hermonthica in these lines will provide a rational and scientific basis for their exploitation. Resistance that is sustainable could then be attained in maize by stacking genes for the various resistant mechanisms in varieties intended for environments endemic for Striga.

### 1.2 Justification of the study

Scientific and physical evidence exist and is apparent that Striga hermonthica is highly detrimental to cereal crop production in Africa. Striga hermonthica infestation leads to untold damage to cereal crops, including maize, sorghum and rice, and heavy losses to the farmers, thus exacerbating existing poverty and food insecurity in Africa. These losses are especially pronounced in maize. A number of control options are constantly being developed against this parasite; they however do not effectively control the parasite. It is, therefore, important that improved and locally adaptable control methods are developed against this devastating parasite (Kim, 1994). These control methods can only be developed and effectively deployed if the biology (genetics), biochemistry, physiology and distribution of the parasite is thoroughly understood (Hearne, 2009). It is also important that the relationships between the parasite and its host are well understood, characterized and documented. Understanding these interactions will bring to the fore the various mechanisms by which these maize plants respond (both susceptible and resistant responses) to Striga hermonthica infestation. These mechanisms can then be included when designing programs to develop crops that are
resistant to the parasite. This is because a knowledge of the molecular basis underlying resistance phenotypes is essential for crop improvement and the development of novel control strategies (Yodder and Scholes, 2010). This is because, it will allow for host resistance phenotypes to be combined and deployed in an optimal manner, enabling the development of resistant maize lines that will be used as part of a cocktail of control strategies against Striga (Ciotola et al., 2010). Nigeria is the largest producer of maize in sub-Saharan Africa (FAO 2017). Striga hermonthica infestation is found in the savannah ecologies of the Northern part of the country. Kenya is the largest producer of maize in the Eastern Africa (FAO 2017) and Striga hermonthica infestation is occurs only in the western part of the country. These region within these countries were therefore chosen for this study.

### 1.3 Objectives of the study

In view of the aforementioned, this study was carried out to investigate the diversity and inherent structure in populations of Striga hermonthica weeds in Nigeria and Kenya. In this study, the physiological, biochemical and molecular interactions between Striga hermonthica and infested maize plants, was also investigated. This study was also carried out to elucidate the molecular responses of maize lines (both resistant and susceptible) to infestation by the parasite.

The specific objectives of this study are to:

1. Evaluate the genetic diversity of Striga hermonthica in northern Nigeria and western Kenya.
2. To investigate the presence or absence of population structure within and between the Striga hermonthica populations from Nigeria and Kenya and to identify, where present, specific loci undergoing selection
3. Investigate the mechanisms through which maize genotypes resist/tolerate Striga hermonthica infestation.
4. Assess the genetic response of a resistant and a susceptible maize genotype to Striga hermonthica infestation.
5. Catalogue the difference in the molecular responses of infested and uninfested plants of both lines at different time points.
6. Identify potential genes involved in the resistant and susceptible responses to the parasite.

## CHAPTER 2

## LITERATURE REVIEW

### 2.1 Introduction to parasitism

Parasitism is a form of symbiosis in which one organism (the parasite) benefits at the expense of another (the host). Both organisms are usually of different species and their association is often to the detriment of the host (Larry, 2014). Infestation by parasites does not immediately lead to the death of their hosts and they will often live on, in or with the host for long periods. The hosts are often larger than the parasite.

Among the vascular plants, parasitism is found in the eudicotyledonous angiosperms only. The only environments where parasitic plants have not adapted to is the aquatic environment, and this may be because competition for water is a major driver of the evolution of plants adapted to dry land. This force does not exist in the aquatic environment (Heide-jørgensen, 2013). There are approximately 4000 species of angiosperms that form parasitic symbiosis with other plants (Nickrent et al., 1998). These parasitic plants depend on their hosts for the acquisition of solutes to different extents. The parasitic mode of nutrition is diverse, both taxonomically and geographically. The evolution of parasitism is believed to have occurred independently 11 times in angiosperms, parasitic members being found in approximately 22 families and 270 genera (Nickrent et al., 1998). Parasitic angiosperms can be found across a large number of biomes ranging from the arctic tundra (e.g. Pedicularis dasyantha), to temperate grasslands (e.g. Orobanche minor), to tropical rain forests (e.g. Rafflesia spp.) (Ziang et al., 2018). Although all parasitic relationships are detrimental to the host organism (Smith and Douglas, 1987), the extent of damage done to the hosts varies dramatically. The impact of a specific parasite on a host is dependent on several factors, which include, the degree of dependency of the parasite on its host, the parasite's size, its metabolic activities, it's developmental stage, the susceptibility of the host, coupled with a wide range environmental factors (Graves, 1995).

Parasites can be classified based on the whether or not they possess chlorophyll in their tissues and are thus able or unable to photosynthesize - (a) hemiparasites that can photosynthesize and (b) holoparasites that cannot. Holoparasites such as Orobanche are entirely heterotrophic in their nutrition, while hemiparasites (e.g. Striga and Bartsia) are capable of autotrophic carbon acquisition. Hemiparasitic angiosperms can be classified further into facultative and obligate parasites. Facultative parasites such as the root hemiparasite, Rhinanthus, will grow and successfully reproduce in the absence of any hosts. Obligate parasites (all holoparasites and some hemiparasites such as Striga), on the other hand, are incapable of completing one or more stages of their life cycle without a host (Musselman, 1980). The type and degree of parasitism varies widely among parasitic angiosperms. However, one unifying feature, the haustorium is common to all parasite-host associations. This specialised parasitederived organ, the haustorium, facilitates the attachment to and penetration of the host plant. Upon penetration, it acts as a conduit between parasite's vascular tissue and that of the host. The position of the haustorial connections on the host plant has allowed the classification of parasitic angiosperms into either root (e.g. Striga spp.) or shoot or aerial (e.g. Cuscuta spp.) parasites. However some species like, Exocarpos cupressiformis, that occur both on stems and roots exist (Coleman, 1934) and in the Orobanchaceae some species are known to infest both roots and rhizomes (Weber, 1993); therefore caution should be exercised when using the term 'root and stem parasites’. (Heide-Jørgensen, 2013).

Parasitic angiosperms are also classified on the basis of their importance as agricultural weeds. Of the families containing parasitic plants, eight contain species that are considered to be economically important weeds: Scrophulariaceae, Orobanchaceae, Santalaceae, Balanophoraceae, Convolvulaceae, Lauraceae, Viscaceae and Loranthaceae (Parker and Riches, 1993). These weeds act to reduce the productivity and/or quality of agricultural, silvicultural or forest crops (Riches and Parker, 1995). The most important genera of all parasitic weed species, in terms of economic loss to agriculture are Striga, Alectra, Orobanche and Cuscuta (Eplee and Norris, 1995), which are found in the Orobanchaceae (Striga, Alectra and Orobanche), and Convolvulaceae.

### 2.2. Taxonomy, distribution and biology of Striga hermonthica (DEL.) BENTH.

Striga hermonthica (Del.) Benth. (1836) is commonly known as purple witchweed. It is an obligate root hemiparasite. According to Parker and Riches (1993), S. hermonthica is recognized as the parasitic weed causing the highest amount of economic losses globally.

### 2.2.1 Taxonomic Tree

Domain: Eukaryota
Kingdom: Plantae
Phylum: Spermatophyta
Subphylum: Angiospermae
Class: Dicotyledonae
Order: Lamiales
Family: Orobanchaceae
Genus: Striga
Species: Striga hermonthica

### 2.2.2. Description

Striga hermonthica is a herbaceous annual plant between 30 and 100 cm high, with branches in larger plants. Stems and leaves are green and covered in fine hairs (trichomes). The leaves are narrowly lanceolate or elliptic, up to 1 cm wide, $2-8 \mathrm{~cm}$ long and are arranged on the lower half of the stem mostly in an opposite configuration, this arrangement however becomes irregular on the upper half. It has sessile flowers that are arranged in an inflorescence with a terminal spike of flowers (which may bear up to 100 flowers), and with axillary spikes that branch from the upper leaf axils. The flowers are subtended by $1-2 \mathrm{~cm}$ long and up to 3 mm wide bracts. They have with a fringe of ciliate hairs and a tubular Calyx that is up to 1 cm long possessing 5 ribs and 5 teeth $2-3 \mathrm{~mm}$ long. The flowers are asymmetrically campanulate, the tube 1-2 cm long, bent approximately halfway up in West African, Sudanese and Ethiopian populations but usually well above halfway in East African populations (Parker and Riches, 1993). Four corolla lobes are present, one is bi-lobed almost erect, the others spread out horizontally. They can be up to 2 cm across and are
pink with some white markings in the throat. Both the stigma and stamens are hidden in the tube. Its seeds, numbering up to several hundreds, are approximately 0.3 mm by 0.2 mm in size and are housed in 1 cm long capsules. The root system is weak and is almost incapable of absorbing materials from the soil; however, on contact with roots of the host, the lower nodes of the plant develop branches, which can develop into secondary haustoria (CABI, 2019).

### 2.2.3. Distribution and habitat of Striga hermonthica

Striga hermonthica is distributed throughout the semi-arid tropics of Africa. It can be found from the semi-arid areas of Ethiopia to the moist savannah of West Africa, traversing the continent east to west, and down to Namibia in the south (Riches and Parker, 1995). Striga hermonthica is commonly associated with soils with low fertility, especially soils with low nitrogen content (Pieterse, 1991). It can thrive on light, sandy soils and heavy clay soils with low amounts of moisture and is not usually found on well-watered soils. It however tolerates abundant moisture for short periods. These factors make $S$. hermonthica extremely well adapted to the African savannah and thus its association with cereal cropping. There is a steady increase in land area parasitized by S. hermonthica as well as its level of infestation (Emechebe, 2004; Ejeta, 2007), and the reasons adduced for this include; movement of cereal seeds contaminated with S. hermonthica seeds, movement of animals, dispersal by surface water and wind, a poor understanding of the parasite and lack of effective control options (Berner et al., 1994). It is possible that climate change may influence both the invasive potential and the geographic distribution of $S$. hermonthica, this is because there might be expansions of habitats that can support the growth of the parasite (Mohamed et al., 2006).

### 2.2.4. Biology and physiology of Striga hermonthica

Striga hermonthica has the capacity to photosynthesize, even though it is an obligate hemiparasite. It has a complex life cycle that comprises of a series of discrete steps. A summary of its life cycle is shown in figure 2.1.


Figure 2.1. The Life Cycle of Striga hermonthica on a Susceptible Host.

Stages indicated:
$\mathrm{A}=$ after-ripening and conditioning of seed, $\mathrm{B}=$ seed germination, $\mathrm{C}=$ haustorial initiation, elongation and subsequent attachment to the host, then underground growth, $\mathrm{D}=$ emergence of plants from the soil, $\mathrm{E}=$ flowering, insect pollination, seed set and dispersal.

### 2.2.4.1. Pre-emergence growth.

Striga hermonthica passes through a pre-emergence stage, a seedling stage, a vegetative growth stage, a flowering stage, and finally a fruiting stage. Its tiny seeds require about 6 months of dormancy and then a conditioning period (a 7 to 14-day period, where the seeds are exposed to moisture and high temperatures) after which they germinate if germination stimulants from the roots of a suitable host are present (Parker and Riches, 1993). Conditioned S. hermonthica seeds can revert to the dormant state in the absence of the germination stimulant (Mohamed et al., 1998). These germination stimulants are called strigolactones.

Strigolactones and strigolactone biosynthesis
Strigolactones are plant signalling compounds (hormones). They perform two major functions in plants. Firstly, they control the development of the plant as endogenous hormones and secondly, they facilitate symbiotic associations between plants and certain soil microbes as part of exudates from the roots of these plants. They however also stimulate germination of the seeds of some parasitic plants (including $S$. hermonthica) when these parasitic plant seeds are in close proximity to the roots of a strigolactones exuding plant. This parasite seed germinating ability led to the detection and recognition of strigolactones (Smith M, 2014). Characterised strigolactones, like strigol and orobranchol, are tetracyclic sesquiterpene with double lactone rings (Figure 2.2). Differences in the chemical modifications to the core strigolactone structure and differences in their stereochemical (three dimensional) conformations characterize the various individual strigolactones (Smith M., 2014). Examples of some strigolactones are shown in figure 2.2.

Carlactone is a precursor in the synthesis of strigolactones. In the plastid, all-trans $\beta$ carotene is converted to carlactone by the enzymes D27 (an isomerase) and CCD7 and CCD8 (both carotenoid cleavage dioxygenases). It is then transported into the cytoplasm where oxidization, more rings closed and functional groups are added to the rings by CYP711 family (MAX1) enzymes (Zhang et al., 2014) (Figure 2.3).
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Figure 2.2. Chemical structure of some strigolactones and GR24
(GR24 is a synthetic strigolactone with IUPAC name $( \pm)-\left(3 \mathrm{aR}^{*}, 8 \mathrm{bS} *, \mathrm{E}\right)-3-\left(\left(\left(\mathrm{R}^{*}\right)-4-\right.\right.$ methyl-5-oxo-2,5-dihydrofuran-2-yloxy)methylene)-3,3a,4,8b-tetrahydro-2H-indeno[1,2-b]furan-2-one).


Figure 2.3. Strigolactone biosynthesis

As endogenous hormones, strigolactones, under normal conditions reduce lateral branching in both stems and root, but enhances plant height, increases secondary growth, senescence, and root hairs. Under suboptimal conditions, like low soil phosphates, strigolactone production is enhanced and it promotes root growth while limiting stem growth. Strigolactones also induces the branching of hyphae in arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. This might be partly due to the need to encourage mycorrhizal symbiosis; mycorrhizal fungi help plants to obtain phosphates from the soil (Jansa et al., 2011). However, its third function is decidedly negative, as they induce the seeds of some parasitic plants including Striga to germinate. A brief exposure of pre-conditioned seeds to Strigolactones can initiate germination within 8 to 12 hours (Ejeta et al., 199), this seed germination is also temperature-dependent and optimal germination is achieved at $33^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Musselman, 1980).

The carbohydrate and lipid reserves of Striga seeds are low in comparison with other seeds (Parker and Riches, 1993), and much of the lipid reserve is utilised in haustorial initiation and formation. This low nutrient reserves lead to a loss in the capacity of germinated seedlings to form competent haustoria within three to five days.

Haustorial induction and development
After germination, the haustorium develops. It is a specialised parasite-derived organ that facilitates both the attaching of the parasite to the host and the penetrating of its tissues. Upon penetration, it acts as a conduit between host and parasite vascular tissue. In response to stimuli provided by the roots of the host plant, the haustorium develops from the tips of Striga radicals. Some flavonoids, quinines and phenolics have been implicated in the induction of haustorial development. Of these, 2, 4-dimethoxy-pbenzoquinone (DMBQ) has been isolated directly from the roots of host plants. DMBQ is produced when lignin is oxidatively degraded and decarboxylation of phenolic acids. According to Keyes et al. (2007) from studies on Striga asiatica, reactive oxygen species $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ regardless of its origin (from the plant or the parasite), interacts with host-derived phenols and peroxidases to release the benzoquinones which then serve as a cue for the initiation of haustorial formation. Striga is thought to, in a process termed semagenesis, elicit its host to produce the signal required for its development (Yoder and Scholes, 2010). Striga radicles generate Hydrogen peroxide $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ which provides the appropriate substrate for peroxidases derived from host plant to catalyse
the breakdown of the hosts' cell wall components. These cell wall components are used as substrates to generate benzoquinones that will induce the formation of haustoria (Keyes et al., 2007). In this model, xenognosins are extracted from the root surface of the hosts; this ensures that the parasite is in close proximity with its host before it commences haustorial development (Keyes et al., 2007). Kim et al, (1998) however indicated that constitutive production of an activated oxygen species mediates host recognition and thus haustorial formation. This allows the parasite to exploit abundant host enzymes to produce the diffusible recognition signals. (The synthesis of haustioria inducing factors is shown in figure 2.4) These signals induce the development of the haustoria. Sticky hairs are found all over the developing haustorium enabling it to anchor to the root of a suitable host. At the parasite-host interface, the haustorial cells undergo division and elongation and slips between the epidermis and cortex of the host's root. There is no disruption in the cell wall of the host at the site of invasion, suggesting that the penetration occurs between host cells rather than through them. This is again observed as the endodermal cells are neither damaged nor crushed during penetration of host endodermis by Striga hermonthica.

The penetrating haustorium advances by moving between the primary and secondary wall of the endodermis (Neumann 1999). Haustorial cells push the host cell walls aside by the dissolving the middle lamella and the mechanical pressure by the advancing parasitic cells results in a change in the host cell shape (Joel and Losner-Goshen 1994). It is thought that either parasite or host-encoded cell wall degrading enzymes are involved in the invasion, these enzymes have however not been directly identified (Yodder, 2010). Dorr, 1997 using scanning electron microscopy showed that during invasion, Striga asciatica (a close relative of $S$. hermonthica) cells use a specialised structure, called the osculum, to perforate the vascular system of the host and form xylem-to-xylem connections (Figure 2.5).

The anchoring and penetration steps are essential if Striga (an obligate parasite) is to survive, as water and host-derived nutrients can now be transferred to the parasite (Press and Graves, 1995). The parasite depends totally on the host until it emerges from the soil, this is because its seeds are very small and thus do not contain sufficient stored nutrients.


Figure 2.4. Synthesis of haustoria inducing factors.

Peonidin and dimethoxy benzoquinone are both haustoria inducing factors. Peroxidases and laccases act on lignin components to produced DMBQ. (Adapted and modified from Yoder and Scholes, 2010).


Figure 2.5. The development of a Striga plant attached to the root of a host.
I). An attached Striga plant (P) growing on the root of a host plant (H). The hyaline body is marked HB.
II) The longitudinal section of the hyaline body (HB) of the haustorium (Ha) of the parasite and the root of its host $(\mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{V}$ the host vessel showing spreading parasitic cells
III) A longitudinal section of a haustorium (Ha) that is penetrating the root of a host (H). As seen the pitted vessel of the host is penetrated by a number of oscula (Os) (The tips of the Oscula show openings). (Adapted and modified from Dorr, 1997)

One unknown key issue in parasitic plant research is how the parasite plant manages to traverse the barriers provided by a host during a compatible invasive process without activating defensive mechanisms ( $\mathrm{Pe}^{\prime}$ rez-de-Luque, 2013). Mayer (2006) theorized that either there are biochemical or physiological similarities between the host and the parasite because they are both higher plants or that the parasitic plant can somehow subvert the activation of host defence responses.

### 2.2.4.2. Post emergence growth.

The shoots of Striga tubercles grow in a negatively geotropic manner. The culmination of this growth for a proportion of attached parasites is emergence from the soil. Upon emergence from the soil, Striga shoots become chlorophyllous and are capable of autotrophic nutrition. Despite this, its relatively low photosynthetic ability (between 0.5 and $8.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) means its dependence on the host will not cease (Press et al., 1986). The parasite maintains a higher transpiration rate than its hosts (Shah et al., 1987) due to its stomata being almost permanently open. This leads to a rapid transfer of materials from the host, especially if the humidity in the environment is low (CABI, 2019). Osmosis is also involved in the movement of solutes from the host to the parasite.

The continued movement of host solutes into the developing Striga tubercle is achieved by the maintenance of more negative osmotic potentials in the parasite. Parasitic plants employ a number of substances to supplement/maintain a negative osmotic pressure on their hosts; these include amino acids, organic acids, polyols, other carbohydrates and xylem-mobile cations (Richter and Popp, 1992; Ehleringer and Marshall, 1995; Tennakoon et al., 1997).

The main carbohydrate osmoticum present in Striga is the polyol mannitol, which accounts for an excess of $75 \%$ of the parasite's soluble carbohydrates (Press et al., 1986). Some roots may develop at the base of the parasites's stem and form secondary haustoria if the roots come into contact with the roots of other hosts (not necessarily from the primary host). These secondary haustoria have similar structures and are believed to function in a similar manner to primary haustoria (Parker and Riches, 1993). Temperatures between $30-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ favour growth, as do soils with low humidity. Emerged plants flower within five to six weeks after initial attachment to the host
(Parker and Riches, 1993). S. hermonthica, an obligate out-crosser, depends on different insects to pollinate it (Musselman et al., 1983). Viable seed is produced within two weeks and is shed within four weeks of flower opening (Parker and Riches, 1993).

### 2.3. Responses of hosts to Striga infestation

Striga hermonthica parasitizes Gramineae (Poaceae): maize, millet, sorghum, sugar cane and rice, causing a deleterious impact on the growth and performance of all its cereal hosts. Its deleterious effects on the host plant is attributable to a combination of factors including loss of water, nitrogen, minerals and carbohydrate by the host, a distortion of the efficiency of photosynthesis in the host (Press and Graves, 1991) and a disruption in the host's root/shoot allometry. Typically, infected plants have lower total biomass accumulation, lower grain yield and are noticeably shorter (due to lower internode elongation) than uninfected counterparts (Taylor et al., 1996; Frost et al., 1997). The impact of this parasitic plant has also been suggested to be due to the action of a Striga-derived toxin (Musselman 1980). The severity of the impact of $S$. hermonthica on its hosts is moderated by host and parasite genotype, host nutrition, growth stage of the host at the time of infection and infection density (Cechin and Press 1993a, 1993b, 1994; Graves et al., 1989; Gurney et al., 1999). Upon infection, the host plants display symptoms of stunting, show chlorosis and even die (Dorr, 1997). Upon heavy infestation on maize in Kenya, losses estimated at $80 \%$ are common (Bebawi et al, 1984). About 30-40\% of the total maize crop growing area of Togo, Mali and Nigeria is infested (De Groote et al, 2008). Certain plants in the host range of Striga show varying amounts of resistance, tolerance or susceptibility to attacks by the $S$. hermonthica, and the mechanism of this observed host response to the parasite differs from one host plant to another (Timko and Scholes, 2013)

### 2.3. Taxonomy, distribution and production constraints of Maize

Maize (Zea mays) is widely grown across a range of agroecological environments throughout the world. It evolved in Mexico, Central America about 6000 years ago, but arrived in Africa through various introductions about 500 years ago (McCann, 2005). It is presently a leading food crop in Africa. Approximately 1000 million tons of maize is produced worldwide, with Africa producing 7.6\%. The largest maize
producer in West Africa is Nigeria with approximately 10.8 million tons while Kenya produces just over 3.5 million tonnes, making it the largest maize producer in East Africa (FAO, 2017).

### 2.3.1. Taxonomic Tree

Kingdom: Plantae
(Unranked): Angiosperms
(Unranked): Monocots
(Unranked): Commelinids
Order: Poales
Family: Poaceae
Subfamily: Panicoideae
Tribe: Andropogoneae
Genus: Zea
Species: Z. mays
Subspecies: Z. mays subsp. Mays

### 2.3.2. Distribution and Importance

Maize is grown at latitudes varying from the equator to slightly above $50^{\circ}$ North and South and from sea level to over 3000m above sea level, under heavy rainfall and in semi-arid conditions, in cool and very hot climates and with growing cycles ranging from three to six months. The wide distribution of maize production is an indication of its excellent capacity to adapt to many environments. Its production in West Africa has greatly expanded in recent years, from the traditional maize growing areas in the forest zone to the high potential areas of the savannas. Among the grains, maize has the highest annual production globally (FAO, 2017).

Over 50 species of maize exist, and these species have varying grain shapes, textures, colours, and sizes. Although maize is a grain crop, it is consumed as a vegetable in Africa and many parts of the world. Its grains contain large quantities of carbohydrates, vitamins, essential minerals and protein (Okoruwa and Kling, 1996), with high dietary fibre and calorie content, and are thus a good energy source. Maize is majorly used as livestock feed and industrial raw material in industrialized countries.

Households with low-income in Eastern and Southern Africa expend 30-50\% of their earning on maize, where it is used as food for these families and their livestock, fuel and raw materials for industries. It is a major calorie and income source in the savannahs and mid-altitudes of West and Central Africa. It can be processed into various end uses at the homestead level and on industrial scale as brewer grits. (Abdulrahaman and Kolawole, 2006). Different countries prepare and process maize into various products depending on usage. Ground maize and maize flour is made into a variety of meals in different regions of Africa. Maize can be boiled, grilled or roasted on its cob and enjoyed as a light meal in many parts of Africa. Popcorn is also popularly consumed all over Africa and the world. Abdulrahaman and Kolawole, 2006).

### 2.3.3. Disease incidence and constraints

Despite its potential, the production of maize has been greatly constrained by both abiotic and biotic factors. Drought, variable sunshine and low soil nitrogen significantly affect maize production. In addition, the humid tropical climates can cause stored maize grains to decay. Biotic factors common in sub-Saharan Africa include maize diseases (like downy mildew, leaf blight, rust, stalk and ear rots, leaf spot, and maize streak virus), insect pests including various species of stem borers, ear borers, grain moths, beetles, weevils, and the parasitic weed Striga (Striga hermonthica and S. asiatica). These factors result in between 30 and 90 per cent loss of the crop during cultivation, after harvesting and during storage. The parasitic weed Striga hermonthica is the most destructive weed species in Africa resulting in extensive losses of all its cereal hosts (Sauerborn, 1991). Striga infestation causes yield losses that range from 15 to 75 per cent (Oswald and Ransom, 2001). This yield loss is exacerbated by the fact that the parasite thrives under low fertility and low moisture conditions and in locations with very poor farming systems within Africa. In these regions farmer's resources are scarce and they have little or no means with which to control the parasite, this further impoverishes the already relatively poor, smallholder farmers.

### 2.3. Resistance, tolerance and susceptibility to Striga in maize

In general terms of research into witchweeds, tolerance is defined as the potential of a host plant to function optimally in the presence of attached parasites, while resistance relates to the potential of a host to prevent the parasite from forming attachments to its roots (Kim and Adetimirin, 1997). Resistance by hosts can take different forms. These forms may involve both generic and specialized defence mechanisms mobilized simultaneously or independently, to disrupt important points during the parasite's growth cycle (Timko and Scholes, 2013). It is important to mention that, host species that are completely resistant to parasite are yet to be found, and it has been observed that resistance to a particular parasite is more commonplace in wild relatives of the host as opposed to the cultivated (domesticated) germplasm (Hearne, 2009). Some host plant resistance mechanisms have been put forward (Ejeta et al., 1993) and they are broadly divided into pre-attachment and post-attachment resistance (Scholes and Press 2008; Rodenburg et al., 2010). Pre-attachment resistance is seen in a lack of or a decrease in the generation of germination stimulants, inhibition of germination, haustorium formation inhibition or reduction, partial inhibition of haustorium development and formation of mechanical barriers to infection like thickened cell walls in the roots of the host (Timko and Scholes 2013). With these mechanisms, a host can prevent the parasite from attaching. Post attachment resistance occurs following the successful connection of the parasite to the vascular system of the host. According to Timko and Scholes (2013) post-attachment resistance can take a number of forms, which include:

1) Abiosis, which involves the root cells of the host producing and releasing cytotoxic compounds (like phytoalexins), when attacked by the parasite.
2) Preventing the ingress and growth of parasite by forming of physical barriers (for instance cell wall lignification and suberisation).
3) A hypersensitive response (HR) that involves programmed cell death (PCD) at the point of parasite attachment to effectively halt penetration and prevent parasite development as such retarding the establishment of a functional vascular continuity with the host.

### 2.4. Gene expression in host defence responses and signalling pathways involved in host defence responses.

A number of genes, gene families and transcription factors have been found to be involved in a host's response to parasites in general and to members of the Orobanchaceae in particular. Similar groups of genes are recorded to have been upregulated during resistance responses to Orobanche and Striga, and the Salicylic and Jasmonic acid signalling pathways are increasingly becoming implicated in the control of resistance to parasitic plants by hosts (Timko and Scholes, 2013).

### 2.4.1. Salicylic acid signalling pathway.

The phenolic compound, salicylic acid (SA, 2-hydroxy benzoic acid), comprises of an aromatic ring to which a hydroxyl group or its functional derivative is attached. As reported by Dempsey et al, (2011) salicylic acid is an established plant signal molecule (hormone); it is known to regulate some aspects of plant growth and development, as well as disease resistance (Vlot et al, 2009). Humans use SA and its acetylated derivate (aspirin) as important pharmacological agents. Salicylic acid is commonly used to treat acne, warts and psoriasis, while aspirin is widely used in treating fever, inflammation, and pain. Aspirin also reduces the risk of stroke, heart attack (AFHS 2018) and some cancers (Patrignani and Patrono, 2016)

There is evidence for two distinct SA biosynthetic pathways in plants (Figure 2.6). One is the isochorismate (IC) pathway and the other phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL) pathway. Although both biosynthetic pathways have not been fully elucidated, both of them start with the end product obtained from the shikimate pathway, which is chorismate. (Dempsey et al, 2011)

### 2.4.1.1. Biosynthesis of salicylic acid

Plants and some bacteria are hypothesised to synthesise SA through the same pathway, and this led to the identification of the isochorismate (IC) pathway. A number of genes that encode isochorismate synthase (ICS), the enzyme that catalyses the conversion of chorismate to isochorismate, have been recognised in plant species (Dempsey and Klessig 2017). In the phenylalanine pathway, the enzyme, phenylalanine ammonialyase catalyses the conversion of phenylalanine (Phe) to trans-cinnamic acid ( $t$-CA)
and ammonia $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)$. After which $t$-CA is non-oxidatively converted to Benzoic acid (BA). An inducible benzoic acid-2-hydroxylase (BA2H) is proposed to convert BA to Salicylic acid.

### 2.4.1.2. Salicylic acid signalling in plant innate immunity and defence

Plants have an innate immune system which protects them from invasion by pathogenic organisms. Salicylic acid (SA) induces resistance responses to diseases in plants (Dempsey et al., 2011, Yang et al., 2013). This system proactively protects plants against fungal, bacterial and viral pathogens. These pathogens have a signature called 'pathogen-associated molecular pattern (PAMP)' and this pattern can be perceived by plant pattern recognition receptors (PRRs). A PRR consists of a "receptor" domain to transduce the PAMP signal (Vidhyasekaran, 2015).

According to Vidhyasekaran (2015), this signal is delivered by Salicylic acid (SA) and it initiates defence gene transcription. The PAMP signalling system triggers SA biosynthesis by generating a specific calcium ion $\left(\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}\right)$ signature in the cytosol, which is received and decoded by Calmodulins. Calmodulin-binding protein 60 G (CBP60g) is a protein that is involved in activating SA biosynthesis. Activation of the isochorismate synthase in SA biosynthesis pathway is triggered by the calcium signature signals transduced to CBP60g.

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) also acts upstream of SA accumulation. Intracellular accumulation of Benzoic acid (BA) is caused by the presence of Hydrogen peroxide $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$. Benzioc acid (BA) is converted to SA by benzoic acid 2-hydroxylase (BA2H). This enzyme is inducible, and it is synthesized de novo in response to increased BA level. Therefore, it leads to an increase in the cellular concentration of SA. Another ROS Nitric oxide (NO) induces phenylalanine ammonia lyase (PAL), a key enzyme in of salicylic acid biosynthesis, thereby activating the biosynthesis. Salicylic acid responsive defence-related genes are activated by increased expression of transcription factors in response to SA signalling.


Figure 2.6. Biosynthesis of Salicylic acid

Plants are thought to produce Salicylic acid through two biosynthetic pathways.

The abbreviated enzymes are as follows: ICS isochorismate synthase, IPL isochorismate pyruvate lyase, $C M$ chorismate mutase, and $P A L$ phenylalanine ammonia-lyase, $A A O$ aldehyde oxidase, $B A 2 H$ benzoic acid 2-hydroxylase. A Question mark indicates an enzyme that has not been definitively identified (Dempsey and Klessig 2017).

NPR1 (non-expressor of pathogenesis-related protein) is a master regulator of the SAmediated induction of defence genes that directly binds SA. In the absence of pathogen challenge, an $\mathrm{NPR}_{1}$ protein forms an oligomer in the cytoplasm upon induction it becomes localized in the nucleus. The proteasome continuously clears $\mathrm{NPR}_{1}$ from the nucleus, thus its activity as a co-activator is repressed and defence responses initiated only when necessary. SA accumulation is induced by Pathogen/PAMP exposure and the induced SA causes targeted degradation, in a SA concentration-dependent manner, of two $\mathrm{NPR}_{1}$ paralogues $\left(\mathrm{NPR}_{3}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{NPR}_{4}\right)$. $\mathrm{NPR}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{NPR}_{4}$ have been identified as adaptor proteins of the $\mathrm{CUL}_{3} \mathrm{E}_{3}$ ligase. After a plant is infected SA concentration increases and it binds to $\mathrm{NPR}_{4}$ causing it to release $\mathrm{NPR}_{1}$. Transcription of defence genes is then activated by the free $\mathrm{NPR}_{1} . \mathrm{NPR}_{1}$ is a cofactor of TGA transcription factors, and it enhances the binding of TGA transcription factors to the promoter of PR1 gene to activate transcription of PR1 gene (Vidhyasekaran, 2015).

The SA signalling system, apart from activating local resistance, is also involved in activating systemic acquired resistance (SAR) observed in distal (systemic) tissues. SAR is a heightened state of defence against a broad spectrum of pathogens. It is salicylic acid-dependent and activated throughout a plant following a localized infection. SAR is associated with priming of defence, which results in a faster and stronger induction of defence mechanisms after pathogen attack (Conrath 2011; PoWen et al., 2013). It involves extensive reprogramming of transcription. Changes in the expression of about 2,000 genes is mediated by SA. Such a broad effect on gene transcription may be associated with extensive chromatin remodelling. The offspring of plants that have been primed show a faster and higher accumulation of defencerelated genes transcripts in the salicylic acid signalling pathway and enhanced disease resistance upon inoculation with virulent pathogens, indicating that the priming can be epigenetically inherited from plants that were exposed to a disease (Pastor et al., 2013).

The epigenetic basis of this phenomenon is indicated by the fact that when one generation of the plant was skipped from the stressor, the SAR was still found to be sustained (Luna et al., 2012). DNA methylation is also thought to play an important role in transgenerational SAR. This is because hypomethylated genes also transmit
transgenerational SAR. These genes then direct the priming of SA-dependent defences in the following generations. (Vidhyasekaran, 2015)

### 2.4.2. Jasmonic acid signaling pathway

Jasmonic acid (JA) and its derivatives, Jasmonates (JAs) are lipid-derived signalling molecules. They are involved in the regulation of many developmental processes and in regulating adaptive responses to stresses in plants. JAs belong to of oxygenated fatty acid collectively known as oxylipins. Oxylipins are derived either from enzymatic or autoxidation of free or membrane esterified fatty acids (Borrego and Kolomiets, 2013). Oxylipins are termed eicosanoids in mammals. The major subgroup of enzymatically derived mammalian oxylipins are leukotrienes, prostaglandins, prostacyclins, thromboxanes, lipoxins, eoxins, hydroxyeicosatetraenoic acids and epoxyeicosatrienoic acids (Buczynski et al., 2009). In mammals, they regulate a number of physiological processes, including vasoconstriction, vasodilation, response to pain and generation of fever. In addition to receptor ligand signalling, oxylipins also have direct antimicrobial activity (Prost et al., 2005) and can alter the redox status of the cell (Park et al., 2013).

### 2.4.2.1. Overview of maize Jasmonic Acid biosynthetic pathway.

In the maize genotype B73, the synthesis of jasmonate (Figure 2.7) begins with 13 lipoxygenase (13 LOXs) catalyzing the production of $13(\mathrm{~S})$ hydro peroxy octadeca 9 , 11,15 trienoic acid ( 13 HPOT, ( $9 \mathrm{Z}, 11 \mathrm{E}, 13 \mathrm{~S}, 15 \mathrm{Z}$ ) 13, from $\alpha$ linolenic acid (C 18:3). The 13LOX product 13HPOT is catalysed into an epoxide, 12, 13 (S) epoxyoctadecatrienoic acid (12, 13, EOT), by Allene Oxide Synthase (13 AOS). Allene Oxide Cyclase (AOC) then catalyses the cyclisation of 12, 13 (S) EOT yielding a member of the jasmonate family of oxylipins ( $9 \mathrm{~S}, 13 \mathrm{~S}$ ) 12 oxophytodienoic acid (12OPDA). It is predicted that $12,13(\mathrm{~S})$ EOT undergoes self-cyclisation in a stereospecific manner and the enzyme AOC just holds it in the appropriate configuration (Borrego and Kolomiets, 2013, Hofmann et al., 2006). The above steps of JA biosynthesis takes place in the plastid. Inside the peroxisome, 12OPDA is reduced via Type II 12 oxophytodienoic acid reductases (OPRs). Type II OPRs reduce the cyclopentenone cis (+) 12OPDA into the cyclopentanone, OPC8: 0 (8[3 oxo 2 cis [(Z) 2pentenylcyclopentyl] octanoic acid).
member of the jasmonate family of oxylipins (9S,13S) 12 oxophytodienoic acid acid

(C 18:3).

Figure 2.7. Overview of maize Jasmonic Acid biosynthetic pathway.

Genes marked with * have been functionally characterised and other genes are predicted from phylogenic analysis. The abbreviations are as follows

18:3: $\alpha$ linolenic acid
ZMLOX: Zea mays lipoxygenase
ZMAOS: Zea maysAllene Oxide Synthase
ZMAOC: Zea mays allene Oxide Cyclase
OPR: 12 oxophytodienoic acid reductases (s).
13 HPOT: 13 (S) hydro peroxy octadeca 9, 11, 15 trienoic acid (, (9Z, 11E, 13S, 15Z)
12, 13, EOT: 12, 13 (S) epoxyoctadecatrienoic acid
12OPDA: (9S,13S) 12 oxophytodienoic acid
OPC8: 0 (8[3 oxo 2 cis [(Z) 2pentenylcyclopentyl] octanoic acid).

This is followed by three rounds of betaoxidation that are performed by ACX (acyl coenzyme A (CoA) oxidases), MFP (multifunctional proteins), and KAT (3ketoacylCoA thiolases) 6. Which then give rise to the product Jasmonic acid (JA). JAR1 (JASMONATE RESISTANT 1) enzyme then conjugates JA with Isoleucine (Staswick et al., 2002) to give (+) 7 isojasmonoyllisoleucine (JAIle). The only JA receptor currently identified in plants, the SCF (COI1) receptor and isojasmonoyllisoleucine (JAlle), was found to be its most effective ligand (Fonseca et al., 2009).

### 2.4.2.2.. Jasmonic acid signalling in plant innate defence

In the presence of an abiotic or a biotic stimulus, coronatine insensitive 1 (COI1) is bound by jasmonic acid- isoleucine conjugate (JA-Ile). Coronatine insensitive 1 (COI1) is a component of the Skp1-Cul-F-box protein (SCF) E3 ligase complex. This binding causes the degradation of jasmonate ZIM domain (JAZ) proteins mediated by the proteasome. Jasmonate ZIM domain (JAZ) proteins repress MYC Transcription factors (TF) in the absence of stimulation. The transcription of JA signalling components, such as the basic-helix-loop-helix (bHLH) master transcription factor MYC2 and its close homologs MYC3 and MYC4 are thus liberated from repression (Sheard et al., 2010; Xie et al., 1998). They then bind to G-box or G-box-like sequences found in the promoters of Jasmonic acid-responsive genes (Dombrecht et al., 2007; Fernández-Calvo et al., 2011) and interact with the MED25 subunit of the plant mediator complex (Kidd et al., 2011). Thus acting as a bridge between DNAbound TFs and the RNA polymerase II transcription apparatus required for transcription (Chen et al., 2012).

### 2.4.3. Genes involved in host defence responses.

A study in rice using susceptible and resistant rice lines by Swarbrick et al., (2008) showed the upregulation of a number of genes in the resistant line but not the susceptible lines. These genes include genes encoding hypersensitive response proteins, glucanases (PR-2), endochitinases (PR-3) and thaumatin-like proteins (PR-5). Also, upregulated were a number of genes that code for enzymes involved in defencerelated secondary metabolism, these include chalcone synthase, phenylalanine ammonia lyase (PAL) and naringenin 3-dioxygenases (involved in the biosynthetic
pathway of diterpene phytoalexin). Several genes encoding cytochrome P450 monoxygenases (P450s), genes encoding proteins of the pleiotropic drug resistance (PDR) subfamily of ABC transporters, a number of genes encoding resistance-like proteins or homologs of resistance genes and some transcription factors (members of the WRKY family) were upregulated. Another study by Hiraoka et al (2008), using incompatible and compatible hosts, showed a similar pattern of expression. Lotus japonica infected with Striga (incompatible host) showed upregulation of genes involved in the following processes; phytoalexin synthesis, pathogenesis related proteins, defence response, cell-wall fortification and detoxification of reactive oxygen species. From the above studies, the following genes and gene families have been implicated in resistance to Striga: -

1) Disease resistance genes ( $R$ genes)

Disease resistance genes also known as R genes that code for plant nucleotide-binding-site-leucine-rich-repeat (NBS-LRR) proteins. These proteins have a nucleotide binding site (NBS) domain and a leucine-rich repeat (LRR) domain, while their amino- and carboxy-terminal domains are variable. These proteins enable the detection of pathogens, like bacteria, viruses, fungi, nematodes, insects and oomycetes (McHale et al., 2006). Pathogen effectors are recognised by them, triggering defence responses from plants. Effectors for parasitic plant are yet to be identified (Yoder and Scholes 2010). A CC-NBS-LRR R protein (named RSG3-301), predicted from a full-length gene sequence, has been implicated in the resistance cowpea cultivars to SG3 (a race of S. gesnerioides).
2) Pathogenesis-related proteins

Pathogenesis related (PR) proteins are proteins encoded by the host plant but induced by various pathogens or related situations like the presence of chemicals that can initiate stress or that mimic the effects of pathogens (Bol et al., 1990). So far, there are 14 recognised families of PRs (Van Loon and Van Strien, 1999). (Table 2.1). These proteins were originally implicated in defending against pathogens like viruses, bacteria, and fungi, but many of them have been found to be upregulated in the response of resistant genotypes to parasites. For example, a cowpea accession (IT97K-499-35) that shows resistance to a specific race of S. gesnerioides (SG3). Upon
infestation it showed highly elevated levels of PR-5 transcript compared to a susceptible interaction, a non-host interaction or to uninfected plants. Also, in the resistance response of sunflower to O. Cumana, the expression levels of many induced PR genes were elevated (Letousey, (2007). This is similar to what was observed by Hiraoka et al., (2008) in sorghum to S. hermonthica. Swarbrick et al., (2008) showed that in response to $S$. hermonthica, rice upregulated transcripts encoding PR-2 (glucanases), PR-3 (endochitinases) and PR-5 (thaumatin-like proteins).
3) Transcription factors

Transcription factors involved in plant defence include the WRKY family proteins. WRKY family proteins are involved in the regulation of plant defence response pathways (Eulgem and Somssich, 2007). WRKY proteins possess at least one conserved DNA-binding region, known as WRKY domain in its N-terminal and a zinc-finger-like structure at its C terminal. The WRKY domain consists of about 60 amino acids that contain N-terminal heptapeptide WRKYGQK (Eulgem et al., 2000). It generally binds to the DNA element termed the 'W' box (C/TTGACT/C) (Eulgem et al., 2000, Turck et al., 2004, van Verk et al., 2008). This 'W' box occurs in the promoters of genes under the control of WRKY proteins. A number of defence-related genes, including PR genes, contain a 'W' box in their promoter regions (Eulgem et al., 2000). Members of the WRKY family of transcription factors were upregulated in the resistant interaction between Nipponbare (a Striga resistant cultivar of rice) and $S$. hermonthica (Swarbrick et al. 2008).
4) Genes involved in secondary metabolic processes

A number of other genes involved in secondary metabolic process were found to be upregulated in the resistant rice cultivar (nipponbare) when infested with Striga hermonthica (Swarbrick et al., 2008). These include several genes encoding cytochrome P450 monoxygenases (P450s), a number of genes that code ABC transporters, Naringenin,2-oxoglutarate 7 3-dioxygenase, Chalcone synthase DII, Phenylalanine ammonia-lyase, Isoflavone reductase homolog IRL etc.

Table 2.1. Pathogenesis related proteins

| Family | Member Properties | Gene symbols |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PR-1 | Acidic unknown protein | Ypr1 |
| PR-2 | Basic b-1,3-glucanase (I), acidic/basic b-1,3-glucanase (II, III) | Ypr2 [Gns2(`Glb')] |
| PR-3 | Basic chitinase (I), Acidic chitinase (II) | Ypr3 Chia |
| PR-4 | Type I, II chitinase | Ypr4 Chid |
| PR-5 | Acidic/basic thaumatin-like (TL), osmotin proteins | Ypr.5 |
| PR-6 | Basic protease inhibitor | Ypr6 Pis ('Pin') |
| PR-7 | Endoprotease | Ypr7 |
| PR-8 | Acidic chitinase (III), acidic/basic chitinase (IV) | Ypr8 Chib |
| PR-9 | Lignin-forming peroxidase | Ypr9 Prx |
| PR-10 | Ribonuclease-like proteins | Ypr10 |
| PR-11 | Basic Chitinase (V) | Ypr11 Chic |
| PR-12 | Plant defensin | Ypr12 |
| PR-13 | Thionin | Ypr13 Thi |
| PR-14 | Lipid-transfer protein | Ypr14 Ltp |

Adapted and modified from Van Loon and Van Strien, 1999

Taken together, present molecular studies on Striga - host interactions indicate that the host plants respond by eliciting biotic stress-related defence pathways. These pathways sometimes have similar mechanisms to those activated by other pests and pathogens and pathways induced by abiotic stresses like drought (Timko and Scholes, 2013). The factors that determine the virulence of a parasite or that make a parasite choose a specific host are not well understood (Timko and Scholes, 2013). Understanding the above, as well as the differences and similarities in responses by the susceptible and resistant hosts to parasitism, will enable the crafting of methods to successfully introduce durable resistance into hosts.

### 2.5. Control measures

Many control measures have been suggested and/or developed for S. hermonthica (Hearne, 2009, Teka, 2014). Control measures currently being applied include crop rotation, intercropping, herbicide treatment (Musselman, 1987; Eplee et al., 1991), use of high nitrogen fertilizer (Kim and Adetimirin, 1997; Kim et al., 1997), uprooting by hand (Akobundu, 1991), planting of catch and trap crops (Egley et al., 1990), herbicide seed dressing (De Groote et al., 2008) and growing only of resistant/tolerant varieties (Parker, 1991; Kling et al., 2000). However, it is observed that these methods, when used individually are not completely effective in controlling $S$. hermonthica infestations (Bozkurt 2014). It is therefore necessary to combine these different methods, which have been adapted to the local farming systems, for use against the parasite. All the control options mentioned above have both technical and practical limitations such as: relatively high cost of deployment (herbicide treatment, high nitrogen fertilizer), lack of suitable break crop or intercrop and limited utility if only adopted by isolation (Hearne, 2008). Suggested potential control methods include host resistance from within and outside (wild) crop species, biological control (fusarium, desmodium, Arbscular mychorrhiza etc), and genetic transformation of either $S$. hermonthica or its maize host (Hearne, 2008). However, these potential methods also suffer limitations like the methods presently deployed against Striga. These limitations include non-availability of resistant maize seeds, lack of means of deployment of the technology (Hearne 2008) and limited public acceptance

The most practical S. hermonthica control approach for resource-poor small holder farmers is host crop varieties that are tolerant and/or resistant to Striga (Kim, 1994).

To be effective this approach has to be a component for any integrated Striga control strategy (Haussmann et al., 2001).

### 2.5.1. Host plant resistance

Resistance by host plants is thought to be the most practical means of controlling parasitic weeds. Using approaches that include biochemistry, plant genetics, plant breeding, and molecular biology, noteworthy advancements have been made in the design of methods for evaluating hosts for resistance to $S$. hermonthica, and this has led to the identification of novel sources of host resistance (Teka, 2014). Kim et al., (1999) reported the scarcity of Striga resistance gene among African maize landraces, tolerant plants were however identified. Lines developed from a temperate germplasm background initially provided sources of resistant genes to maize inbred lines (IITA, 1983), after which some other sources were identified from tropical germplasms.

The first set of inbred lines sources of Striga resistance show different levels of partial resistance and were thus not satisfactory. This led to further search for resistance in wild relatives of cultivated maize including Zea diploperennis and Tripsacum dactyloides, which show higher levels of resistance to Striga hermonthica. Reports of Striga resistance in maize have emerged over the last decade with lines derived from Zea diploperennis proven to have potential for Striga control include Zd282, Zd290, Zd467, Zd472 and Zd551 (Yallou et al., 2009). Menkir (2006) showed that the resistant response manifested in this maize line can be transferred successfully to other genotypes. The underlying resistance mechanisms of this maize line are yet uncharacterized. Knowledge of the mechanism of resistance to $S$. hermonthica in ZD05 will provide a rational and scientific basis for their exploitation and sustainable resistance could be attained in maize. Evidence by Rich and Ejeta (2008) has however shown that mechanisms of resistance in maize can occur due to reduced stimulation of Striga seed germination, reduced haustorial induction, evasion of the parasite through root architecture, escape through early maturity, resistance to attachment, and incompatibility or a combination of these mechanisms.

If source germplasm that have varying mechanisms of resistance to Striga hermonthica are identified, the genes that code for these different mechanisms can be pyramided into maize lines intended for cultivation in environments that are endemic to $S$.
hermonthica (Menkir, 2006). This is to obtain more lines with durable and stable polygenic resistance to the parasite. Striga hermonthica however, has the ability to overcome host resistance making breeding host plants against the parasite a difficult and complicated venture. This ability has been attributed to high levels of genetic variation within and between parasite populations (Koyama, 2000). This trait also thwarts other measures used to control the parasite, leading to control that is observed to vary in effectiveness seasonally and across geographic locations (Hearne, 2009).

### 2.6. Genetic diversity in Striga hermonthica

Genetic diversity is defined as the variability in the genetic makeup among individuals within a single species. It is the genetic differences between populations of a single species and among individuals within a population. It also refers to variation in the nucleotides, genes, chromosomes, or whole genomes of organisms. At its most elementary level, it is represented by differences in the sequences of nucleotides. As an obligate out-crossing species, $S$. hermonthica is expected to have very large within and between population genetic variations. This variation can help the parasite to evolve and adapt to changing environmental conditions (Koyama, 2000). The constant changes in cropping patterns and farming systems across regions in sub-Saharan Africa impose varying selection pressures, which can also promote the evolution of new ecotypes of S. hermonthica. Using isozymes and random amplification of polymorphic DNA (RAPD), East African S. hermonthica populations were observed to be genetically distinct from those from West Africa (Koyama, 2000). Amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) markers could not identify any inherent population differentiation in S. hermonthica genotypes from Kenya (Gerthi et al., 2005). It was however stated that it is possible the genes involved with pathogenicity and virulence may not be in the genomic regions that were sampled (Gerthi et al., 2005). A study using micro-satellite markers showed Malian S. hermonthica populations to have considerable genetic diversity. There was, however, little differentiation among the populations and no host specificity was apparent (Estep et al., 2011). Ethiopian $S$. hermonthica populations were shown to possess very high genetic diversity; geography and distance played the largest roles in the observed genetic diversity with host specificity again not being too significant (Welsh \& Mohamed, 2011). A synthesis of different diversity studies conducted in various locations across Africa with different
markers types so far agree that genetic distance increases as geographic distance increase but disagree on the presence or absence of witchweed populations that are specific to a particular host. Recent studies by Estep et al., (2011) and Welsh \& Mohamed, (2011), however point to the presence of races. Estep et al., (2011) found different races of the parasite and suggested the need for a more extensive study involving large numbers of population collected from different geographic areas and varying host crops using reproducible, neutral and co-dominant markers. Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs) are co-dominant markers. They have become the most widely used markers for genotyping because they are numerous in the genome (Dechamps et al., 2012)

### 2.7. Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP), next-generation sequencing technology and genotyping by sequencing (GBS).

Variations in the sequence of a plant's DNA accounts for many of the differences observed between individual plants or varieties. These observed differences range from plant development, tolerance to stress, and yield to nutritional quality. Most of the natural genetic variation in organisms is represented by SNPs or small insertions or deletions (Kruglyak, 1997). In the context of population genetics and diversity, SNPs are single base pair positions at a particular locus in genomic DNA where different sequence alternatives (alleles) exist, with the rarer allele, in this case, being defined as greater than one per cent in the population (Brookes 1999).

Single Nucleotide Polymorphism (SNP) is now the most widely used genotyping marker (Deschamps et al., 2012). They are flexible, fast, cost-effective and are amenable to automation. This is observed in their increasing use in the Next Generation Sequencing (NGS) Technology platforms. SNPs are now the focus of large-scale genotyping projects in humans, model organisms and crop plants. Next generation sequencing (NGS) platforms are high-throughput sequencing technologies that have the demonstrated capacity to sequence DNA at unprecedented speed, quantity and quality thereby enabling excellent scientific achievements and novel biological applications. The development of these high-throughput sequencing technologies is driven by the high demand for low cost sequence technologies (Jiangfeng et al., 2014). NGS relies on massively parallel sequencing and imaging techniques to yield several hundreds of millions to several hundreds of billions of

DNA bases per run (Shendure and Ji, 2008). Several NGS platforms, such as Roche 454 FLX Titanium, Illumina MiSeq and HiSeq2500, Ion Torrent PGM are commercially available. Relative to Sanger sequencing data and to each other, these platforms generate different base read lengths, different error rates, and different error profiles. NGS technologies have increased the speed and throughput capacities of DNA sequencing and this has led to dramatically reduced overall sequencing costs.

New applications like the sequencing of ancient DNA samples have become possible in the wake of NGS technology (Jiangfeng et al., 2014). They have recently been used for resequencing and to sequence whole genomes. According to Elshire et al., (2011) the genomes of several organisms have been sequenced and vast amounts of single nucleotide polymorphisms discovered and used for investigating genetic diversity, map construction and for performing genome-wide association studies.

### 2.7.1. Genotyping-By-Sequencing

Advanced genome sequencing technology such as Genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) has aided in the discovery and genotyping of millions of genome-wide DNA polymorphisms like SNPs (Elshire et al., 2011). It is a cost-effective, simple and highly multiplexed sequencing method for constructing reduced representation library that can be used in the study of genetic analyses and to address biological research questions in many organisms (Baird et al., 2008, Elshire et al., 2011). By using restriction enzymes (REs) that are sensitive to methylation, repetitive regions of genomes can be avoided and regions with lower copy numbers targeted with two to three-fold higher efficiency (Jiangfeng et al., 2014). Genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) makes use of high throughput, short-read sequencing to provide low cost genotyping with high information content. GBS tremendously facilitates genetic studies that were previously very expensive to carry out. Genotyping-by-sequencing has grown to become be a great instrument for genetic mapping (Baird et al., 2008; Elshire et al., 2011), breeding applications, and diversity studies (Fu, 2012; Lu et al., 2012).

### 2.8. Transcriptomics

Gene expression by hosts on infection by parasites can be interrogated by studying an organism's transcriptome. The transcriptome is the whole set of transcripts and their
quantity in a cell, tissue, organ or a whole organism at a particular time, in a specific developmental stage or under special physiological conditions (Wang et al., 2009). The analysis of the transcriptome is useful for the interpretation of functional elements of the genome, to understand development and disease or to determine the transcriptional structure of genes, like transcription start sites, 5' and 3' ends, posttranslational modifications and splicing processes. Transcriptomics is the study of the transcriptome, which is all the RNA transcripts that are produced by the genome, under a specific circumstance or in a specific cell. It is done using high-throughput methods like RNA-seq and microarray. The main purpose of such a study is to catalogue all species of transcripts, such as mRNAs, small interfering RNAs or noncoding RNAs. It involves determining the transcriptional structure of genes, in terms of their start sites, $5^{\prime}$ and $3^{\prime}$ ends, splicing patterns and other post-transcriptional modifications and quantifying the changing expression levels of each transcript during development and under different conditions (Wang et al., 2009). The presence of and access to a maize reference genome assembly has made transcriptome analysis possible (Schnable et al., 2009).

Several methods exist for exploring and quantifying the transcriptome, they are broadly divided into hybridisation-based or sequence-based methods (Wang et al., 2009). The approaches involving hybridisation-based include various forms of microarrays while the sequence-based methods include ribonucleic acid sequencing (Also known as RNA-Seq). Ribonucleic acid sequencing also called whole transcriptome shotgun sequencing (WTSS), is a highly sensitive and accurate tool for measuring expression across the transcriptome. RNA-seq uses next-generation sequencing (NGS) platforms and offers advantages that include lack of the background noise commonly observed in microarrays as a result of cross-hybridisation (Okoniewski and Miller, 2006, Royce et al., 2007). The advantages of RNA-Seq also include an improved alternative splice variants detection ability (Mortazavi et al., 2008; Sultan et al., 2008), a large potent range (greater than 9000 fold) across which gene expression can be estimated, and the capacity to identify and quantify the expression of paralogs that are quite alike (Mortazavi et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2009; Grabherr et al., 2011).

In plants and animals, ribonucleic acid sequencing is used to study the expression of genes at different developmental stages and under different conditions. It has been
used in the study of gene expression in Arabidopsis thaliana, mouse, human cells (Mortazavi et al., 2008; Lister et al., 2008; Cloonan et al., 2008; Marioni, 2008; Morin et al., 2008) and rice (Swabrick et al., 2008). It has been used in maize to; study root development (Scott et al., 2016), understand how the roots of a maize variety responds to deficiency in Nitrogen (He et al., 2016) and explore its tolerance to the presence of Nicosulfuron (Liu et al., 2014) e.t.c.

Many studies have been done to investigate the interactions between diverse parasites and host plants. A study on the interaction between Cuscuta spp and a susceptible and resistant host plant shows that tomato (Solanum lycopersicum), through a hypersensitive-type response, resists infection by Cuscuta reflexa. In its response, the cells of the tomato at the infection site secrete soluble phenylpropanoids and show an increased accumulation and activity of peroxidases. Peroxidases are important for linking phenylpropanoids with other components of the cell wall such as proteins, pectins, or cellulose fibres. This cell wall that has been modified is hypothesized to block the site of infection, containing the parasite's hautorium, thus effectively halting Cuscuta Reflexa movement through the tissues of the tomato plant (Kaiser et al., 2015). Swabrick et al., (2008) in a different study involving two different rice genotypes, one susceptible and the other resistant to infestation by Striga hermonthica revealed differences in the molecular responses of both genotypes. The resistant genotype upregulated many genes involved in plant defence like pathogenesis-related proteins, ATP-binding cassette transporters, genes involved in the metabolism of phenylpropanoid and WRKY transcription factors. While the susceptible plant showed a global downregulation of these genes. It particularly downregulated genes involved with plant growth regulation, genes involved in signalling and metabolism, and genes involved in biogenesis of cellular components and cell division (Swarbrick et al., 2008).

## CHAPTER 3

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 3.1. Chemicals and reagents

Restriction enzyme (EcoR1), HindIII size standard, Quant-iT ${ }^{T M}$ PicoGreen ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ dsDNA Reagent and Qubit ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ dsDNA HS Assay Kit were obtained from Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc. USA. Restricton enzyme ApeKI and T4 DNA ligase were procured from New England Biolabs, USA. Lambda DNA was obtained from Promega, USA. The synthetic strigolactone, GR-24 ( $( \pm)-\left(3 \mathrm{aR}^{*}, 8 \mathrm{bS} *, \mathrm{E}\right)-3-\left(\left(\left(\mathrm{R}^{*}\right)-4\right.\right.$-methyl-5-oxo-2,5-dihydrofuran-2-yloxy)methylene)-3,3a,4,8b-tetrahydro-2H-indeno[1,2-b]furan-2-one) was obtained from Dr Sarah Hearne ( CIMMYT, Mexico. RNAstable was obtained from Biomatrica Inc. California USA. ZYMO research RNA clean and concentrator-5 kit was obtained from ZYMO research corp, USA. ScriptSeq Complete (plant leaf or root) library preparation kit, ScriptSeq index kit, StarScript Reverse Transcriptase, Failsafe DNA polymerase was procured from Illumina Inc. USA. D1000 ScreenTape \& Reagents were procured from Integrated sciences Pty limited, Australia. Qiagen buffer PB (Binding buffer) and QIAgen MinElute Kit were procured from Qiagen Hilden, Germany. RNAse kits, DNase kits, Diethyl pyrocarbonate, Boric acid, TRIZMA base, hydrochloric acid, Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), sodium chloride, Cetyl triammonium bromide (CTAB), chloroform, Isoamyl alcohol, Potassium sulphate, Calcium chloride 2 hydrate, Magnesium sulphate 7 hydrate, Agarose gel tablets, Disodium hydrogen orthophosphate 7 hydrate, Ammonium nitrate, Ethylenediaminetetra-acetic acid ferric monosodium salt, Manganese II sulphate 1 hydrate, Zinc sulphate-7-hydrate, Copper II sulphate 5 hydrate, Boric acid, Disodium molybdate 2 hydrate, Cobalt sulphate 7 hydrate, Mercaptoethanol and ethanol were procured from Sigma-Aldrich, Germany. The chemicals used in this study were of molecular biology grade.

### 3.2. Procedures and preparation of reagents.

### 3.2.1 Sample collection

### 3.2.1.1. Maize

Seeds of two inbred maize lines (5057 (Tlalt. $7844 \times$ TZSR)) and ZD05 (Z. Diplo-BC4-19-41-\#-3-1-b-1-b*6) were obtained from Dr Abebe Menkir, a maize breeder in the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA). The 5057 is a maize genotype that is susceptible to Striga, while ZD05 is resistant to Striga infestation (Menkir, 2006, Menkir et al., 2016). The seeds were stored in the cold room of the Bioscience Center, International Institute of Tropical Agriculture and used as required.

### 3.2.1.2. Striga hermonthica

Striga hermonthica leaves were sampled from farms located in regions, of two African countries, with prevalent Striga hermonthica infestation (Western Kenya and Northern Nigeria), as shown Table 3.1. The sampling was done between October and December in 2012 by the student with the help of staff of IITA-kano, in Nigeria and IITA-Kenya in Kenya. Leaves and seeds were collected from randomly selected evenly dispersed natural Striga hermonthica populations (not from inoculated farms). In each collection site, leaf tissue was randomly collected from Striga hermonthica plants growing on hosts at least 1 meter apart. Leaves from at least fifteen S. hermonthica plants per host species per farm were collected in separate bags. All the leaves from a $S$. hermonthica plant were harvested and immediately kept in individual bags that contain silica gel. The silica gel desiccated the samples and prevent degradation of their deoxyribobnucleic acid (DNA). Also ripened seeds from twenty plants, from each of the hosts were collected from the same farms where the leaves were collected. Ripened seeds were obtained from plants that have completed flowering cycle (that is, those with flowers only on the uppermost parts of the plant or those without any flowers) and contain healthy, intact, and unshattered capsules. The seeds were collected by cutting off the floral head(s), placing and allowing it to dry in paper bags, then beating the bags and progressively sieving the contents through a 250 micron and a 150-micron sieve. The seeds obtained were collected and stored in labelled plastic bags. A total of two hundred and fifty-four $S$. hermonthica plant samples were collected from nine
different districts in western Kenya. The Nigerian samples amounted to seven hundred and seventy-five $S$. hermonthica samples from thirty-seven (37) locations across fourteen (14) states. The Striga plants were collected from four host crops Maize, sorghum, millet and rice (Maize, sorghum and millet in Nigeria and maize, sorghum and rice in Kenya). More locations were sampled in Nigeria than in Kenya because Northern Nigeria in many times larger than western Kenya. The Striga hermonthica plants were authenticated at the Department of Botany, University of Ibadan, voucher number UIH-22774.

### 3.2.2. Surface sterilization of seeds

Procedure for surface sterilization was modified from Girton (1936).

### 3.2.2.1. Maize seeds

Maize seeds were placed into 500 ml conical flask, 250 ml of distilled water was poured into the flask, 10 ml of $1 \%$ sodium hypochlorite and 2 drops of tween- 20 were added into the mixture. The mixture was stirred intermittently for 10 minutes and then rinsed thoroughly several times with double-distilled water.

### 3.2.2.2. Striga hermonthica seeds

The Striga hermonthica seeds were mixed, surface-sterilized with a $1 \%$ sodium hypochlorite solution containing 3 drops of tween- 20 (to break the surface tension). The mixture was stirred intermittently for 5 minutes in a sterile 500 ml conical flask. After which, the surface-sterilized seeds were washed 3 to 4 times with double distilled water.

### 3.2.3. Pre-conditioning of Striga hermonthica seeds

The surface sterilized $S$. hermonthica seeds were put into a Petri dish lined with 90 mm Whatman glass microfiber filter paper and sterile distilled water was sprinkled on the filter paper to get it moist. The Petri dish was covered with aluminium foil and kept in the incubator at $28{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 days for preconditioning.

Table 3.1. Striga hermonthica sample collection sites

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| S/N | Country | State/district | Town/collection location | Longitude | Latitude | Host crop |
| 1 | Kenya | Butere | Sabatia | 0.09994 | 34.7391 | Maize |
| 2 | Kenya | Homabay | Homabay/Rodi road | -0.5879 | 34.4791 | Maize/sorghum |
| 3 | Kenya | Matungu | Nambale-Mumias road | 0.39098 | 34.4767 | Maize |
| 4 | Kenya | Migori | Migori | -1.0487 | 34.3053 | Maize |
| 5 | Kenya | North Teso | Bumula | 0.3711 | 34.2451 | Maize |
| 6 | Kenya | Nyando | Ahero | -0.0107 | 34.0563 | Maize/sorghum |
| 7 | Kenya | Sabatia | Butere | 0.09994 | 34.7391 | Maize |
| 8 | Kenya | Siaya | Ugenya | -0.2071 | 34.2681 | Maize/sorghum |
| 9 | Kenya | South Teso | Adungosi | 0.51836 | 34.1401 | Rice/Maize |
| 10 | Kenya | Webuye | Matete | 0.344 | 34.4755 | Maize |
| 11 | Nigeria | Abuja | Zuba-Kaduna expressway | 9.24478 | 7.21419 | Sorghum |
| 12 | Nigeria | Abuja | Zuba-Gwagwalada road | 9.04744 | 7.19246 | Sorghum |
| 13 | Nigeria | Abuja | Zuba-Garki road | 9.13341 | 7.34418 | Sorghum |
| 14 | Nigeria | Abuja | 7 7km from airport junction | 9.02921 | 7.17461 | Sorghum |
| 15 | Nigeria | Abuja | 9km from airport junction | 9.0676 | 7.20557 | Sorghum |
| 16 | Nigeria | Abuja | Gwarko | 12.8576 | 5.1689 | Maize |
| 17 | Nigeria | Abuja | Zuma rock | 9.13198 | 7.22855 | Sorghum/Maize |
| 18 | Nigeria | Adamawa | Sabongarimbalhwana | 10.5337 | 13.1629 | Sorghum |
| 19 | Nigeria | Adamawa | Sabongarimbalhwana | 10.5371 | 13.1466 | Maize |
| 20 | Nigeria | Bauchi | Bishi | 10.2531 | 10.1064 | Maize |
| 21 | Nigeria | Bauchi | Bishi | 10.2531 | 10.1064 | Sorghum |
| 22 | Nigeria | Bauchi | Gar Alkaleri | 11.9569 | 7.68472 | Maize |
| 23 | Nigeria | Bauchi | Gar Alkaleri | 11.9569 | 7.68472 | Millet |
| 24 | Nigeria | Bauchi | Gwaltukura Dass | 9.25306 | 9.50136 | Maize |
| 25 | Nigeria | Bauchi | Gwaltukura Dass | 9.25306 | 9.50136 | Sorghum |
| 26 | Nigeria | Bauchi | Gwaltukura Dass | 10.5371 | 13.1466 | Sorghum |
| 27 | Nigeria | Bauchi | KafinMadaki, Ganjuwa | 10.7315 | 9.77375 | Sorghum |
| 28 | Nigeria | Bauchi | Rigingain | 9.05 | Sorghum |  |
| 29 | Nigeria | Benue | Yandev | 10.0526 | 9.09825 | Sorghum |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| S/N | Country | State/district | Town/collection location | Longitude | Latitude | Host crop |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 30 | Nigeria | Borno | Askira Uba | 10.8207 | 13.1868 | Sorghum |
| 32 | Nigeria | Borno | Askira Uba | 10.8252 | 13.1936 | Maize |
| 33 | Nigeria | Borno | Grium Hawul | 10.97 | 12.0168 | Maize |
| 34 | Nigeria | Borno | Grium Hawul | 10.97 | 12.0168 | Sorghum |
| 35 | Nigeria | Gombe | Konkeje akko LGA | 10.5054 | 11.5733 | Sorghum |
| 36 | Nigeria | Gombe | Konkeje akko LGA | 10.5081 | 11.5772 | Maize |
| 37 | Nigeria | Jigawa | Babura | 12.7602 | 9.01108 | Maize |
| 38 | Nigeria | Jigawa | Babura | 12.7602 | 9.01108 | Millet |
| 39 | Nigeria | Jigawa | Babura | 12.7602 | 9.01108 | Sorghum |
| 40 | Nigeria | Jigawa | Yarda birninwa | 12.8031 | 10.2545 | millet |
| 41 | Nigeria | Kaduna | Kasuwan Maganin | 10.4204 | 7.71731 | Sorghum |
| 42 | Nigeria | Kaduna | Zaria | 11.1667 | 7.63333 | Sorghum |
| 43 | Nigeria | Kaduna | Zaria | 11.1856 | 7.63328 | Maize |
| 44 | Nigeria | Kaduna | Zaria/Zikri | 11.1858 | 7.63328 | Sorghum |
| 45 | Nigeria | Kaduna | Zaria/Zikri | 11.1863 | 7.59942 | Maize |
| 46 | Nigeria | Kano | Danbata doguwa doruwa | 12.2861 | 8.64878 | Millet |
| 47 | Nigeria | Kano | Danbata doguwa doruwa | 12.2861 | 8.64878 | Sorghum |
| 48 | Nigeria | Kano | Minjibir | 12.1783 | 8.65917 | Millet |
| 49 | Nigeria | Kano | Minjibir wase | 12.1783 | 8.65917 | sorghum |
| 50 | Nigeria | Kano | Tudun wada | 11.2483 | 8.37111 | Maize |
| 51 | Nigeria | Kano | Tudun wada | 11.2483 | 8.37111 | Sorghum |
| 52 | Nigeria | Katsina | Dayi-Malunfashi | 11.9569 | 7.68472 | Maize |
| 53 | Nigeria | Katsina | Dayi-Malunfashi | 11.9569 | 7.68472 | Millet |
| 54 | Nigeria | Katsina | Dayi-Malunfashi | 11.9569 | 7.68472 | sorghum |
| 55 | Nigeria | Kebbi | Wali Argungu | 12.7102 | 4.86694 | sorghum |
| 56 | Nigeria | Kebbi | Wali Argungu | 12.7193 | 4.86389 | Millet |
| 57 | Nigeria | Kogi | Yogbo leke | 7.9974 | 8.4467 | Sorghum |
| 58 | Nigeria | Niger | 2km E on Bida road | 9.32542 | 5.06875 | Sorghum |
| 59 | Nigeria | Niger | Kwakwara | 9.36226 | 5.02541 | Sorghum |
| 60 | Nigeria | Niger | Mokwa | Maize |  |  |
| 61 | Nigeria | Niger | Mokwa |  |  |  |


| S/N | Country | State/district | Town/collection location | Longitude | Latitude | Host crop |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 62 | Nigeria | Niger | Tatabu-mokwa road. | 9.24616 | 4.96107 | Millet |
| 63 | Nigeria | Sokoto | bisallam-dangeshuni | 12.8216 | 5.38164 | Millet |
| 64 | Nigeria | Sokoto | bisallam-dangeshuni | 12.8216 | 5.38164 | Sorghum |
| 65 | Nigeria | Zamfara | Mahuta-bungudu | 12.2385 | 6.62578 | Millet |
| 66 | Nigeria | Zamfara | Mahuta-bungudu | 12.2385 | 6.62578 | sorghum |

### 3.2.4. Deoxyribonucleic Acid (DNA) extraction

The procedure used to extract DNA from the Striga hermonthica leaf tissue was modified from Doyle and Doyle (1990).

## Principle

The extraction of DNA from plant cells is based on the fact that cells can be lysed and their intracellular contents exposed into an environment conducive for nucleic acids. The other constituents of the cell are then selectively removed by both physical and chemical methods until only nucleic acids are left. They are then cleaned and an appropriate enzyme (RNase) is used to degrade the RNA present in the sample, thus leaving only DNA.

## Procedure for DNA extraction

The reagents used for DNA extraction are Cetyl triammonium bromide (CTAB) extraction buffer [CTAB, 1.0 M TRIS-HCl, 0.5 M Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), 5 M Sodium Chloride ( NaCl ), Mecarptoethanol], 70 \% Ethanol, Iso-propanol and RNase. Leaf tissue (about 0.1 g ) was ground into fine powder by shaking for 4 minutes at a speed of 500 strokes/min using GenoGrinder-2000. 800 ul of freshly prepared modified CTAB extraction buffer ( 200 mM Tris, pH 7.5 ; 50 mM EDTA, pH 8.0; $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl} ; 2 \% \mathrm{CTAB} ; 1 \%$ beta-mercaptoethanol) was added to the ground powder in a 1.5 ml extraction tube and incubated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a water bath for 30 minutes with continuous gentle rocking. The tubes were then removed from the water bath, gently tapped and centrifuged at 3500 rpm for 10 mins. About 500 ul of the aqueous phase was transferred into new tubes, and 600 ul of chloroform isoamyl alcohol (24:1) added. The solution was gently mixed and centrifuged at 3500 rpm for 10 min . The upper aqueous layer was transferred to fresh strip tubes and the process repeated. About 400ul of the upper aqueous layer was transferred into fresh strip tubes and 600 ul of $100 \%$ ice-cold isopropanol (2-propanol) was added. The tubes gently inverted about 50 times and then put in the freezer $\left(-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ for 60 minutes. They were then centrifuged at 3500 rpm for 20 min to form a pellet at the bottom of the tube and the supernatant discarded. The pellet was washed with 400ul of $70 \%$ ethanol, centrifuged for 15 min and the ethanol decanted. This process was repeated, and the
pellet was air-dried and reconstituted with double distilled water (Doyle and Doyle, 1990).

### 3.3.4.1. DNA quantity and quality Assessment

The quality and quantity of the DNA was assessed by two methods
(i) Agarose gel electrophoresis
(ii) Spectrophotometry

Gel electrophoresis

## Principle

Biomolecules can be separated by applying an electric field to move the charged molecules through an agarose matrix. The biomolecules are separated by size in the agarose gel matrix. The size of the pores within the agarose gel control the rate at which a molecule moves from one end of the gel to another. The negatively charged phosphodiester groups form the backbone of the DNA molecule and thus the molecule will migrate towards the positively charged electrode when an electric current flows through the gel. Thus, the larger weight molecules, will migrate only a very short distance from the wells.

## Procedure

The quality of DNA was checked in an $0.8 \%$ agarose gel, prepared by boiling 0.8 g of agarose in 100 ml of 1 X TBE. The gel was then cooled to about $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 5 ul ethidium bromide added. The mixture was poured on a gel tray to solidify. A mixture of 3 ul of the DNA solution and 3 ul of bromophenol blue loading dye was prepared and loaded onto the gel and the gel ran at 80 volts for 60 minutes.

Nanodrop Spectrophotometer
Principle
A nanodrop spectrophotometer works by measuring the absorbance of double-stranded DNA at a wavelength of 260 and calculates the corresponding concentration of the

DNA solution based on the Beer-Lambert equation (as modified for the spectrophotometer $): \mathrm{c}=\mathrm{A} /(\mathrm{E} \times \mathrm{b})$
where
$\mathrm{c}=$ concentration in $\mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{L}$,
$\mathrm{A}=$ absorbance in AU ,
$\mathrm{e}=$ wavelength-dependent extinction coefficient in ng-cm $/ \mu \mathrm{L}$.
$\mathrm{b}=$ path length in cm (The generally accepted extinction coefficients for nucleic acids are; Double-stranded DNA: 50, Single-stranded DNA: 33 and RNA: 40)

The ratio of the OD at 260 nm to the OD at 280 nm is used to determine the purity of the DNA solution. A value of between 1.8 and 2.0 is considered as pure DNA.

Procedure

DNA Concentration was also measured by pipetting $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of DNA solution on the pedestal of the Nanodrop spectrophotometer and reading the corresponding concentration off a computer screen. The ratio of the absorbance at $260 \mathrm{~nm} / 280 \mathrm{~nm}$ was also noted to confirm the purity of DNA.

### 3.2.5 Genotyping by sequencing

Genotyping-by-sequencing was performed as described in Elshire et al., (2011)

## Principle

Genotyping by sequencing (GBS) involves sequencing by synthesis. The order of nucleotides in a DNA strand is deduced as the complimentary copy of the strand that is synthesized. Restriction enzymes are used to reduce the complexity of the organism's genome. The DNA is digested, and polymerase chain reaction is performed to increase the number of restriction fragments. These fragments then form a library that is sequenced and about 100 base pairs (bp) single-end reads are obtained. The reads are aligned to the organism reference genome and a suitable pipeline is used to identify single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs).

## Reagents

PicoGreen, Dimethyl sulphoxide, Lambda DNA solution (diluted serially from 0 to $200 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ul}$ ), Low-salt Tris-EDTA buffer, ApeKI and NEB buffer ( $100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 50$ mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}, 10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{BSA}$ ) ( pH 7.9 ), T 4 DNA ligase and Adapters, Qiagen buffer PB (Binding buffer), Qiagen MinElute and Protocol Phusion® High-Fidelity Polymerase chain reaction Master Mix (Phusion DNA Polymerase, 5 mM MgCl 2 and $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of each dNTP)

## Procedure

The concentrations of the DNA samples extracted were adjusted to working solutions of at least $10 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$. 95 DNA samples were then pipetted into a 96 -well optical plate and one randomly placed well left blank. $5 \mu \mathrm{l}(500 \mathrm{ng})$ each of 10 randomly selected samples per plate were digested using EcoRl and run on $1 \%$ standard agarose along with $\lambda$ HindIII size standard after which the DNA samples were lyophilized and sent for genotyping using the 'Genotyping by sequencing' (GBS) method.

Fluorimetric quantification of DNA

In preparation for GBS, the DNA was quantified by fluorimetry.

## Fluorimetry principle

This assay is based on the fact that the free dye does not fluoresce, however upon binding to double-stranded DNA, it exhibits a greater 1000-fold fluorescence enhancement and it is highly selective for dsDNA over ssDNA and RNA. The absorbance is directly proportional to the concentration of DNA in the solution.

Procedure for fluorimetry

Preparation of DNA Standard Curve

Lambda DNA was diluted serially from 0 to 200ng/ul with low-salt Tris EDTA buffer ( 10 mM Tris, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0). Working PicoGreen quantification reagent (100 $\mu \mathrm{l}$ ) was mixed with $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the DNA and incubated for 5 minutes at room temperature. After incubation, $200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of each dilution was pipetted into a microplate in three replicates and the concentration read using the Biotek plate reader (Table 3.2) at

Table 3.2. Optical reading for DNA concentration standard curve

| DNA concentration(ng/ $\mu \mathrm{L})$ | Reading 1 | Reading 2 | Reading 3 | Average |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 16165 | 16458 | 16463 | 16362 |
| 4 | 19042 | 20050 | 19416 | 19502.67 |
| 8 | 23401 | 21628 | 22762 | 22597 |
| 12 | 27440 | 25379 | 24933 | 25917.33 |
| 16 | 37172 | 30514 | 30514 | 32733.33 |
| 20 | 35991 | 37826 | 37826 | 37214.33 |
| 24 | 45218 | 40957 | 43132 | 43102.33 |
| 28 | 45946 | 42845 | 45372 | 44721 |
| 32 | 44931 | 49704 | 54007 | 49547.33 |
| 36 | 47322 | 52368 | 52368 | 50686 |
| 40 | 57725 | 57725 | 57289 | 57579.67 |
| 44 | 60400 | 59720 | 68785 | 62968.33 |
| 48 | 66838 | 62746 | 66838 | 65474 |
| 52 | 72382 | 76261 | 72382 | 73675 |
| 60 | 81660 | 81666 | 81678 | 81668 |
| 70 | 92778 | 92773 | 92775 | 92775.33 |
| 80 | 103889 | 103887 | 103889 | 103888.3 |
| 100 | 127111 | 129113 | 129154 | 128459.3 |
| 120 | 144430 | 145431 | 146432 | 145431 |
| 140 | 170538 | 170567 | 170556 | 170553.7 |
| 160 | 192794 | 192785 | 192776 | 192785 |
| 180 | 214003 | 213002 | 212005 | 213003.3 |
| 200 | 237223 | 237222 | 237221 | 237222 |

an emission wavelength of $485 \mathrm{~nm} / 20 \mathrm{~nm}$ and an excitation of $530 \mathrm{~nm} / 25 \mathrm{~nm}$. The average absorbance was plotted against DNA concentration to give the standard curve. The same process was done to the test DNA sample and their concentrations read off the standard curve.

DNA digestion

The DNA samples in solution were digested separately with a selective restriction enzyme known as ApeK1. ApeK1 is a type II restriction endonuclease that recognises a degenerate 5 bp sequence (GCWGC, where W can be A or T ), creates a $3 \mathrm{bp} 5^{\prime}$, overhang, and is partially methylation-sensitive. The digest cocktail consisting of $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of Neb buffer, $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of ApeKI and $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ nuclease free water, was prepared and added to $12 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $100 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{L}$ of DNA. The cocktail was then kept on ice and the solution was pipetted continuously to mix evenly, and the plate sealed and placed in a thermocycler with a heated lid and allowed to incubate for 2 hours at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by cooling at 4 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Ligation of adapters to DNA

Genotyping by sequencing (GBS) involves the use of common and barcoded adapters. These were then ligated to the digested DNA fragments. The adapters ( $1.5 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{L}$ ) was briefly centrifuged and $3 \mu \mathrm{l}$ was added to each digest product. The ligation cocktail (10x T4 ligase buffer, T4 DNA ligase and nuclease free water) was prepared at room temperature and added to the DNA-Adapter mix. The samples were properly mixed and incubated for 1 hour in a thermocylcer with a heated lid at $22{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30$ minutes at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and then cooled at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Equal volumes of ligated DNA were then pooled in a reagent reservoir containing 5X the total volume Qiagen buffer PB, such that the total amount pooled is close to 2000 ng . The DNA was thoroughly mixed again by pipetting and then cleaned and concentrated using MinElute.

## Size selection

The pooled ligated DNA was visualized using two per cent low melting point agarose. Bands within the range $400-800 \mathrm{bp}$ was cut, placed in a 2 ml tube and eluted from the gel with a Qiagen MinElute. For 400mg of gel, one MinElute was used.

Enrichment of ligated DNA via Polymerase Chain reaction (PCR).

The ligated DNA fragments were pooled and amplified with primers that are complementary to the adapter sequences. The PCR reaction mix was prepared by adding the PCR cocktail ( $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of Primer $1,2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Primer $2,25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of Phusion HF master mix (NEB) and $7 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ nuclease free water) to $14 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of digested DNA. The samples were properly mixed and run in a thermocylcer with a heated lid at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The PCR program used was as follows: An initial denaturation step at $98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 seconds, then 10 cycles of denaturation $98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 seconds, annealing at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 seconds, and elongation at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 45 seconds, and then a final elongation step at 72 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The PCR product was stored for 5 minutes at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The polymerase chain reaction products were run on $2 \%$ low melting agarose gel and visualized.

Sequencing and SNP calling

The library was purified and quantified using Agilent BioAnalyzer 2100. The fragment sizes and the presence of adapter dimers were evaluated. The DNA library was then processed and sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq 2500. The SNPs were called from the sequencing results using the UNEAK (Universal Network Enabled Analysis Kit) GBS pipeline (Lu et al., 2013), which is part of the TASSEL 3.0 bioinformatics analysis package (Bradbury et al., 2007) (Version: 3.0.166 Date: April 17, 2014). This method was used because it does not require the organism's reference genome. Single nucleotide polymorphisms are discovered within pairs of matched sequence tags and filtered through network analysis as described by Huang et al., (2014) and Lu et al., (2013). Also, another genotyping by sequencing discovery pipeline, using Striga transcriptome, available on Tassel (Version: 3.0.166 Date: April 17, 2014) was used. In this instance, sequence reads were mapped to Striga transcriptome.

### 3.2.6. Preparation of $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$ Long-Ashton solution.

The Long Ashton solution was prepared as amended by Cechin and Press (1994), to provide $1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ in order to ensure that $S$. hermonthica germination and attachment was not impeded by excessive nitrogen.

Stock solutions of the macronutrients and micronutrients were prepared to the concentrations listed below, (table 3.6), Working solutions were then prepared by mixing appropriate amounts of the stock solutions with water in a 2000 L drum. The resulting solution was used to nourish the plants throughout the experiments.

### 3.3.7 Preparation of $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ GR24 solution

GR24
$\left[( \pm)-\left(3 \mathrm{aR} *, 8 \mathrm{bS}{ }^{*}, \mathrm{E}\right)-3-\left(\left(\left(\mathrm{R}^{*}\right)-4-m e t h y l-5-o x o-2,5-\right.\right.\right.$ dihydrofuran-2-yloxy $)$ methylene)-3,3a,4,8b-tetrahydro-2H-indeno[1,2-b]furan-2-one)] is a synthetic strigolactone that is used to mimic the effects of strigolactones.

## Principle

Gr24 is nonpolar substance and will thus dissolve in only non-polar solvents. It must however be administered in water. Acetone has a polar $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ bond, which can interact with the dipoles of water to form hydrogen bonds it is thus soluble in water.

Procedure.
$1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of GR24 was dissolved in 1 ml of acetone. The mixture is swirled slowly until the GR24 is completely dissolved. The solution was then made up to 1 L with double distilled water, stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and used as required.

Table 3.3. Chemical composition of $40 \%$ Long Ashton Solution (Hewitt, 1966), amended to provide $1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NH} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$.

| Compound | Formula | Stock solution $\mathrm{gdm}^{-3}$ | Final conc. of nutrient solution fed rhizotrons |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Macronutrients |  |  |  |
| Potassium sulphate | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | 21.75 | 0.8 mM |
| Calcium chloride 2 hydrate | $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 73.47 | 1.6 mM |
| Magnesium sulphate 7 hydrate | $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4 .} 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 46.0 | 0.6 mM |
| Disodium hydrogen orthophosphate 7 hydrate | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4} .7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 22.28 | 0.53 mM |
| Ammonium nitrate | $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ | 50.25 | 1.0 mM |
| Micronutrients |  |  |  |
| Ethylenediaminetetra-acetic acid ferric monosodium salt | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{FeNa}$ | 8.23 | 0.04 mM |
| Manganese II sulphate 1 hydrate | $\mathrm{MnSO}_{4} .1 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 1.69 | 4.0 nM |
| Zinc sulphate 7 hydrate | $\mathrm{ZnSO}_{4} .7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 0.29 | 0.4 nM |
| Copper II sulphate 5 hydrate | $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} .5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 0.25 | 0.4 nM |
| Boric acid | $\mathrm{HBO}_{3}$ | 3.1 | 0.02 mM |
| Disodium molybdate 2 hydrate | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{MoO}_{4} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 0.12 | 0.2 nM |
| Cobalt sulphate 7 hydrate | $\mathrm{CoSO}_{4} .7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 0.053 | 0.08 nM |
| Sodium chloride | NaCl | 5.85 | 0.04 mM |

### 3.2.8 Assembly of rhizotron

A Rhizotron is a transparent bioassay growth chamber that allows for real-time monitoring of plant roots without destroying the plant. These were used to investigate the attachment and growth of Striga hermonthica throughout the study.

Materials
a) Black and clear Sheets of perspex glass, ( $30 \mathrm{~cm} \times 40 \mathrm{~cm} \times 2 \mathrm{~mm}$ )
b) $\quad$ Glass rods ( $40 \mathrm{~cm} \times 1 \mathrm{~cm} \times 1 \mathrm{~cm}$ )
c) Sheet of black cotton fabric ( $30 \mathrm{~cm} \times 40 \mathrm{~cm}$ )
d) A sheet of black polyethylene plastic material
e) Felt
f) Fold back clips
g) Silver sand

Procedure

Washing and sterilizing of river sand

River sand obtained from the Lagos bar beach was washed by running water through a pipe continuously into a drum containing the sand. The running water removes all organic matter from the sand. The sand was sterilized by pouring boiling water into the drum with the washed river sand until the water level is just above the level of the sand. This was left to stand for about one hour, after which the water was drained and the sand allowed to dry.

Construction of rhizotron

The Rhizotron was constructed by gluing 1 cm thick glass rods to both sides of a black Perspex glass sheet and a felt strip to the bottom of the glass sheet. Washed, sterilized and dry river sand was poured unto the black Perspex glass and a sheet of black cotton fabric placed on the surface of the river sand, a clear sheet Perspex glass was then placed upon the fabric. The entire setup was wrapped with a black polyethylene plastic material to shield the root zone from light and five fold-back clips were used to firmly hold the rhizotron set up together (figure 3.2).

Introduction of plant material into the rhizotrons

One surface-sterilized maize seed was placed per rhizotron, on the black cotton fabric, at a depth of about 2 cm . The maize lines, in the rhizotrons, were infested with Striga hermonthica seeds, by using a brush to carefully spread 2 g of Striga hermonthica seeds all over the rhizotron surface and especially on the exposed roots of the maize plants. The rhizotrons were fed two times (morning and evening) daily, with nutrient solution ( $40 \%$ Long Ashton solution containing $1 \mathrm{molm}^{-1}$ ammonium nitrate), to provide a total volume of 250 ml of nutrient solution per rhizotron per day.

### 3.2.9. Collection of maize root exudates

The germination ability of exudates of the roots of the maize plants was tested in two experiments. In the first experiment, to determine the number of germinated Striga hermonthica seeds, seeds from the maize lines ( 5057 and ZD05) were sown in pots filled with washed sharp sand. The plants were maintained in 2 stands per pot with 5 replicates per variety in a tunnel screen house. Root exudates were collected from each pot 14 days after planting by passing water through the sand until 45 ml was collected per pot. Sterile Petri dishes were lined with a glass microfibre filter, and approximately 100 Striga seeds were transferred to the surface of each filter paper, followed by the corresponding root exudate until the filter was richly moistened. The dishes were wrapped with aluminium foil, incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an incubator and examined for germination after 72 hours. The experiment was repeated, the samples were incubated for eleven days, and only seeds with elongated haustoria were counted.

The second experiment was done to determine the ability of the maize line to stimulate radicle elongation. In this experiment, twenty surface-sterilized seeds from the ZD05 and 5057 maize lines were left to sprout in a Petri-dish that was lined with moistened filter paper. Five germinated seeds of both genotypes were randomly selected, fixed in a felt plug and suspended in a test tube that was filled with 45 ml of nutrient solution ( $40 \%$ Long Ashton solution containing $1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~m}^{-3}$ ammonium nitrate). The whole tube was wrapped with aluminium foil and kept in the screen house. The maize plants grew for a 30-day period. Then, the nutrient solution was replaced with 30 ml of distilled water. After 48 hours, the maize plants were gently removed from the solution, and the solution was transferred into Falcon tubes to the 30 ml mark.


Figure 3.1. Illustration of a rhizotron

The dry weight of the roots of each plant were taken and recorded. The extracted maize root exudates were pipetted into Petri dishes with 100 preconditioned Striga hermonthica seeds. The Petri dishes were wrapped in aluminium foil and kept in a controlled environment (incubator) for 96 hours at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and number of Striga hermonthica seeds that had germinated were counted under a microscope.

In both cases, the $S$. hermonthica seeds were incubated in synthetic germination stimulant GR-24 (1 ppm) and distilled water as positive and negative controls, respectively.

### 3.2.10 Measurement of root indices

Maize seeds were planted in large pots (251) in a screen house. Five pots from each genotype were infested with Striga hermonthica, and five were left uninfested. At 28 days after planting, plant roots were carefully washed to remove all the soil, and the lengths of all the major roots (crown, seminal and primary roots) on each plant were taken using a measuring tape. The total major root length was determined by summing the lengths of the individual roots (a major root was defined as any root that originates from the base of the plant, as opposed to a lateral root that originates from another root).

### 3.2.11 Sample collection for maize transcriptomics study

Maize plants were grown in rhizotrons and infested with S. hermonthica seeds. At the three, nine and twenty- two days post infestation, root tissue was collected from each plant by removing the maize plant from the rhizotron, briefly cleaning the roots to remove extra seeds, and cutting the roots of the plants into smaller bits into aluminium foil on ice. Three plants were simultaneously harvested, bulked and dropped into liquid nitrogen. The samples were collected in three replicates with each replicate consisting of three bulked plants. This was repeated at each collection time point.

### 3.2.12. RNA Extraction.

## Principle

The extraction of RNA from plant cells is based on the fact that cells can be lysed and their intracellular contents exposed into an environment conducive for nucleic acids. After which all the other constituents of the cell are then selectively removed by both physical and chemical methods until only nucleic acids are left. They are then cleaned and an appropriate enzyme (DNase) is used to degrade the DNA present in the sample.

## Procedure

An oven-baked mortar was used to grind 0.20 g of plant root in liquid nitrogen. The finely ground material was transferred into an Eppendorf tube and 1.5 ml of warm ( 65 $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \mathrm{CTAB}$ buffer was added. The samples were heated at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 minutes with vertexing at 5 -minute intervals. The tubes were then centrifuged at 3500 rpm for 5 minutes and 10 ml of the supernatant transferred into another tube. 1 ml of chloroformisoamyl alcohol (24:1) was added to the transferred supernatant, the mixture vortexed and spun at 3500 rpm for 15 minutes. 750 ul of the supernatant was again transferred into another tube and 450 ul of ice-cold 2-propanol added and the solution mixed by inversion. The tubes were spun for 20 minutes at 7000 g . The supernatant was discarded, and the pellet washed with $70 \%$ ethanol. The pellet was air-dried, and the extracted nucleic acid reconstituted in $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ RNase and DNase-free water.

DNA digestion

DNA was removed from the extracted RNA samples. Each RNA sample was treated by pipetting $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of DNase1 and $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ digestion buffer to $10 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ RNA made up to $40 \mu \mathrm{l}$ with nuclease free water. The mixture was then incubated at room temperature for 15 minutes.

RNA Purification

The purification was done using a commercially available kit (ZYMOresearch RNA clean and concentrator -5 kit)

Procedure

To each sample, two volumes of RNA binding buffer was added and the mixture vortexed. An equal volume of $100 \%$ ethanol was then added to the mixture. The mixture was transferred into a Zymo-Spin IC column in a collection tube and centrifuged for 30 seconds at 7000rpm. The flow-through was discarded and $400 \mu \mathrm{l}$ RNA prep buffer was added to the column and centrifuged for 30 seconds at 7000 rpm . The flow-through was again discarded and $700 \mu$ RNA prep wash buffer was added to the column and centrifuged for 30 seconds at 7000 rpm . This was repeated with $400 \mu \mathrm{l}$ and centrifuged for 2 minutes. The spin column was placed in an RNase free tube and $15 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of DNase/RNase free water added directly to the column matrix and the column centrifuged at 7000rpm for 30 seconds. The purified RNA was packed in Eppendorf tubes and sent for sequencing.

### 3.2.13. RNA-Seq (RNA Sequencing)

The Illumina Miseq sequencing platform was used for sequencing.

The RNA-Seq procedure can be broadly divided into:

1) Library preparation
2) Sequencing and preliminary bioimformatics

### 3.2.13.1. Library preparation

cDNA libraries for Illumina Miseq platform are done by preparing the RNA pool and then the cDNA library

Materials

- Agilent Tapestation and D1000 HS kit
- Thermal cycler
- Pipettes and filter tips (separate sets for pre and post PCR stages)
- Magnetic separation stand
- Microfuge
- Qubit double-stranded DNA fluorimeter and reagents
- Ampure XP magnetic beads
- RNAclean XP magnetic cleanup beads
- $\quad$ ScriptSeq Complete (plant leaf or root) library preparation kit (Illumina)
- $\quad$ ScriptSeq index kit (Illumina)
- Failsafe DNA polymerase (illumina)
- PCR plates, Eppendorf tubes
- $\quad$ ug DNA free RNA from plant samples
- EACC spike in control (LifeTech)


### 3.2.13.1.1. RNA pool preparation

The ScriptSeq Complete Kit (Plant Leaf) from Illumina INC was used. It involves removing ribosomal RNA (rRNA) from the extracted RNA samples and cleaning up the resultant RNA.

1) Ribosome depletion of the RNA (with ribozero reagents)
a) Preparation of magnetic beads

The Magnetic Core Kit components were allowed to equilibrate to room temperature for 30 minutes and the magnetic Beads tube ( 5.4 ml or $225 \mathrm{uL} / \mathrm{sample}$ ) was mixed by gentle vortexing and placed in a magnetic stand until complete separation. The supernatant was discarded, and 5.4 ml of RNase-Free Water was added and the solution vortexed at medium speed. The process was repeated until the solution appeared clear. The pellet was resuspended in $1500 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ( $60 \mathrm{u} \mathrm{L} / \mathrm{sample}$ ) of Magnetic bead Resuspension solution and 65 uL of the washed magnetic beads was aliquoted into new 1.5 uL RNase free tube. 1 uL of RiboGuard RNase inhibitor was added and the mixture was vortexed.
b) Ribosome and rRNA depletion

Five micrograms of DNA-free RNA (at least 500 ng ) was dissolved in RNase-Free water and the resulting solution treated as shown in table 3.4 and incubated for 10 minutes at $68^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then 5 minutes at room temperature. The treated RNA was added to 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tube containing the washed Magnetic Beads (prepared in ' $a$ ' above) and immediately mixed and vortexed at medium setting for 10 seconds. After which it was incubated at room temperature for 5 minutes, vortexed again and incubated for 5 minutes at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The microcentrifuge tubes were then immediately

Table 3.4. Composition of RNA treatment solution

| Reagent | Amount |
| :--- | :--- |
| $1-5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of DNA-free RNA | $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |
| rRNA Removal Solution | $8 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |
| Reaction Buffer | $4 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |
| RNase free water | $11 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |

placed on a magnetic stand until the solution appeared clear. The supernatant was transferred to a labelled RNase-free tube placed on ice. The rRNA-depleted sample was then purified as follows, RNA clean XP solution was brought to room temperature and vortexed and 60 ul of the vortexed RNAclean XP solution was added to 1.5 ml microfuge tube containing 85-90 uL of rRNA depleted RNA and mixed by pipetting. The mixture was allowed to stand for 15 min and then placed on a magnetic stand. The supernatant was removed, and the beads washed twice with 200 ul fresh $80 \%$ ethanol and air-dried for 15 min .11 ul of water was added and the tubes placed on a magnetic stand for 2 minutes. The supernatant was collected and mixed by pipetting.

### 3.2.13.1.2. cDNA library preparation

a) RNA fragmentation and cDNA (complementary DNA) Synthesis

For each sample to be fragmented, a fragmentation mix was prepared by pipetting the $9 \mu \mathrm{l}$ rRNA depleted RNA, $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ RNA fragmentation solution and $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of cDNA synthesis primer. The solution was mixed and incubated at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 minutes in a thermocycler and then placed on ice. After which mixture was added to the cDNA synthesis cocktail described in table 3.5, and the resulting mixture incubated at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min followed by $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min . The reaction mixture was then cooled to 37 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $1.0 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of Finishing Solution was added to each reaction. The mixture was incubated again at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min and then at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 min , cooled to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then brought to room temperature.
b) Addition of terminal-Tags to cDNA

From each sample, a tagging cocktail was prepared by pipetting $7.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Terminal Tagging Premix and $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ DNA Polymerase into a $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ tube. The resulting solution was mixed thoroughly by pipetting and then $8.0 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the Master Mix was added to each reaction and the solution mixed by pipetting. The reaction mixture was incubated at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 minutes, then at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 minutes and then cooled to $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Table 3.5. cDNA synthesis cocktail

| Reagent | Amount |
| :--- | :--- |
| cDNA Synthesis PreMix | $3.0 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
| 100 mM DTT | $0.5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
| StarScript Reverse Transcriptase | $0.5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |

c) Purification of the tagged samples

The tagged samples were purified as follows:

45ul Ampure XP solution was added, mixed and placed on a magnetic stand for 15 minutes. The supernatant was pipetted out and washed twice with 20 ul of $80 \%$ ethanol. The beads were air-dried for 15 minutes and 24 ul water was added. The tubes containing the beads were allowed to stand for 2 min and then placed on a magnetic stand after which 22.5 ul of the supernatant was transferred to a fresh tube
d) Enrichment of cDNA library via PCR

The PCR Master mix was prepared as shown in table 3.6, and the PCR ran with the following conditions: an initial denaturation step at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 minute, 15 cycles of: denaturation at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 seconds, annealing at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 seconds, elongation at $68^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 minutes and then final elongation at $68^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 7 minutes and the samples stored at $12{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The libraries were quantified by Qubit and visualized on Agilent Tapestation. Concentrations above $5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{ml}$, with a visible tapestation peak between 200-800bp, were selected.
e) Purification of pooled library sample

Equal quantities of libraries, based on the qubit values, were pooled and purified as follows;

AmpureXP solution was brought to room temperature for 30 minutes and vortexed vigorously. 120 uL AmpureXP solutions was added to 200 uL of the pooled library, mixed and left to stand for 15 minutes. The tubes were then placed on a magnetic stand and the supernatant removed. The beads were washed twice with 200 uL freshly prepared $80 \%$ ethanol; air-dried for 15 minutes and 50 ul of water is added. The mixture was allowed to stand for 2 min before placing it on a magnetic stand. 45 uL of the supernatant was transferred to a fresh tube and the library pool quantified by Qubit and visualized on Agilent Tapestation.

Table 3.6. Enrichment PCR cocktail

| Reagent | Amount |
| :--- | :--- |
| di-tagged cDNA | $22.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |
| FailSafe PCR PreMix E | $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |
| Forward PCR Primer | $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |
| Index PCR Primer | $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |
| FailSafe PCR Enzyme | $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |

### 3.2.13.2 Sequencing and preliminary bioinformatics

### 3.2.13.2.1. cDNA Sequencing

The cDNA library was then sequenced on the Illumina MiSeq sequencing system (Illumina inc). The MiSeq System uses Illumina sequencing by synthesis technology (SBS). This SBS sequencing chemistry uses a proprietary reversible terminator-based method that detects single bases as they are incorporated into massively parallel DNA strands. Fluorescent terminator dyes are imaged as each dNTP is added and then cleaved to allow incorporation of the next base. With all 4 reversible, terminator-bound dNTPs present during each cycle, natural competition minimizes incorporation bias. Base calls are made directly from signal intensity measurements during each cycle
(Miseq datasheet). The single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) marker data received was further filtered using the TASSEL software (Bradbury, 2007) to retain only polymorphic SNPs with less than 100 missing values and a minimum and maximum allele frequency of 0.05 and 0.95 respectively

### 3.3 Data analysis and statistics

### 3.3.1. Data analysis for the determination of genetic diversity

Basic diversity measurements for each population, including the total number of alleles (Na), Number of effective alleles (Ne), Shannon's information index (Shannon, 1948), number of private alleles, expected (gene diversity) and observed heterozygosity, were calculated using Power Marker (Liu and Muse, 2005) and GenAlex version 6.41 (Peakall and Smouse, 2006) software. Nei's genetic distance between the populations collected from Nigeria and Kenya as well as between pairs of populations within Nigeria and Kenya was calculated using Power marker and GenAlex version 6.41 software. For individual-level analysis, pair-wise genetic distance (identity-by-state, IBS) matrix was determined using PLINK (Purcell et al., 2007). A Neighbour-joining tree of all collected Striga hermonthica samples was constructed using MEGA vs 6. (Tamura et al., 2013).

### 3.3.2. Data analysis for the determination of population structure

The population structure inherent in the samples was determined using ADMIXTURE and R. Analysis of molecular variation (AMOVA) was used to determine the fixation index ( $\mathrm{Fsf}_{\text {ST }}$ ).

Procedure

Population Structure
A Ward's minimum variance hierarchical cluster dendrogram was built from the IBS matrix using the Analyses of Phylogenetics and Evolution (ape) package (Paradis et al., 2004) implemented in R ( R core team, 2015). Thereafter two approaches were used to determine the population structure of the samples
i) A model-based maximum likelihood estimation of individual ancestries using ADMIXTURE (Alexander et al., 2009). This assumes that the loci are in linkage equilibrium and the ancestral populations are in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (Frichot et al., 2014). In the ADMIXTURE analysis, we varied the number of subpopulations (K) from 1-10 and determined the best value of the K after considering the 10 -fold cross-validations. The K value with the lowest cross-validation error (Alexander et al., 2011) was then selected and the goodness of fit of the results with the clustering pattern of the hierarchical tree examined.
ii) Discriminant Analysis of Principal Components (DAPC) (Jombart et al., 2010) that is free from the above assumptions.

Discriminant Analysis of Principal Components (DAPC) was done using 'adegenet' (Jombart 2008). DAPC involves first inferring optimal clusters number of PCAtransformed SNP data by varying the possible number of clusters from 2 to 40 , using k-means analysis. After which assessing the best supported model by Bayesian Information Criterion is selected. The results obtained from the hierarchical tree, ADMIXTURE and DAPC analysis were then compared.

Fixation index ( $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ST }}$ )

Fixation index ( $\mathrm{FST}_{\text {) }}$ is a measure of differentiation due to genetic structure. It is used to determine if distinct subpopulations exist with a larger population. The values of the fixation index $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ST }}$ range from zero to one. A value of zero indicates no population structuring, while one indicates that the two populations share no alleles. Wright (1978) suggested the following qualitative guidelines when interpreting FST. A range 0.0 to 0.05 may be considered as indicating little genetic differentiation, 0.05 to 0.15 indicates moderate genetic differentiation, and 0.15 to 0.25 indicates great genetic differentiation, while values of $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ST }}$ above 0.25 indicate very great genetic differentiation. The fixation index ( $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ST }}$ ) and standardized $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ST }}$ ( F 'sт) were assessed using Analysis of Molecular Variance (AMOVA) implemented in GenAlex 6.41. Correlations between pairwise $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ST }}$ values and geographical distance matrices were calculated using Mantel test (Mantel, 1967), after 1000 random iterations, as implemented on GenAlex software version 6.41.

### 3.3.3. Data analysis for identification of potential loci under selection within she Striga hermonthica populations.

To determine the presence markers under selection within the populations we observed, hierarchical Bayesian method as described in Beaumont and Balding (1995) and implemented in BayeScan 2.1 software (Foll and Gaggiotti, 2008) was used.

## Principle

BayeScan works based on the assumption that allele frequencies within populations follow a multinomial-Dirichlet distribution (Balding and Nichols 1995, Rannala and Hartigan, 1996). The $\mathrm{F}_{\text {St }}$ parameters are also assumed to be a function of populationspecific components shared among all loci ( $\beta$ ) and of locus-specific components shared among all populations $(\alpha)$. For a given locus, departure from neutrality is assumed when the locus-specific component is required to explain the observed pattern of diversity. BayeScan directly infers the posterior probability of each locus to be under the effect of selection by defining and comparing two alternative models: one model includes the locus-specific component, while the other excludes it (Fischer et al., 2011). The ratio of the model posterior probabilities is used to calculate the posterior odds (PO), which measures how much more likely the model with selection is, compared to the model without selection (Balding and Nichols, 1995, Fischer et al
.2014). When using the same prior for both models the posterior odds are reduced to the Bayes Factor. Jeffreys (1961) proposed a logarithmic scale for model choice defined as: barely worth mentioning if $\log _{10} \mathrm{PO}<0.5$, substantial if $\log _{10} \mathrm{PO}>0.5$, strong $\log _{10} \mathrm{PO}>1.0$, very strong if $\log _{10} \mathrm{PO}>1.5$ ) and decisive evidence for accepting a model $\left(\log _{10} \mathrm{PO}>2.0\right)$. The estimated alpha coefficient indicates the strength and direction of selection. A positive value of alpha suggests diversifying selection, whereas negative values suggest balancing or purifying selection. (Foll and Gaggiotti, 2008). When a given posterior odds threshold (chosen according to Jeffrey's scale of evidence), defines a set of outlier markers, the corresponding expected proportion of false positives (FDR) among outlier markers can be calculated. This process was reversed in Bayescan, by first choosing a target FDR, and then looking selecting the highest posterior odds threshold achieving this FDR. A q-value, which is the FDR analogue of the p -value was therefore defined. The q value of given locus is the minimum FDR at which this locus may become significant. .

## Procedure

The SNP data obtained loaded into the software BAYESCAN and the estimation of model parameters was automatically tuned on the basis of short pilot runs ( 10 pilot runs, length 5000, and a burn-in of 50000). The sample size was set to 5000 and the thinning interval to 10 resulting in a total chain length of 100000 iterations. False Discovery Rate (FDR) was used to control for multiple testing. To identify loci under selection, the posterior distribution of $\alpha_{i}$ was used. If the value obtained is positive it suggests that the locus is undergoing directional selection, while if it is negative it implies that stabilizing selection is occurring and homogenizing the allele frequencies in the populations. The tested loci were then ordered by their estimated posterior probabilities. An R function (as provided in BAYESCAN) was used to identify and plot outlier loci.

### 3.3.4. Data analysis for effects of $S$. hermonthica infestation on a susceptible and a resistant maize genotype and determination of physiological and biochemical mechanisms of resistance to Striga hermonthica by maize

Statistical analyses were performed on Excel and MINITAB software using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and a post hoc Tukey test. P-value less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) implemented in R software, was used estimate the relationship between the rates of development of Striga hermonthica plants attached to the roots of the susceptible and resistant genotype.

### 3.3.5. Bioinformatics/data analysis for the transcriptomics experiment.

### 3.3.5.1. Quality Control

After sequencing, the quality of the obtained sequence data was evaluated with FASTQC software. After which the paired-end reads obtained per sample were trimmed to an average read length of 137 nucleotides using TRIMMOMATIC software.

### 3.3.5.2. Read Mapping

These reads were mapped against the maize genome using STAR software resulting between 33 to 53 per cent of uniquely mapped reads. The mapped reads were then loaded into CUFFLINKS software for assembly. The assembled transcripts were processed by CUFFMERGE software to produce the final transcriptome assembly.

### 3.3.5.3. Differential Gene Expression Analysis

The expression catalogue obtained for the three time points were analysed with CUFFDIFF and CUMMERBOND software to obtain the differential expression results as well as test for statistical significance of differentially expressed gene /transcripts. For each time point and each maize genotype, the transcripts from the infested plants and thee uninfested plants were compared and the upregulated and downregulated genes for each genotype in every time point was identified. A transcript was taken as up or downregulated at each time point if in comparison with the uninfested tissue it
shows a $\log _{2}$ fold-change greater than 1.5 (for genes that were upregulated) and less than -1.5 (for genes that were downregulated) and a p -value below 0.01 . The transcripts IDs were compared against three repositories;

1) Ensembl Plants BioMart (http://plants.ensembl.org/biomart/martview/00cafbbb 1dc f6fc44bcee79033497445 )
2) Gramene (ftp://ftp.gramene.org/pub/gramene/CURRENT_RELEASE/gff3/zea mays/gene_function/B73v4.gene_function.txt),

BioMart is a repository to share biological data, sequences, convert identifiers and support data enrichment analysis, while Gramene is a curated, open-source, integrated data resource for comparative functional genomics in crops and model plant species.
3) The Kyoto Encyclopaedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG)

KEGG is a database resource for understanding high-level functions and utilities of the biological system from molecular-level information.

The first repositories were used to identify the corresponding genes, while the third was used to identify pathways involved in the resistant or susceptible response.

### 3.4. Experiments

### 3.4.1 Experiment 1: Studies on genetic diversity of Striga hermonthica populations in Nigeria and Kenya.

### 3.4.1.1. Introduction

Genetic diversity is the total number of genetic characteristics in the genetic makeup of a species. It refers to the variation of individual genes (polymorphism). A higher amount of variation increases the chances that certain members of the population individuals will possess a suitable variant allele that will enhance their survival. These individuals will in turn reproduce and transfer this variant to their progeny thus propagating the variant and the organism which will in turn reproduce and continue the population into subsequent generations. It therefore provides a means through which organisms can adapt to changing surroundings (National Biological Information

Infrastructure). The dynamics within the gene-pool of a species is closely associated with several life-history traits. For example, highly fecund, out-crossing species should have higher proportions of polymorphic loci, more alleles per polymorphic locus and more genetic diversity (Hamrick and Nason 1996; Dubois et al., 2003; Nybom, 2004). As an obligate out-crossing species that produces a very high number of seeds (high fecundity) that can remain viable for very long periods of time, $S$. hermonthica will have high amounts of genetic variations within its population. This can increase the parasite's ability to change evolve and adjust to various circumstances (Koyama, 2000). The constant changes in cropping patterns and farming systems across regions in sub-Saharan Africa impose varying selection pressures, which can also promote the evolution of new ecotypes of $S$. hermonthica.

This high level of genetic variation would enable $S$. hermonthica to defeat host plant resistance (Koyama, 2000) and other control methods. This will lead to differences in the amount of control achieved in diverse locations at different times (Hearne, 2009). Estep et al., (2011) and Bozkurt et al., (2013) reported high amounts of diversity within S. hermonthica plants from countries in West and East Africa. However, these samples did not represent the entire regions where $S$. hermonthica may be found within these countries or all the $S$. hermonthica host crops. This study was therefore designed to investigate the extent of diversity of $S$. hermonthica populations across regions infested by the parasite in Northern Nigeria and Western Kenya. The objectives of this experiment are to:
a) Characterise the extent of diversity of $S$. hermonthica populations collected in Nigeria
b) Characterise the extent of diversity of $S$. hermonthica populations collected in Western Kenya.

### 3.4.1.2 Procedure

Striga hermonthica leaves were sampled as described in Materials and Methods under section 3.2.1.2. DNA was extracted from the leaf samples, quantified and digested, as described in Materials and Methods under sections 3.2.4. The DNA was then lyophilised and genotyped using the genotyping by sequencing (GBS), as described in

Materials and Methods under sections 3.2.5 to generate Single Nucleotide Polymorphism (SNP) markers.

### 3.4.1.3. Experimental protocol

The Single Nucleotide Polymorphism (SNP) markers derived from GBS were used to genotype the 1029 Striga hermonthica samples and the SNP data were analysed for measures of genetic diversity for the groups as follows;
I. Individual samples.
II. By their country of origin.
III. By their host plants.

Genetic diversity measurements was done between the population collected in Nigeria and the population collected in Kenya, as well as between pairs of populations within Nigeria and Kenya were calculated.

### 3.4.2. Experiment 2 (A): Determination of genetic differentiation and population structure in Kenya and Nigerian Striga hermonthica populations.

### 3.4.2.1 Introduction

Population structure is the presence of a systematic difference in the frequencies of allele between subpopulations within a population, this is most likely because these subpopulations have differing ancestries. The main reason why population stratification exit is non-random mating between groups, this may be because these groups are separated physically, and consequently, different alleles are being fixed by these different groups. If populations are subdivided, they can evolve apart, somewhat independently; this allows the populations to diversify. Genetic differentiation is defined as an accumulation of differences in allelic frequencies between completely or partially isolated populations due to evolutionary forces such as selection or genetic drift. Different environmental conditions subject plant populations to different selection pressures and these plants become increasingly genetically heterogeneous (Linhart and Grant, 1996). This may be differences in habitat like elevation, exposure, and the availability of moisture. These conditions often create ecological barriers that
prevent gene flow thus leading to interpopulation genetic separation (Abbasi et al., 2016).

Studies carried out in Africa with different genetic markers show that there is a relationship between the genetic distance and the geographic distance between parasite populations. They however do not agree that $S$. hermonthica populations specifically infest only a given host within Africa (Koyama, 2000; Gethi et al., 2005; Estep et al., 2011; Welsh \& Mohamed, 2011; Bozkurt et al., 2015). There is therefore a need for studies that involve $S$. hermonthica sampled across different locations and hosts. The objectives of this experiment are to

1) To investigate the population structure and genetic differentiation inherent in $S$. hermonthica populations collected from Kenya and Nigeria.
2) To investigate and determine the presence or absence of potential loci under selection

### 3.4.2.2 Procedure Experimental design

Single Nucleotide Polymorphism (SNP) markers derived from GBS were used to genotype the 1029 individuals and and the samples were analysed in groups as follows.
I. The Nigerian population
II. The Kenyan population
III. All the samples collected
a) Population Structure

Two approaches were used to investigate the population structure within the samples;
i) A model-based maximum likelihood estimation of ancestries using ADMIXTURE (Alexander et al., 2009).
ii) Discriminant Analysis of Principal Components (DAPC) (Jombart et al., 2010)

The results obtained from the hierarchical tree, ADMIXTURE and DAPC analysis were then compared.
b) Genetic Differentiation (Fixation Index ( $\mathrm{FST}_{\mathrm{ST}}$ )

Fixation index ( $\mathrm{FST}_{\text {st }}$ ) is a measure of differentiation due to genetic structure. It is used to determine if distinct subpopulations exist within a larger population. The values of the fixation index ( $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ST }}$ ) ranges from zero to one. This analysis was used to determine the amount of differentiation between the Nigerian and Kenya samples with the host plants and geographical locations as factors. Mantel test (Mantel, 1967) was used to determine the correlation between geographical distance and pairwise $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ST }}$.

Experimental protocol

Only populations with detectable structuring were used for this experiment. They include.
(i) The three subpopulations observed within the Nigerian $S$. hermonthica population.
(ii) The Kenyan S. hermonthica population as defined by host plant
(iii) The entire Striga population collected

### 3.4.3. Experiment 2 (B). Identification of potential loci under selection within the Striga hermonthica populations.

### 3.4.3.1 Introduction

In the genomic era, selection refers to any non-random, differential propagation of an allele as a consequence of its phenotypic effect. (Vitti et al., 2013). The detection of molecular signatures of selection is one of the major concerns of modern population genetics, as it provides insight into the mechanisms leading to population divergence and differentiation (Fariello et al., 2013). It has become crucial in biomedical sciences, where it can help to identify genes related to disease resistance (Albrechtsen et al. 2010; Fumagalli et al. 2010; Cagliani et al. 2011), adaptation to climate (Lao et al. 2007; Rees and Harding 2012), or altitude (Simonson et al. 2010). Selection may act in a number of ways: it may be directional selection, in which an allele is favoured and so propagated (positive selection) or disfavoured (negative selection, also called purifying selection). It may also be balancing selection, where multiple alleles are maintained at
an appreciable frequency within the gene pool (Vitti et al., 2013). These multiple alleles may give rise to opposing phenotypic effects, for example, large and small body sizes may be maintained within the population to the exclusion of intermediate sizes. A trend that is often further described as diversifying or disruptive selection (Vitti et al., 2013). However, when intermediate phenotypic values are favoured, whether by balancing selection of co-dominant alleles or by positive selection of alleles that underlie intermediate phenotypes, the trend is called stabilizing selection. An example is where the presence of the heterozygote is selected for, as seen in the selection of 'AS' in the Hemoglobin-B gene (HBB) due to its ability to resist malaria (Allison AC. 1954. Pasvol et al. 1978, Kwiatkowski. 2005). Other classical examples of balancing selection are; the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) system in mammals (Hughes and Nei 1988; Takahata and Nei 1990), the disease-response genes (R-genes) in plants (Stahl et al. 1999), and the self-incompatibility system in plants (Wright 1939).

This study seeks to establish the presence of signatures of selection by identifying potential loci (outlier loci) undergoing selection within populations that show significant genetic differentiation to determine some of the molecular forces driving genetic differentiation.

The BayeScan analysis was used to determine potential markers under selection in populations that showed significant amounts of differentiation.

The populations detected by population structure analysis;
A. The Nigerian and the Kenyan Striga hermonthica population collected
B. The three Nigerian populations observed by population structure analysis.
C. The Striga hermonthica population with Sorghum, rice and maize respectively as host plants in Kenya.
D. One Kenyan and three Nigerian subpopulations.

### 3.4.4. Experiment 3: Interactions between $S$. hermonthica and a susceptible and a resistant maize genotype.

### 3.4.4.1 Introduction

The growth and development of maize are impacted negatively by S. hermonthica and this has been attributed to a combination of factors. Firstly, resource withdrawal; this is the removal of water, carbon and nitrogen by the attached parasite. Secondly, Strigainduced changes on host allometry resulting in elevated respiratory demand and a higher incidence of self-shading of lower leaf areas. Thirdly, a lowering in the rate of canopy carbon fixation in infected hosts (Press, 1995). It has also been hypothesised that the impact of $S$. hermonthica may be due to the action of a Striga-derived toxin (Musselman 1980), but till date, there is little evidence supporting this hypothesis. As a consequence of these, typically $S$. hermonthica-infected plants have lower total biomass accumulation, lower grain yield and are noticeably shorter (due to lower internode elongation) than uninfected counterparts (Cechin and Press, 1993a, 1993b, 1994; Frost et al., 1997; Graves et al., 1989; Gurney et al., 1995; Taylor et al., 1996). In addition to lower biomass accumulation, the pattern of biomass partitioning in infected hosts is often disrupted such that biomass is preferentially allocated to the roots of the host rather than to the shoot. This results in an elevation of the root to shoot ratio in $S$. hermonthica-infected plants. The severity of the impact of $S$. hermonthica on its hosts is moderated by host and parasite genotype, host nutrition, infection time and infection density (Cechin and Press 1993a, 1993b; Graves et al., 1989). The objective of these experiments is to elucidate the biochemical and physiological interactions between susceptible and resistant maize lines and $S$. hermonthica.

This was divided into experiments 3A and 3B.
A) Determination of the ability of maize plants to resist the attachment of $S$. hermonthica plants and the effect of $S$. hermonthica plant attachment on host plant height
B) Determination of the effect of $S$. hermonthica infestation on the root/shoot ratio by weight

### 3.4.4.2. Experiment 3A. Determination of the ability of maize plants to resist the attachment of S. hermonthica plants and the effect of $S$. hermonthica plant attachment on host plant height

## Procedure

Surface sterilised maize seeds from two maize lines 5057 and ZD05 were germinated for five days in a moist filter paper-lined Petri dish. Seedlings from both maize lines were randomly divided into three groups (groups $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ and C ), comprising five individuals each, and transferred to individual rhizotrons by placing them on the black cotton fabric at depth of about 5 cm . The rhizotrons were nourished with nutrient solution ( $40 \%$ Long Ashton solution containing $1 \mathrm{molm}^{-1}$ ammonium nitrate) daily. The first set (group A) was infested with untreated S. hermonthica seeds, while the second set (group B) was infested with untreated S. hermonthica seeds treated with GR24, a synthetic germination stimulant, for 48 hours and the third set (group C) was not infested and used as control. At 20 days old, the plants were infested by using a brush to carefully spread 2 g of Striga hermonthica seeds all over the rhizotron surface and especially on the exposed roots of the maize plants. The number of attached Striga hermonthica plants on the roots was observed and counted at three to four-day intervals from the first day attached plants were observed. Similar to what was described by Amusan et al., (2008), the growing Striga hermonthica plants were categorised into five developmental stages, viz: $\mathrm{L} 1=$ plants with $2-4$ partially horizontal opened leaves, L2= plants with 6-8 partially horizontal opened leaves, L3 $=$ plants with 10-12 partially horizontal opened leaves, L4 = more than twelve partially horizontal opened leaves, $\mathrm{Lx}=$ Discoloured, dying or dead $S$. hermonthica plants.

The plant height (distance from the base of the stem to the base of the tassel) was measured. The number of $S$. hermonthica plants in each of these developmental stages were also identified and counted along with the total number of attached plants until the susceptible maize plants started dying and these was taken as an index of the ability to resist infestation by the parasite.

Experimental protocol

Seeds from both maize genotypes were divided into 3 groups (each group consisted of five replicates) grown on rhizotrons and treated as follows;

Group 1- Uninfested ZD05 plants used as Control

Group 2- ZD05 plants infested with 2 g of preconditioned Striga hermonthica seeds

Group 3- ZD05 plants infested with 2 g of preconditioned and pre-germinated Striga hermonthica seeds

Group 4- Uninfested 5057 plant used as Control

Group 5- 5057 plants infested with 2 g of preconditioned Striga hermonthica seeds

Group 6- 5057 plants infested with 2 g of preconditioned and pre-germinated Striga hermonthica seeds.

### 3.4.4.3. Experiment 3B: Determination of root-shoot ratio by weight of Striga hermonthica infested maize.

Procedure

Maize seedlings from varieties 5057 and ZD05 were transferred to individual rhizotrons by placing them on the black cotton fabric at depth of about 5 cm and the rhizotrons were nourished with nutrient solution (40\% Long Ashton solution containing $1 \mathrm{molm}^{-1}$ ammonium nitrate) daily. At 30 days old the plants (five susceptible, five resistant) were infested by using a brush to carefully spread 2 g of Striga hermonthica seeds all over the rhizotron surface, especially on the exposed roots of the maize plants growing. Both maize lines were divided into two groups ( $\mathrm{n}=$ 5). The first set was infested with untreated seeds, while for the second set was not infested and used as control. At the end of the experiment (53 days post infestation), the maize plants were carefully removed from the rhizotrons, separated into roots and shoots and then dried in an oven (at $45{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) till they lost all moisture (this was determined by weighing daily until the plant tissue showed constant weight). The roots and the shoots were then weighed and the root to shoot ratio determined.

Experimental protocol

Seeds from both maize genotypes were divided into groups (each group consisted of five replicates) grown on rhizotrons and treated as follows.

Group 1- Uninfested ZD05 plants used as control.

Group 2- ZD05 plants infested with 2 g of preconditioned Striga hermonthica seeds.

Group 3- Uninfested 5057 plant used as control.

Group 4- 5057 plants infested with 2g of preconditioned Striga hermonthica seeds.

### 3.4.5 Experiments 4: Determination of physiological and biochemical mechanisms of resistance to Striga hermonthica by maize

### 3.4.5.1 Introduction

Striga hermonthica seeds have very specific requirements; they have to undergo conditioning (warm stratification that enables Striga seeds to become responsive to germination stimulants) before they can germinate and attach to their hosts. This includes stimulation by chemical compounds exuded by host to germinate and signals from other chemical compounds from the host plants for subsequent haustorial development (Joel et al., 2007). The ability of a host to successfully prevent the $S$. hermonthica seed from obtaining one or more of these requirements or attaining any of its growth stages can confer resistance to Striga hermonthica infestation on that host.

Resistance to parasitic weeds can be expressed before or after host-parasite vascular bridge formation (Rispail et al., 2007). A number of mechanisms have been identified by different studies on a number of host crops. Cherif-Ari et al. (1990) showed that low root length density might be one mechanism adopted by certain sorghum varieties to avoid Striga parasitism. This is because the lower the density of the roots the less the likelihood of contact between $S$. hermonthica seeds and the roots of the host. According to Mohamed et al., (2010), low production of Striga seed germination stimulants, production of germination inhibitors, low production of the signal required for haustoria initiation and a hypersensitive response (characterised by a distinct necrotic area on the host root at the attachment site) are all mechanisms through which
sorghum plants discourage parasitic establishment. Studies by Amusan et al., (2008) revealed the accumulation or deposition of an unidentified substance at the haustoriahost interface in a resistant maize genotype. These investigations have elucidated a number of mechanisms and potential mechanism of tolerance and resistance to infestation by $S$. hermonthica by some host crops.

According to Joel et al., (2007) testing of crop resistance to Striga in the field provides little information concerning the mechanisms of resistance in the host plant. It is therefore important to characterise these mechanisms of defence against the parasite and to identify developmental stages of the parasite that are vulnerable to such defence (Hood et al., 1998). This present study investigates the specific physiological and biochemical mechanisms deployed by ZD05, a resistant maize genotype, in a bid to resist or tolerate infestation by the parasite.

This comprised of experiments A, B and C.
A) Determination of germination stimulant ability of maize root exudates from susceptible and resistant maize lines.
B) Determination of total root length of major roots on ZD05 and 5057
C) Determination of growth rate of attached $S$. hermonthica plants growing on maize genotypes with contrasting characteristics

### 3.4.5.2. Experiment 4A. Determination of germination stimulant ability of maize root exudates from susceptible and resistant maize lines

## Procedure

Maize root exudates were obtained from five plants per variety through two methods.

1. From plant grown in test tubes with no soil
2. From potted plants with river sand.

The extracted maize root exudates obtained by both collection methods, as described in the Methods section 3.2.9, were pipetted ( $150 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) into petri dishes with preconditioned (20 days) Striga hermonthica seeds. Five replicates of each maize
variety were established. The Petri-dishes were wrapped in aluminium foil and kept in an (incubator). S. hermonthica seeds suspended in the exudates obtained from plants grown in test tubes were incubated for 96 hours at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the number of germinated S. hermonthica seeds counted under a microscope. The number of seeds germinated per unit maize root weight was determined by dividing the number of $S$. hermonthica seeds germinated by the dry weight of the maize roots form which the exudates were collected. While seeds suspended in root exudates collected from potted plants were incubated for 48 hours at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the number of germinated $S$. hermonthica seeds counted under a microscope and then the samples were incubated for nine more days, and only germinated seeds with elongated haustoria were counted. Striga hermonthica seeds were also exposed to $150 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of synthetic germination stimulant GR-24 (mg/l) and distilled water as positive and negative controls.

## Experimental protocol

The exudates obtained through both methods were individually tested for their ability to stimulate germination. The preconditioned Striga hermonthica seeds were divided into 4 groups (each group consisted of five replicates) and treated as follows.

Group 1- Suspended in distilled water (negative control).

Group 2- Suspended in the root exudates of ZD05

Group 3- Suspended in the root exudates of 5057

Group 4- Suspended in 1ppm GR24 (positive control).

### 3.4.5.3. Experiment 4B: Determination of total root length of major roots on the resistant (ZD05) and susceptible (5057) genotypes.

## Procedure

Ten surface sterilised maize seeds per line (two maize lines were used for the experiment, 5057 and ZD05) were planted in 25 L large pots (one seed per pot) filled with a (1:1) topsoil-river sand mixture, in a screen house. The pots were watered as required. At 28 days after planting all the soil was carefully washed off the plant roots and the number of major roots on each plant was counted. A major root was defined as
any root that originated from the base of the plant as opposed to a lateral root that originates from another root). Also, the length of each major root was taken using measuring tape and the total length of the major roots of each plant determined by summing the lengths of the individual major roots.

### 3.4.5.4. Experiment 4C: Determination of growth rate of attached S. hermonthica plants on a susceptible and a resistant maize genotypes.

## Procedure

Ten germinated sterilised maize seeds per line (two maize lines were used for the experiment, 5057 and ZD05) were transferred to individual rhizotrons by placing them on the black cotton fabric at depth of about 5 cm and the rhizotrons were nourished with nutrient solution ( $40 \%$ Long Ashton solution containing $1 \mathrm{molm}^{-1}$ ammonium nitrate) daily. When the plants were 30 days old, they were infested by using a brush to carefully spread 2 g of Striga hermonthica seeds all over the rhizotron surface especially on the exposed roots of the maize plants growing in the rhizotrons. Striga hermonthica plants (one on a major root and one on a lateral root selected) were selected and tagged per maize plant. At 3-4 days interval the number of leaves on the two selected Striga hermonthica plants on each maize plant were counted. ANCOVA was used to compare the rates of development of Striga hermonthica plants attached to the roots (both lateral and major roots) of both genotypes.

Experimental protocol

Group 1- ZD05 plants infested with 2g of Striga hermonthica seeds

Group 2- 5057 plants infested with 2g of Striga hermonthica seeds

### 3.4.6. Experiment 5: Gene expression profiling of maize genotypes

Introduction

A few studies have been done to investigate the molecular responses of host plants to parasitic plants using a variety of technologies. These technologies deduce and quantify the transcriptome. The transcriptome is the complete set of transcripts in a cell, and their quantity, for a specific developmental stage or physiological condition.

Understanding the transcriptome is essential for interpreting the functional elements of the genome and revealing the molecular constituents of cells and tissues. Understanding the transcriptome is also essential for understanding development and disease (Wang et al., 2009). These technologies are broadly divided into hybridisation or sequence-based approaches. Hybridisation-based approaches typically involve incubating fluorescently labelled cDNA with custom-made microarrays or commercial high-density oligo microarrays (Wang et al., 2009). In contrast to microarray, sequence-based approaches directly determine the cDNA sequence. RNA-Seq (RNA sequencing) employs novel high-throughput DNA sequencing methods and deepsequencing technologies.

Microarray technology was used to investigate the changes in gene expression in the roots of cowpea (Vigna unguiculata L.) during susceptible and resistant interactions with Striga gesnerioides. Huang et al., (2012). was observed that genes and pathways involved in signal transduction, programmed cell death and apoptosis, and defence response to biotic and abiotic stress were differentially expressed in the early resistance response; at the later time point, enrichment was primarily for defencerelated gene expression, and genes encoding components of lignification and secondary wall formation. In susceptible interactions, multiple defence pathways were repressed, including those involved in lignin biosynthesis and secondary cell wall modifications, while cellular transport processes for nitrogen and sulphur were increased. Swarbrick et al., (2008), while investigating rice cultivars undergoing a susceptible or resistant interaction with Striga hermonthica, also found differences between the resistant and susceptible interactions. The resistance reaction was characterised by upregulation of defence genes, including pathogenesis-related proteins, pleiotropic drug resistance ABC transporters, genes involved in phenylpropanoid metabolism and WRKY transcription factors. While the susceptible interaction was characterised by large-scale downregulation of gene expression, particularly within the functional categories - plant growth regulator signalling and metabolism, biogenesis of cellular components and cell division. It was also observed that there was a similarity between these changes in gene expression and those associated with resistance to microbial pathogens.

The present study was carried out using RNA-Seq to interrogate the molecular responses of two maize lines to infestation by Striga hermonthica. The objectives of this study are;
a) To elucidate the biochemical and molecular interactions between susceptible and resistant maize lines and S. hermonthica.
b) To elucidate the biochemical mechanisms through which resistant maize lines resist or tolerate infestation by S. hermonthica.

Procedure

Seeds of ZD05 and 5057 maize genotypes, 3 replicates each ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ for each replicate), were surface sterilised and germinated by placing them in a petri dish lined with filter paper and sprinkling water on the paper to keep it wet for 48 hours. The germinated seeds were transferred into separate rhizotrons, assembled as described in the Methods section (3.3.8) above. Seven days later, preconditioned S. hermonthica seeds were placed on the roots of the maize plants. Some plants were uninfested and served as control. At three, nine- and twenty-two-days post infestation, root samples from infested and uninifested maize plants were harvested by removing the maize plant from the rhizotron, briefly cleaning the roots to remove ungerminated $S$. hermonthica seeds. The roots of three plants were cut into aluminium foil placed on ice, which was then wrapped and dipped into liquid nitrogen. The samples were collected in three replicates with each replicate consisting of three bulked plants. This was done at each collection time point. Total RNA was extracted from the root samples as described in the Methods sections 3.2.12 and converted to complementary DNA, which was then sequenced (Methods section 3.3.13). The sequencing results were taken through bioinformatics analyses (Methods section 3.3.5). Data were analysed using two-way ANOVA, and the Benjamini-Hochberg multiple testing correction was applied to the data at $\alpha_{0.01}$ and the differentially expressed transcripts were identified as described in the Methods sections 3.2.11, 3.2.12 and 3.3.5 respectively.

Experimental protocol

Three sets of plants representing each harvest time point were used

Set 1 (The roots of the plants were harvested 3 days post infestation)

Group 1- Uninfested ZD05 used as Control
Group 2- Uninfested 5057 plants used as Control
Group 3- ZD05 plants infested with 2g of preconditioned Striga hermonthica seeds.
Group 4-5057 plants infested with 2g of preconditioned Striga hermonthica seeds.
Set 2 (The roots of the plants were harvested at 9 days post infestation)

Group 1- Uninfested ZD05 plants used as Control
Group 2- Uninfested 5057 plants used as Control
Group 3 - ZD05 plants infested with 2g of preconditioned Striga hermonthica seeds.
Group 4- 5057 plants infested with 2g of preconditioned Striga hermonthica seeds.

Set 3 (The roots of the plants were harvested at 22 days post infestation)

Group 1- Uninfested ZD05 plants used as Control
Group 2- Uninfested 5057 plants used as Control
Group 3- ZD05 plants infested with 2g of preconditioned Striga hermonthica seeds.
Group 4- 5057 plants infested with 2 g of preconditioned Striga hermonthica seeds.

At the end of each time point, the roots of the plants were harvested, and total RNA extracted from them.

## CHAPTER 4

## RESULTS

### 4.1. Genetic diversity of Striga hermonthica populations in Nigeria and Kenya

The Kenyan Striga hermonthica population had a higher effective number of alleles than, higher observed and expected heterozygosity, and unbiased expected heterozygosity ( $1.405 \pm 0.009,0.282,0.246 \pm 0.004$ and $0.246 \pm 0.004$ ) respectively for Kenya) than the Nigerian samples ( $1.320 \pm 0.008,0.209,0.204 \pm 0.004$ and $0.204 \pm$ 0.004 respectively for Nigeria). It also had a higher Shannon's information index $(0.380 \pm 0.006$ for Kenya and $0.332 \pm 0.005$ for Nigeria) (Table 4.1). In both the Nigerian and Kenyan Striga hermonthica populations, the observed heterozygosity was higher than the expected heterozygosity. Conversely, the Nigerian samples had a higher number of different alleles (that is, Allele richness, Na) ( $1.999 \pm 0.001$ ) than the Kenyan population ( $1.964 \pm 0.005$ ). It also had more unique alleles that are unique it compared to the Kenyan population ( 61 for the Nigerian population and 11 for the Kenyan population).

A neighbour-joining, dendrogram clustered the samples into two unequal major groups. The smaller group contained all the Kenyan samples, while the larger comprised all the Nigerian samples (Fig. 4.1). The group comprising samples from Nigeria were divided into three major sub-clusters, with each sub-clusters having some minor subgroups within, while the group with Kenyan samples had only some minor subgroups. (Fig.4.1).

Genetic diversity analysis of the three major sub-clusters observed in the Nigerian population revealed that group 3, consisting of samples collected from the northeastern part of Nigeria had the highest amount of genetic diversity. The second most diverse group was sampled from the north western part of the country, while samples from the central region showed the least amount of genetic diversity (Table 4.2). Group 3 had a higher effective number of alleles and higher observed and expected
heterozygosity as well as a higher Shannon's information index $(\mathrm{Ne}=1.337 \pm 0.0121$, $\mathrm{Ho}=0.229$, and $\mathrm{He}=0.209 \pm 0.004, \mu \mathrm{He}=0.2099 \pm 0.004)$ ) than group one $(\mathrm{Ne}=$ $1.312 \pm 0.012$, $\mathrm{Ho}=0.2$, and $\mathrm{He}=0.195 \pm 0.004$ and $\mu \mathrm{He}=0.196 \pm 0.004$ ) and two ( Ne $=1.272 \pm 0.0131, \mathrm{Ho}=0.193, \mathrm{He}=0.164 \pm 0.005$ and $\mu \mathrm{He}=0.165 \pm 0.005)$ (Table 4.2). In all the groups the observed heterozygosity was higher than the expected heterozygosity (Table 4.2). Group 3 also had a higher Shannon's information index $(0.33 \pm 0.006)$ than group $1(0.260 \pm 0.0060)$ and group $2(0.313 \pm 0.006)$ (Table 4.2.).

It was however observed that the samples in group 2 had a higher number of alleles $(\mathrm{Na})$ as well as a higher number of unique alleles than both groups 1 and 3 (Group 2: $\mathrm{Na}=46$ and Unique alleles $=1.959 \pm 0.005$, Group 1: $\mathrm{Na}=1.885 \pm 0.008$ and Unique alleles $=10$ and, group 3: $\mathrm{Na}=1.917 \pm 0.007$ and Unique alleles $=19$ and $)($ Table 4.2).

Table 4.1. Allelic patterns across populations of Striga collected in Kenya and Nigeria.

| Parameter | Kenya $\pm$ S.E. | Nigeria $\pm$ S.E. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ne | $1.405 \pm 0.009$ | $1.320 \pm 0.008$ |
| Ho | 0.282 | 0.209 |
| He | $0.246 \pm 0.004$ | $0.204 \pm 0.004$ |
| $\mu \mathrm{He}$ | $0.246 \pm 0.004$ | $0.204 \pm 0.004$ |
| I | $0.380 \pm 0.006$ | $0.332 \pm 0.005$ |
| Na | $1.964 \pm 0.005$ | $1.999 \pm 0.001$ |
| Private Alleles | 11 | 61 |

S.E. $=$ Standard Error, $\mathrm{Ne}=$ No. of Effective Alleles, Ho=observed Heterozygosity, He $=$ Expected Heterozygosity, $\mu \mathrm{He}=$ Unbiased Expected Heterozygosity $=(2 \mathrm{~N} /(2 \mathrm{~N}-1))$ * He. I = Shannon's Information Index $=-1 * \operatorname{Sum}(\mathrm{pi} * \operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{pi})), \mathrm{Na}=$ No. of Different Alleles, Private Alleles $=$ No. of Alleles Unique to a Population.


Figure 4.1. Neighbour-joining tree of all collected Striga hermonthica samples.

Nigerian samples in black showing the three major subgroups, and the Kenyan samples in red.

Table 4.2. Allelic patterns of populations observed in Nigeria.

| Population | $1 \pm$ S.E. | $2 \pm$ S.E. | $3 \pm$ S.E. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ne | $1.272 \pm 0.013$ | $1.312 \pm 0.012$ | $1.337 \pm 0.012$ |
| Ho | 0.193 | 0.2 | 0.229 |
| He | $0.164 \pm 0.005$ | $0.195 \pm 0.004$ | $0.209 \pm 0.004$ |
| $\mu \mathrm{He}$ | $0.165 \pm 0.005$ | $0.196 \pm 0.004$ | $0.2099 \pm 0.004$ |
| I | $0.260 \pm 0.006$ | $0.313 \pm 0.006$ | $0.33 \pm 0.006$ |
| Na | $1.885 \pm 0.008$ | $1.959 \pm 0.005$ | $1.917 \pm 0.007$ |
| No Private Alleles | 10 | 46 | 19 |

S.E. $=$ Standard Error, $\mathrm{Ne}=$ No. of Effective Alleles, Ho=observed Heterozygosity, He $=$ Expected Heterozygosity, $\mu \mathrm{He}=$ Unbiased Expected Heterozygosity $=(2 \mathrm{~N} /(2 \mathrm{~N}-1))$

* He. I = Shannon's Information Index $=-1 * \operatorname{Sum}($ pi $* \operatorname{Ln}(p i)), \mathrm{Na}=$ No. of Different Alleles, Private Alleles $=$ No. of Alleles Unique to a Population.

1 = North west

2 = Central

3 = North East

### 4.2. Population Structure and Genetic Differentiation in Kenya and Nigerian Striga hermonthica Populations.

### 4.2.1 Population Structure

The Ward's minimum variance hierarchical cluster dendrogram showed the entire $S$. hermonthica population to consist of one Kenyan and one Nigerian population. The Nigerian population was divided into three subpopulations (Figure 4.2).

ADMIXTURE analysis showed the samples to cluster progressively. $\mathrm{K}=2$ separated the samples by country of origin (Kenya and Nigeria). This was followed by further subdivision at $\mathrm{k}=3$ and at $\mathrm{k}=4$, the samples from Nigeria was divided into three subpopulations (Figure 4.2.).

DAPC analysis also showed the samples to be made up of four populations (Figure 4.2 and 4.3), which consist of the Kenyan samples and three Nigerian samples subpopulations.

The results obtained above were consistent and showed good correspondence (Figure 4.2.), thus the population structure within the samples had been correctly identified.

The Nigerian $S$. hermonthica samples collected from different hosts did not show structuring when clustered based on their host plants. However, Among the Kenyan samples, the samples with rice as their host clustered away from the samples that had maize and sorghum as their host (Figure 4.4).

A plot of each Kenyan Striga hermonthica samples at its geographical coordinates, showing its ancestral group revealed that all the Kenyan samples belong to the same ancestral group regardless of their sampling location. (Figure 4.5). However, when the Nigerian samples were plotted at its geographical coordinates, showing their ancestral groupings, it was observed that samples with the same ancestry grouped together at approximately three large locations; The North-western, Central and North-eastern parts of Nigeria These observed groups did not consist of purely genetically homogeneous groups of individuals, as some individuals from the one observed population shared ancestry with individuals from other populations. (Figure 4.6).


Figure 4.2. Wards hierarchical dendrogram, ADMIXTURE and DAPC plots showing population structure of the sampled $S$. hermonthica plants.

ADMIXTURE is seen to split the samples at progressively into the Kenyan and Nigerian populations at $\mathrm{k}=2$, into the Kenyan and two Nigerian subpopulations at $\mathrm{k}=$ 3 and, into the Kenyan and the three Nigerian subpopulation at $\mathrm{k}=4$. This coincide with the arms of the dendrogram and the groups of the DAPC plot.


Figure 4.3. DAPC plots of the sampled S. hermonthica plants.
(A) Each sample is plotted along PC1 and PC2.
(B) Each sample is plotted along PC 2 and PC3

The groups show consistency with the population structure at $\mathrm{K}=4$.

Key

1 = Kenyan Striga hermonthica samples.
2 = Nigerian (Group 3) Striga hermonthica samples.
3 = Nigerian (Group 2) Striga hermonthica samples.
$4=$ Nigerian (Group 1) Striga hermonthica samples.
The percentages are the proportion of variance explain by each principal coordinate

PC1 explains $12.7 \%$ of the observed variance
PC2 explains $3.2 \%$ of the observed variance
PC3 explains $2.1 \%$ of the observed variance


Figure 4.4. DAPC plot of Kenyan Striga hermonthica samples with hosts as maize, rice and sorghum.

Samples from sorghum and maize (red and blue) are seen to cluster away from those from rice (in green).

The percentages are the proportion of variance explain by each principal coordinate PC1 explains $1.9 \%$ of the observed variance PC2 explains $1.8 \%$ of the observed variance


Figure 4.5. A plot of each Kenyan Striga hermonthica samples at its geographical coordinates showing its ancestral group

The top inset is the map of Africa showing the location of Kenya while the bottom insert is a map of Kenya showing the study location.

Each rectangle represents a plant, and the colour scheme represents the ancestral group(s) of each plant as determined by the study and shown in Fig. 4.2.1 at $\mathrm{k}=4$.

All the Kenyan samples appear to belong to a single ancestral group.


Figure 4.6. A plot of each Nigerian Striga hermonthica samples at its geographical coordinates, showing its ancestral group.
The inset is the map of Africa showing the location of Nigeria. Each rectangle represents a plant, and the colour scheme represents the ancestral group(s) of each plant as determined by the study and shown in Fig. 4.2.1 at $\mathrm{K}=4$.
The Nigerian samples belong to three major ancestral groups concentrated majorly in separate regions in the country.

### 4.2.3.1 Genetic Differentiation

## Genetic Differentiation

Analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) across all samples revealed that 15 per cent of the total variation among samples was accounted for by genetic variance between the two countries, while the remaining 18 per cent and 67 per cent of the variance was among and within all the samples respectively (Table 4.3.). A moderate to high level of genetic differentiation (as shown by the $\mathrm{F}_{S T}$ values) ( $\mathrm{F}_{S T}=0.15, \alpha_{0.001}$ ) was observed between $S$. hermonthica populations from the two countries (Table 4.3).

Genetic differentiation within Kenya and Nigeria

The Kenyan plants had an $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ST }}$ value of 0.021 ( $\alpha_{0.001}$ ) among samples from different locations (Table 4.4) and Mantel's test detected a strong relationship between the geographic distance between sampling locations and their pairwise $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ST }}$ values $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}=\right.$ $0.33, \alpha_{0.01}$, Fig 4.7). The level of statistically significant differentiation (as shown by the FST values) was observed between Striga plants with rice and maize as hosts ( 0.05 , $\left.\alpha_{0.001}\right)$ and rice and sorghum as hosts $\left(0.05, \alpha_{0.001}\right)$, whereas the $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ST }}$ value between samples with maize and sorghum as hosts was not statistically significant $\left(0.002, \alpha_{0.1}\right)$ (Table 4.5).

## Genetic Differentiation within Nigeria

The three clustering methods used to investigate the $S$. hermonthica samples collected in Nigeria showed the presence of three subpopulations (Fig. 4.2.1) based on the sampling locations. Statistically significant differentiation (as shown by the FST values) was observed between Striga plants in group 1 and group $2\left(0.06, \alpha_{0.001}\right)$ and group 1 and group 3 ( $0.06, \alpha_{0.001}$ ), and between group 2 and group 3 ( $0.04, \alpha_{0.001}$ ) Table 4.6). Mantel's test did not detect a statistically significant relationship between the geographic distance between sampling locations and their pairwise linearized $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ST }}$ values $\left(\mathrm{R}=0.0604, \alpha_{0.2}\right.$ ) (Fig 4.8). When host plants were used to group the samples, very low genetic differentiation was observed between maize and pearl millet ( $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ST }}=$ 0.02 ), and between maize and sorghum ( $\mathrm{F}_{S T}=0.01$ ), and between sorghum and Pearl millet $\left(\mathrm{F}_{\text {ST }}=0.07\right)$ which were all significant $\left(\alpha_{0.01}\right.$ to 0.001$)$.

Table 4.3. Summary of Analysis of Molecular variance (AMOVA) for all $S$. hermonthica samples collected in Kenya and Nigeria.

| Source | \% variation | F-Statistics | Values | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Between |  |  |  |  |
| populations* | 15 | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ST }}$ | 0.15 | 0.001 |
| Among samples | 18 | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {IS }}$ | 0.21 | 0.001 |
| Within samples | 67 | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {IT }}$ | 0.33 | 0.001 |
|  |  | F'st | 0.20 |  |

* Nigerian and Kenyan population
$\mathrm{P}=$ significance.

Table 4.4. Summary Analysis of Molecular variance (AMOVA) of S. hermonthica samples collected from Kenya based on their collection locations.

| Source | \% variation | F |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Statistics | Value | P |
| Among Pops* | 2 | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ST }}$ | 0.021 | 0.001 |
| Among Samples | 1 | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {IS }}$ | 0.011 | 0.240 |
| Within Samples | 97 | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {IT }}$ | 0.032 | 0.028 |
|  |  | F'st | 0.029 |  |

*Pops= samples from different locations in Kenya


Figure 4.7. Mantel's test. A regression plot showing the relationship between linearized $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ST }}$ of the Kenyan Striga samples and the distance between their sampling sites.
$\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.33, \alpha_{0.01} . \mathrm{r}=0.57\right)$

Table 4.5. Genetic differentiation ( $\mathrm{FsT}_{\mathrm{ST}}$ ) of Kenyan Striga plant samples from maize, sorghum and rice.

| Hosts | FST | P | F'st |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Between Maize and Rice | 0.049 | 0.001 | 0.067 |
| Between Maize and Sorghum | 0.002 | 0.108 | 0.003 |
| Between Rice and Sorghum | 0.047 | 0.001 | 0.066 |
| FST =fixation index = (variance among populations/total variance) |  |  |  |
| F' ${ }_{\text {ST }}=$ Standardized FsT |  |  |  |

Table 4.6. Genetic differentiation ( $\mathrm{FST}_{\text {) }}$ of the subpopulations of Striga plants observed in Nigeria

| Populations | FST | $P$ | $\mathrm{~F}^{\prime}{ }_{\text {ST }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Between group 1 and group 2 | 0.057 | 0.001 | 0.077 |
| Between group 1 and group 3 | 0.061 | 0.001 | 0.081 |
| Between group 2 and group 3 | 0.043 | 0.001 | 0.061 |

$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ST}}=$ fixation index $=($ variance among populations/total variance $)$,
$\mathrm{F}^{\prime}{ }_{S T}=$ Standardized $\mathrm{F}_{S T}=\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ST}} / \mathrm{F}_{\text {ST }} \max \right), \mathrm{P}=$ significance.

Group $1=$ Striga population in the North-western region,

Group 2 = Striga population in the North-central region,

Group 3 = Striga population in the North-eastern region.


Figure 4.8. Mantel's test. A regression plot showing the relationship between linearized $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ST }}$ of the Nigerian S. hermontica samples and the distance between their sampling sites.
$\left(R^{2}=0.004, \alpha_{0.2}, r=0.064\right)$.

### 4.3. Identification of potential loci under selection within the Striga hermonthica populations.

It was observed that between the Nigerian and Kenyan populations, nine markers were potentially under selection (Table 4.7, Fig 4.9). These markers had a false discovery rate (FDR) greater than or equal to $3 \%$, and $\log (\mathrm{PO})$ greater than or equal to 1.5 this corresponds to 'strong selection' on the Jeffery's scale. All nine markers also showed positive $\alpha$ values and their $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ST }}$ values were high (mean $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ST }}=0.45$ ) indicating that the markers are undergoing positive selection.

Analysis of the Kenyan populations parasitizing maize, sorghum and rice, revealed three markers to be potentially under selection, FDR greater than or equal to $5 \%$, and $\log (\mathrm{PO})$ greater than or equal to 0.8 corresponding to 'substantial selection and above' on Jeffreys' scale. All 3 markers also showed positive $\alpha$ values, however their FST values were low (mean $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ST: }}$ 0.079) (Figure 4.10, Table 4.7).

The three subpopulations detected within Nigeria revealed 3 markers to be potentially under selection, FDR greater than or equal to $4 \%$, and $\log (\mathrm{PO})$ greater than or equal to 1 corresponding to 'strong selection and above' on Jeffery's scale. All of which also showed positive $\alpha$ values and relatively high $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ST }}$ (mean $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ST}}$ : 0.28 ) indicative of positive selection (Fig 4.11, Table 4.7).

When the total S. hermonthica population were split into the four populations observed between Nigeria and Kenya by population structure analysis, 23 markers with FDR greater than or equal to $2 \%$, and $\log (\mathrm{PO})$ greater than or equal to 1.6 corresponding to 'very strong selection and above' on Jeffreys scale of evidence were detected as potentially under selection (Fig 4.12, Table 4.7). All the 23 markers showed positive $\alpha$ values and relatively high $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ST }}$ (mean $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ST: }}: 0.39$ ) indicative of positive selection.

Table 4.7. A Table showing observed outlier SNPs indicating positive selection.

| Striga hermonthica populations | SNP markers | $\log 10$ (PO) | qval | FDR\% | alpha | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {st }}$ | Jeffery's scale |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nigerian and Kenyan populations | S1_44330351 | 1.05 | 0.03 | 3.12 | 1.15 | 0.43 | Strong |
|  | S1_88147395 | 1.3 | 0.02 | 2.49 | 1.19 | 0.44 | Strong |
|  | S1_88147383 | 1.38 | 0.02 | 2.16 | 1.21 | 0.44 | Strong |
|  | S1_89595816 | 1.43 | 0.02 | 1.85 | 1.22 | 0.44 | Strong |
|  | S1_88147307 | 1.6 | 0.02 | 1.5 | 1.26 | 0.45 | Very strong |
|  | S1_89964233 | 1.83 | 0.01 | 1.26 | 1.29 | 0.46 | Very strong |
|  | S1_16207640 | 1.89 | 0.01 | 1.19 | 1.29 | 0.46 | Very strong |
|  | S1_41324735 | 1.9 | 0.01 | 1.16 | 1.29 | 0.46 | Very strong |
|  | S1_88147333 | 1.96 | 0.01 | 1.08 | 1.28 | 0.46 | Very strong |
| Kenyan S. hermonthica populations with maize and sorghum, and rice as host | S1_24018441 | 0.81 | 0.05 | 4.51 | 1.61 | 0.07 | Substantial |
|  | S1_1398074 | 2.92 | 0 | 0.08 | 1.86 | 0.08 | Decisive |
|  | S1_1398103 | 3.4 | 0 | 0.04 | 1.84 | 0.08 | Decisive |
| Three subpopulations detected in Nigeria | S1_86280954 | 1.02 | 0.04 | 4.19 | 1.2 | 0.24 | Strong |
|  | S1_13796644 | 1.39 | 0.02 | 1.95 | 1.56 | 0.31 | Very strong |
|  | S1_5050153 | 1000 | 0 | 0 | 1.5 | 0.29 | Decisive |
| Four populations detected in the entire samples (One Kenyan and Three Nigerian) | S1_59773391 | 0.74 | 0.02 | 2.281 | 0.925 | 0.287 | Substantial |
|  | S1_68986987 | 0.96 | 0.02 | 1.848 | 1.114 | 0.324 | Substantial |
|  | S1_12310584 | 0.99 | 0.02 | 1.573 | 1.02 | 0.304 | Substantial |
| Three Nigerian) | S1_79552698 | 1.18 | 0.01 | 1.297 | 1.06 | 0.31 | Strong |
|  | S1_71839114 | 1.19 | 0.01 | 1.115 | 1.071 | 0.313 | Strong |
|  | S1_71839111 | 1.21 | 0.01 | 0.926 | 1.078 | 0.314 | Strong |
|  | S1_86664776 | 1.38 | 0.01 | 0.731 | 1.122 | 0.322 | Strong |
|  | S1_714301 | 1.4 | 0.01 | 0.593 | 1.113 | 0.32 | Strong |
|  | S1_5050153 | 1.61 | 0 | 0.454 | 1.192 | 0.336 | Very strong |
|  | S1_71386300 | 1.81 | 0 | 0.366 | 1.296 | 0.358 | Very strong |
|  | S1_22032080 | 1.92 | 0 | 0.311 | 1.201 | 0.337 | Very strong |
|  | S1_44330351 | 1.96 | 0 | 0.267 | 1.524 | 0.407 | Very strong |


| SNP markers | $\log 10(P O)$ | qval | FDR\% | alpha | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {st }}$ | Jeffery's scale |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| S1_93290730 | 2.09 | 0 | 0.187 | 1.234 | 0.344 | Very strong |
| S1_12318033 | 2.3 | 0 | 0.151 | 1.244 | 0.345 | Decisive |
| S1_63091033 | 2.34 | 0 | 0.129 | 1.246 | 0.346 | Decisive |
| S1_19341585 | 2.35 | 0 | 0.107 | 1.279 | 0.353 | Decisive |
| S1_51165418 | 2.35 | 0 | 0.107 | 1.229 | 0.342 | Decisive |
| S1_78236336 | 2.62 | 0 | 0.055 | 1.305 | 0.358 | Decisive |
| S1_19915743 | 2.74 | 0 | 0.04 | 1.31 | 0.359 | Decisive |
| S1_45843014 | 2.74 | 0 | 0.04 | 1.289 | 0.354 | Decisive |
| S1_89488869 | 3.1 | 0 | 0.012 | 1.316 | 0.36 | Decisive |
| S1_88147383 | 3.7 | 0 | 0.004 | 1.47 | 0.393 | Decisive |
| S1_88147395 | 3.7 | 0 | 0.004 | 1.479 | 0.395 | Decisive |
| S1_16207640 | 1000 | 0 | 0 | 1.669 | 0.437 | Decisive |
| S1_41324735 | 1000 | 0 | 0 | 1.827 | 0.472 | Decisive |
| S1_60453242 | 1000 | 0 | 0 | 1.451 | 0.389 | Decisive |
| S1_88147307 | 1000 | 0 | 0 | 1.746 | 0.453 | Decisive |
| S1_88147333 | 1000 | 0 | 0 | 1.752 | 0.455 | Decisive |
| S1_89575380 | 1000 | 0 | 0 | 1.579 | 0.417 | Decisive |
| S1_89575402 | 1000 | 0 | 0 | 1.656 | 0.434 | Decisive |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Figure 4.9. A plot of the $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ST }}$ of each marker against the posterior odds (PO) of that maker being under selection within the Nigerian and Kenyan populations.

The vertical line shows the critical PO used to identify outlier markers $\log _{10}$ ( q value) $=-1.3$. Markers on the right side of the vertical line are outliers; markers with high FST are indicative of positive selection.


Figure 4.10. A plot of the $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ST }}$ of each marker against the posterior odds (PO) of that maker being under selection within the three subpopulations Nigeria.

The vertical line shows the critical PO used to identify outlier markers $\log _{10}$ ( q value) $=-1.3$. Markers on the right side of the vertical line are outliers; markers with high FST are indicative of positive selection.


Figure 4.11. A plot of the $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ST }}$ of each marker against the posterior odds (PO) of that maker being under selection within the Kenyan Host plant populations.

The vertical line shows the critical PO used to identify outlier markers $\log _{10}$ ( q value) $=-1.3$. Markers on the right side of the vertical line are outliers; markers with high $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ST }}$ are indicative of positive selection.


Figure 4.12 A plot of the FST of each marker against the posterior odds (PO) of that maker being under selection within the four populations observed by population structure analysis.

The vertical line shows the critical PO used to identify outlier markers $\log _{10}$ ( $q$ value) $=-2$. Markers on the right side of the vertical line are outliers; markers with high FST are indicative of positive selection.

### 4.4. Effects of S. hermonthica Infestation on a Susceptible and a Resistant Maize Genotype.

### 4.4.1. The ability of maize plants to resist the attachment of $S$. hermonthica plants.

Differences were observed in the number of attached plants, as well as, in the growth and development of $S$. hermonthica plants on the roots of the two inbred lines, 5057 (susceptible) and ZD05 (resistant).

The susceptible plants (5057) in both groups A (maize plants infested with $S$. hermonthica seeds that were not pregerminated with GR24) and B (maize plants infested with S. hermonthica seeds that were pregerminated with GR24) supported a progressively higher number of attached Striga hermonthica plants than the resistant genotype (ZD05). In group A, statistically significant difference in the number of Striga hermonthica plants attached to ZD05 and 5057 was observed at 32 DPI (ZD05 $=4 \pm 2,5057=11.2 \pm 11.6$ ) and this difference remained statistically significant till the end of the experiment (Table 4.8). The experiment ended at 46 DPI because two susceptible maize plants (5075) died before the next observation period (49DPI). The plants in group B showed statistically significant difference in the number of Striga hermonthica plants attached to ZD05 and 5057 was at 46 DPI (ZD05 $=6 \pm 2.739,5057$ $=12 \pm 5.48)$ and this difference remained statistically significant till the end of the experiment (Table 4.9.). The experiment ended at 53 DPI because two susceptible maize plants (5075) died before the next observation period (56DPI).

Developmental stages of attached Striga hermonthica plants on both maize genotypes

The resistant maize (ZD05) plants had all the attached S. hermonthica plants at L1 (plants with 2-4 partially horizontal opened leaves). On 5057 (the susceptible maize genotype), $50 \%$ of the attached Striga plants were at the L1 (plants with 2-4 partially horizontal opened leaves) stage and $50 \%$ at the L2 (plants with 6-8 partially horizontal opened leaves) stage. Ten days later, at 25DPI, $44 \%$ of the $S$. hermonthica plants attached to ZD05 were at the L1 ( plants with 2-4 partially horizontal opened leaves) stage, $33 \%$ ( $0.6 /$ maize plant) at the L2 (plants with 6-8 partially horizontal opened leaves) stage and $22 \%$ were at the L3 (plants with 10-12 partially horizontal opened leaves) stage. At this time point $80 \%$ (3.4/maize plant), $8 \%$ and $16 \%$ of the attached Striga plants on 5057 were at the L1 (plants with 2-4 partially horizontal opened
leaves), L2 (plants with 6-8 partially horizontal opened leaves) and L3 (plants with 1012 partially horizontal opened leaves) stages respectively. At 34DPI ZD05 had about 7 \% dying or dead Striga plants while 5057 had no dead or dying Striga plants (Figures 4.14 and 4.15.).

All the S. hermonthica plants attached to both genotypes of the group B plants were at L1 (plants with 2-4 partially horizontal opened leaves), at 18DPI. Ten days later at 28DPI, the $S$. hermonthica plants (0.6/Maize plant) on ZD05 remained at the L1 (plants with 2-4 partially horizontal opened leaves stage), while $80 \%$ and $20 \%$ of the $S$. hermonthica plants on 5057 were at the L1 (plants with 2-4 partially horizontal opened leaves) and L2 (plants with 6-8 partially horizontal opened leaves) stages respectively. At 39DPI, ZD05 had about $43 \%$ at the L1 (plants with 2-4 partially horizontal opened leaves) stage, $35 \%$ at the L2 (plants with 6-8 partially horizontal opened leaves) stage, $7 \%$ at the L3 stage, no plants at the mature stage and 22 \% dying or dead while 5057 had about $50 \%$ at the L1 (plants with 2-4 partially horizontal opened leaves stage), 25 \% at the L2 (plants with 6-8 partially horizontal opened leaves) stage, 7 \% at the L3 (plants with 10-12 partially horizontal opened leaves) stage, $14 \%$ mature plants and 3 \% dead or dying plants (Figures 4.13 and 4.14.).

As the experiment progressed the susceptible line (5057) had more attached Striga at every level of development whether or not the $S$. hermonthica seeds were pregerminated with GR24.

Table 4.8. Number of Striga hermonthica attached at to the roots of both genotypes from 7 DPI to 46 DPI in group A (Group infested with S. hermonthica that was not pregerminated).

| Days post infestation (DPI) | ZD04 $\pm \mathrm{SD}$ | $5057 \pm \mathrm{SD}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7DPI | $0 \pm 0$ | $0 \pm 0$ |
| 11DPI | $0.2 \pm 0.4$ | $0 \pm 0$ |
| 14 DPI | $1 \pm 1$ | $0.8 \pm 1.3$ |
| 18 DPI | $1.2 \pm 1.3$ | $1.2 \pm 2.2$ |
| 21DPI | $1.4 \pm 1.1$ | $2.4 \pm 2.5$ |
| 25DPI | $1.8 \pm 1.3$ | $4.6 \pm 4.5$ |
| 28DPI | $2.2 \pm 1.5$ | $7.2 \pm 6.4$ |
| 32DPI* $^{35 \mathrm{DPI}^{*}}$ | $4 \pm 2$ | $11.2 \pm 8.1$ |
| 39DPI* $^{2}$ | $5.6 \pm 2.7$ | $15.2 \pm 7.9$ |
| 42DPI* | $10.2 \pm 4.3$ | $19.6 \pm 10.5$ |
| 46DPI* | $11.4 \pm 5.9$ | $25 \pm 8.36$ |

[^0]SD= Standard deviation

Table 4.9. Number of Striga hermonthica attached at to the roots of both genotypes from 7 DPI to 53 DPI in group B (Group infested with $S$. hermonthica that was pregerminated by adding Gr24)

| Days post infestation (DPI) | ZD04 $\pm$ SD | $5057 \pm$ SD |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7DPI | $0 \pm 0$ | $0 \pm 0$ |
| 11DPI | $0 \pm 0$ | $0 \pm 0$ |
| 14 DPI | $0 \pm 0$ | $0 \pm 0$ |
| 18 DPI | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ |
| 21 DPI | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | $0.4 \pm 0.9$ |
| 25DPI | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | $0.8 \pm 1.1$ |
| 28DPI | $0.6 \pm 0.6$ | $1.2 \pm 1.8$ |
| 32DPI | $1 \pm 1$ | $2.4 \pm 3.3$ |
| 35DPI | $2 \pm 1.7$ | $3.6 \pm 4.5$ |
| 39DPI | $2.8 \pm 1.6$ | $5.6 \pm 5.7$ |
| 42DPI | $5 \pm 2.7$ | $9.4 \pm 6.7$ |
| 46DPI* | $6 \pm 2.7$ | $12 \pm 5.5$ |
| 49DPI* | $7.4 \pm 3.7$ | $15.8 \pm 6.6$ |
| 53DPI* | $11.6 \pm 4.7$ | $21.4 \pm 5.6$ |

* $=$ Significant at $\alpha_{0.05}$
$\mathrm{SD}=$ Standard deviation


Plate 4.1. Growth Stages of attached Striga plants

The arrows show the opened leaves of the parasite (Striga hermonthica).


Figure 4.13. Histogram of the mean number of attached $S$. hermonthica on resistant and susceptible maize lines in group A (No GR24 treatment).
$\mathrm{L} 1=2-4$ partially horizontal opened leaves, $\mathrm{L} 2=6-8$ partially horizontal opened leaves, $\mathrm{L} 3=10-12$ partially horizontal opened leaves, $\mathrm{L} 4=$ Mature Striga plants, $\mathrm{Lx}=$ Discoloured, dead or dying plants.


Figure 4.14. Histogram of the mean number of attached Striga hermonthica on resistant and susceptible maize lines in group B (GR24 treatment).
$\mathrm{L} 1=2-4$ partially horizontal opened leaves, $\mathrm{L} 2=6-8$ partially horizontal opened leaves, $\mathrm{L} 3=10-12$ partially horizontal opened leaves, $\mathrm{L} 4=$ Mature Striga plants, Lx $=$ Discoloured, dead or dying plants.

### 4.4.2. Effect of $S$. hermonthica infestation on the height of the plants.

Striga hermonthica infestation led to observable differences in the heights of ZD05 and 5057 (Plates 4.2 and 4.3). Both maize lines did not differ significantly in their heights without $S$. hermonthica infestation. (ZD04 $=81.50 \pm 4.95 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $5057=$ $93.96 \pm 19.33 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) (Figure 4.15)

The ZD05 lines that was infested with Striga hermonthica seeds had significantly taller shoots at than the infested 5057, regardless of whether they were infested with were pre-germinated seeds or untreated seeds (i. e. Seeds that were not treated with GR24 to induce their germination). The ZD05 plants infested with untreated S. hermonthica seeds had a mean height of $69.20 \pm 4.80 \mathrm{~cm}$, while the 5057 plants infested with untreated $S$. hermonthica seeds had an average height of $30.60 \pm 7.00 \mathrm{~cm}$. When pregerminated S. hermonthica seeds were used to infest both maize lines, ZD05 plants had an average height of $73.60 \pm 4.60 \mathrm{~cm}$ and the 5057 plants had an average height of $42.4 \pm 5 \mathrm{~cm}$ (Figure 4.15).

There was no significant difference between the heights of the infested ZD05 line (both those infested with pre-germinated $S$. hermonthica seeds and those that were infested with $S$. hermonthica seeds that were not treated with Gr 24 ) and the uninfested ZD05 ( $69.20 \pm 4.80 \mathrm{~cm}, 73.60 \pm 4.60 \mathrm{~cm}$, and $81.50 \pm 4.95 \mathrm{~cm}$ respectively) (Figure 4.15). The infested 5057 lines (regardless of the treatment of the seeds) were significantly shorter ( $\alpha_{0.05}$ ) than the uninfested ( 5057 infested with untreated seeds $=$ $30.60 \pm 7.00 \mathrm{~cm}, 5057$ infested with pregerminated seeds $=42.40 \pm 5.00 \mathrm{~cm}$, uninfested plants $=93.96 \pm 19.33 \mathrm{~cm})($ Figure 4.15). There was however no significant difference between the heights of the 5057 plants infested with pregerminated $S$. hermonthica and those infested with untreated seeds (Figure 4.15).


Plate 4.2. Uninfested maize plants (of both genotypes) growing.


Plate 4.3. S. hermonthica infested maize plants (of both genotypes) with growing in rhizotron


Figure 4.15. The plant height (cm) of both maize genotypes upon infestation with Striga hermonthica (infested and control).

The different letters (a and b) in the graph show significant differences among groups at $\alpha_{0.05}$.

The bars show Standard deviation.

### 4.4.3. Root-Shoot ratio by weight of Striga hermonthica infested maize.

The biomass partitioning in the susceptible genotype (5057) was significantly altered due to infestation by Striga hermonthica, giving rise to a larger root to shoot ratio when compared to the resistant genotype (ZD05).The infested ZD05 had a significantly lower root/shoot ratio by weight than the infested 5057 (ZD05 $=0.63 \pm$ $0.2,5057=1.9 \pm 0.6, \alpha_{0.00}$ (Figure 4.16).

The root/shoot ratio by weight of the infested 5057 maize plants was significantly higher than the root/shoot ratio by weight of the uninfested 5057 maize plants. The infested ZD05 plants had a higher root/shoot ratio than the uninfested ZD05 plants (uninfested ZD05 $=0.37 \pm 0.19$, infested ZD05 $0.63 \pm 0.2$ ), but this difference was however not statistically significant. (4.16)

The difference in the root/shoot ratio between the control groups, uninfested 5057 ( $0.32 \pm 0.07$ ) and uninfested ZD05 $(0.37 \pm 0.19)$ was not statistically significant. (Figure 4.16).


Figure 4.16. A histogram showing the root/shoot ratio by weight of Striga infested maize plants.
$\mathrm{a}=$ significant at $\alpha_{0.05}$.

The bars show Standard deviation.

### 4.5. Physiological and biochemical mechanisms of resistance to Striga hermonthica by maize

### 4.5.1. Germination stimulant ability of maize root exudates from susceptible and resistant maize lines.

Root exudates of maize plants stimulated the germination and radicle elongation of $S$. hermonthica to different extents (Plates 4.4. and 4.5).

The root exudates, derived from plants grown in pots, of the susceptible plant (5057) stimulated the germination of significantly more $S$. hermonthica seeds within the time of the experiment, when compared to the root exudates or the resistant genotype (ZD05) ( $5057=1.6 \pm 0.11$ germinated seeds in 2 days, $\mathrm{ZD} 05=0 \pm 0$ germinated seeds in 2 days, $\alpha_{0.05}$ (Figure 4.17). Gr24, a synthetic germination stimulant that was used as the positive control, induced the germination of more S. hermonthica seeds than the exudates of both maize genotypes. S. hermonthica seeds that were suspended in distilled water (which was also used as the negative control) did not germinate. A larger number of $S$. hermonthica seeds that were suspended in the root exudates susceptible genotype showed elongated radicles than when compared to the resistant genotype ( $S$. hermonthica seeds with elongated radicles suspended in the root exudates of $5057=2.8 \pm 0.5$, S. hermonthica seeds with elongated radicles suspended in the root exudates of ZD05 $=0.2 \pm 0.2, \alpha_{0.05}$ ) (Figure 4.18). More $S$. hermonthica seeds that were suspended in Gr24 developed elongated radicles than seeds suspended in the exudates of both maize genotypes.

Also, the differences in the number of Striga hermonthica germinated by the exudates collected from test tubes and the number per unit of root weight between the susceptible and resistant lines were statistically significant ( $\alpha 0.05$ ). TSTR1108 $=9.4 \pm$ $1.5,5057=26.4 \pm 9.96$, and TSTR1108 $=31.4 \pm 4.2$ and $5057=191.3 \pm 8.5$ per plant and per unit root weight respectively (Figure 4.19 A ). There was also a significant difference in the number of Striga hermonthica seeds germinated per unit root weight by both the susceptible (5057) and resistant lines (ZD05) (S. hermonthica seeds germinated per unit root weight by $5057=110.66 \pm 78.1$, S. hermonthica seeds germinated per unit root weight by $Z D 05=36.4 \pm 9.7, \alpha_{0.05}$ ) (Figure 4.19 B).


A


B

Plate 4.4. Striga hermonthica seeds treated with exudates from 5057 and ZD05.

A = Seed treated with exudates from ZD05
$B=$ Seeds treated with exudates from 5057

The red circles show germinating Striga hermonthica seeds


Plate 4.5. Germinated $S$. hermonthica seeds with elongated radicles


Figure 4.17. Number of Striga hermonthica seeds germinated by GR24 and distilled water and root exudates of the maize lines.

The bars show Standard deviation.
$\mathrm{a}=$ Significantly different from TSTR1108


Solutions with suspended $S$. hermonthica seeds

Figure 4.18 Number of Striga hermonthica seeds with elongated radicle after germination and continued suspension in GR24 and distilled water and root exudates of the maize lines.
$\mathrm{a}=$ significant at $\alpha_{0.05}$

The bars show Standard deviation.


Figure 4.19. (A) A histogram of the number of Striga hermonthica seeds germinated by the root exudates of the two varieties after 96 hours.
(B) A histogram of the number of Striga hermonthica seeds germinated per unit root weight of both genotypes.

The different letter (a) in the graph show significant differences among groups at $\alpha 0.05$. The bars show Standard deviation.

### 4.5.2. Total length of major roots on the resistant (ZD05) and susceptible (5057) genotypes.

The total root length was defined as the sum of all the major roots in each plant. The resistant genotype (ZD05) had significantly shorter total root lengths ( $257 \pm 36.1 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) than the susceptible genotype (5057) ( $352.9 \pm 36 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) before infestation. Upon infestation the total major root length of ZD05 ( $284 \pm 27.4 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) was also significantly shorter than that of 5057 ( $382 \pm 68.8 \mathrm{~cm}$ ). There was no significant difference in total root length of infested 5057 and uninfested 5057, as well as, between infested ZD05 and uninfested ZD05 were not significant (Figure 4.20).


Figure 4.20. Total root length of major roots on both genotypes with and without infestation with Striga hermonthica.
$\alpha_{0.05}$ the different letters (a and b) in graph show significant differences among groups The bars show Standard deviation.

### 4.5.3. Growth rate of attached $S$. hermonthica plants on susceptible and a resistant maize genotype.

The Striga hermonthica plants attached to the susceptible genotype (5057) developed faster than the plants attached to the resistant genotype (ZD05) as measured by the rate at which new leaves were formed in the parasite.

The rate of development (measured by leaf count) of Striga plants attached to the lateral roots of the susceptible genotype $(\mathrm{Y}=0.85-20)$ was significantly faster than the rate of those attached to the resistant plants ( $\mathrm{Y}=0.18-0.87$ ) at $\alpha_{0.000}$ (Figure 4.21 and Table 4.10). It is evident from the slopes of the regression lines that the $S$. hermonthica plants on the lateral roots of the susceptible line (5057) developed at the rate of 0.85 leaves per day. Whereas the S. hermonthica plants on the lateral roots of the resistant line (ZD05), developed at the rate of 0.18 leaves per day. The $S$. hermonthica plants on the lateral roots of the susceptible plant developed 4.7 times faster than the ones on the lateral roots of the resistant plant. (ZD05).

The same higher rate of development was also observed on the major roots. $\mathrm{Y}=0.54$ 11.96 for susceptible genotype (5057) and $\mathrm{Y}=0.29-4.48$ for resistant genotype (ZD05) at $\alpha_{0.000}$. (Figure 4.22 and Table 4.11). In this instance, from the slopes of the regression lines, the $S$. hermonthica plants on the major roots of the susceptible line (5057) developed at the rate of 0.54 leaves per day. Whereas the $S$. hermonthica plants on the major roots of the resistant line (ZD05), developed at the rate of 0.29 leaves per day. The $S$. hermonthica plants on the major roots of the susceptible plant developed 1.8 times faster than the ones on the major roots of the resistant plant. (ZD05).


Figure 4.21. Regression lines showing the rate of development of Striga hermonthica plants (measured using number of leaves) of attached to the lateral roots of the two maize genotypes.
S. hermonthica rate of development on the lateral roots of $5057=\mathrm{Y}=0.85 \mathrm{x}-20$
S. hermonthica rate of development on the lateral roots ZD05 $=\mathrm{Y}=0.18 \mathrm{x}-0.87$

Table 4.10. ANCOVA table of the leaf count of Striga hermonthica plants attached to the lateral roots of the two maize genotypes.

| Parameter | Df |  | Sum Sq | Mean Sq | F value | Pr $(>\mathrm{F})$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| DPI | 1 | 70.44 | 70.44 | 285.12 | $1.53 \mathrm{e}-07 * * *$ |  |
| Treatment | 1 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 12.97 | $0.006974 * *$ |  |
| DPI: Treatment | 1 | 6.75 | 6.75 | 27.34 | $0.000794 * * *$ |  |

DPI= Days post infestation

Treatment $=5057$ or ZD05
${ }^{\prime * *}{ }^{\prime}=\alpha_{0.001} \quad{ }^{\prime * * * '}=\alpha_{0.0}$


Figure 4.22. Regression lines showing the rate of development of Striga hermonthica plants (measured using number of leaves) of attached to the major roots of the two maize genotypes.
S. hermonthica rate of development on major roots of $5057=\mathrm{Y}=0.5 \mathrm{x}-11.96$
S. hermonthica rate of development on major roots of $\mathrm{ZD} 05=\mathrm{Y}=0.28 \mathrm{x}-4.48$

DPI= Days post infestation

Table 4.11. ANCOVA table of the leaf count of Striga hermonthica plants attached to the major roots of the two maize genotypes.

| Parameter | Df |  | Sum Sq | Mean Sq | F value | Pr (>F) |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| DPI | 1 | 70.44 | 70.44 | 285.12 | $1.53 \mathrm{e}-07^{* * *}$ |  |
| Treatment | 1 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 12.97 | $0.006974{ }^{* *}$ |  |
| DPI: Treatment | 1 | 6.75 | 6.75 | 27.34 | $0.000794^{* * *}$ |  |

DPI= Days post infestation

Treatment $=5057$ or ZD05
${ }^{\prime * *}{ }^{\prime}=\alpha_{0.001}{ }{ }^{* * * * '}=\alpha_{0.0}$

### 4.6. Differentially expressed genes by resistant and susceptible maize genotypes in response to Striga hermonthica infestation.

Ribonucleic acid (RNA) sequencing produced between 25 million and 40 million paired end reads per sample, with a read length of 151 nucleotides. Which were then trimmed to give an average read length of 137 nucleotides. This was mapped against the maize genome resulting between 33 to $53 \%$ of uniquely mapped reads. Cufflinks software produced 113522 ( 26409 multi-transcript, about 6.4 transcripts per locus) union super-loci (non-union super loci are loci that did not map to multiple regions) across all input dataset. An expression catalogue for the samples in 3 replicates containing 67205 genes, 112582 isoforms, 75598 transcription start sites, 4435530 promoters, 4989468 splicing sites was created by the Cufflinks package. Of these 67205 genes, only 19674 genes were differentially expressed across the samples compared.

### 4.6.1 Gene expression profile at first time point (three days post infestation)

Three days post infestation, the infested susceptible plants (5057) differentially expressed 477 when compared to the uninfested 5057 plants. While, the infested resistant plants (ZD05) differentially expressed 437 genes when compared to the uninfested ZD05 plants. The susceptible genotype (5057) upregulated 403 and down regulated 32 genes, while the resistant genotype (ZD05) upregulated 197 and downregulated 240 genes. The two genotypes had 42 differentially expressed genes in common, with the susceptible plant upregulating 40 and downregulating 2 . While the resistant plant upregulated 30 and downregulated 12 genes (Figure 4.23).

The resistant genotype (ZD05) upregulated genes involved in plant defence. These include the first five genes involved in the synthesis of DIBOA (2, 4-Dihydroxy-1,4-benzoxazin-3-one) and DIMBOA (2,4-dihydroxy-7-methoxy-1,4-benzoxazin-3-one). (Fig. 4.24). Chalcone synthase gene and phenylalanine ammonia lyase were also upregulated (Fig. 4.24), while largely downregulating these genes were for heat shock proteins and factors. Catalase was the only gene involved in mopping up $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ that was upregulated at this time point the resistant genotype. At this time point this genotype also downregulated of genes like tryptophan aminotransferase related 2 (tar2), Auxin response factor 5 (ARF5), IAA9-auxin-responsive Aux/IAA family member, auxin
import carrier 1, Patatin-like protein 6, auxin-induced beta-glucosidase, glutathione-stransferase (11, 14 and 16). Auxin transporters, PIN-formed protei n4 (PIN4), was downregulated and PIN 9 and 11 were upregulated. Genes involved in cell wall reorganisation were also downregulated including pectate lyase 8, xyloglucan-6xylosyltransferase 5 and 6, xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/ hydrolase protein 21, endoglucanase 1 and 6, $\alpha$-expansin 4, $\beta$-expansin 3 and 8 (Table 4.12 and Table 4.13).

The susceptible genotype showed increased expression of heat shock factors and heat shock proteins as well as of genes involved in the metabolism of hormones. These include alternative oxidase (the action of AOX results in the production of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ), L ascorbate and Oxidative stress 3 (which are markers for oxidative stress) and peroxidases (that mop up $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ). Both genotypes upregulated genes involved in plant secondary metabolism, however, the resistant genotype upregulated more of these genes and did not show downregulation of any of these genes while the susceptible genotype downregulated some of these genes. (Table 4.12 and Table 4.13) Genes involved in abscisic acid (ABA) signalling and genes induced by ABA, including ABA-responsive protein, Abscisic acid receptor PYL5, MAP kinase 3, Late embryogenesis abundant proteins and dehydrins were upregulated by the susceptible genotype. (Table 4.12 and Table 4.13),

Pathway enrichment analysis

At 3 days after infestation, pathway enrichment analysis of differentially regulated genes showed that genes in 38 pathways were upregulated in the susceptible line and genes from 6 pathways were downregulated. In the resistant genotype, genes from 28 and 25 pathways were upregulated and downregulated respectively. The susceptible genotype did not have any genes common to pathways in the upregulated and downregulated groups. This was not the case with the resistant genotype as 8 of the pathways were common to the upregulated and downregulated genes. However different were individual genes were upregulated or downregulated in the pathways (Table 4.14).


Figure 4.23. The distribution of genes in the first harvest period (3 days post infestation).

Key
$\mathrm{A}=$ number of genes that showed significant differences in expression levels between the infested and uninfested plants.
$B=$ Genes that were differentially regulated in only one genotype (That is, either ZD05 or 5057).
$\mathrm{C}=$ Transcripts differentially regulated and present in both genotypes.


Figure 4.24. Expression levels of selected defence and secondary metabolism at the three days post infestation.

Table 4.12. Representative genes upregulated at 3 days post infestation time in both genotypes.

| 5057 (Susceptible) | ZD05 (Resistant) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Hormone and hormonal metabolism | Hormone and hormonal metabolism |
| ABA-responsive protein | Auxin response factor 2 |
| Ethylene-responsive transcription factor RAP |  |
| Abscisic acid receptor PYL5 | $2-3$ |
| Auxin-responsive protein SAUR32 | PIN-formed protein11 and 9 |
| Indole-3-acetate beta-glucosyltransferase | WAT1-related protein |
| Gibberellin receptor GID1L2 |  |
| 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate oxidase 7 |  |
| S-adenosylmethionine synthase 1 |  |
| Indole-3-acetate beta-glucosyltransferase |  |
| Ethylene-responsive transcription factor 1A |  |
| Ethylene-responsive transcription factor ERF035 |  |
| allene oxide synthase 1 |  |
| jasmonate-regulated gene 21 | Defence |
| Defence | Osmotin-like protein OSM34 |
| Dehydration responsive element binding protein |  |
| Dehydrin DHN1 | Beta-glucosidase 17 |
| Protein DOWNY MILDEW RESISTANCE 6 | hypersensitive induced reaction 2 and 3 |
| Wound/stress protein | Disease resistance gene analog PIC17 |
| Xyloglucan $\quad$ endotransglucosylase/hydrolase | trehalose-6-phosphate phosphatase 2 and 8 |
| protein 2 |  |
| stearoyl-acyl-carrier-protein desaturase9 |  |
| lipoxygenase 5 | Catalase isozyme 3 |
| H2O maetabolism and oxidative stress |  |
| alternative oxidase 2 and 3 |  |

oxidative stress 3
Plant L-ascorbate oxidase

Transporters
Symbiotic ammonium transporter
ABC transporter G family member 6
Sulfate transporter 3.1
Symbiotic ammonium transporter
phosphate transporter protein 13
Amino acid permease 2
Amino acid transporter ANTL2
Aquaporin PIP1-6., 1-1, 2-2, 2-3

Secondary metabolism
Naringenin2-oxoglutarate 3-dioxygenase phenylalanine ammonia lyase 8 chalcone flavanone isomerase 1

DIBOA-glucoside dioxygenase BX6
Polyketide cyclase/dehydrase and lipid transport superfamily protein

Heat Shock proteins and heat shock factors
17.4 kDa class I heat shock protein 3
17.4 kDa class III heat shock protein

Activator of heat shock protein ATPase
Heat shock 70 kDa protein 5 and 8
Heat shock factor protein 7

## Transporters

Equilibrative nucleotide transporter 2
Sugar transport protein 3
potassium channel 6
Major facilitator superfamily protein
Methionine aminopeptidase
calcium exchanger3
ABC transporter B family member 9
ABC transporter G family member 34
ammonium transporter2

Secondary metabolism
Chalcone synthase C2
bx 3, 4 and 5
Dihydroflavonol-4-reductase
Flavonoid 3'-monooxygenase
kaurenoic acid oxidase 2
Phenylalanine ammonia-lyase 1
Polyketide cyclase/dehydrase and lipid transport superfamily protein
Alpha-humulene/(-)-(E)-beta-caryophyllene synthase

Heat stress transcription factor A-6b
25.3 kDa heat shock protein chloroplastic heat shock protein 17.2

HSP40/DnaJ peptide-binding protein
Chaperone DnaJ-domain superfamily protein
Chaperone protein ClpB1
Chaperone protein dnaJ 3
Histone H1, H2A, H3, H4
Heat shock protein, 90 kDa

Anti-apoptotic genes
Bax inhibitor 1
BCL-2 binding anthanogene-1

Table 4.13 Representative genes downregulated at 3 days post infestation time in both genotypes.

| 5057 (Susceptible) | ZD05 (Resistant) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Plant defence | Plant defence |
| Pathogenesis-related protein 10 | Disease resistance protein RPM1 |
| Pollen Ole e 1 allergen and extensin family protein | Disease resistance protein RPS2 |
| Protein DOWNY MILDEW RESISTANCE 6 | Disease resistance RPP13-like protein 4 |
| trehalose-6-phosphate synthase 9 | Endoglucanase 1 and 6 |
| Hormone and hormonal metabolism | Hormone and hormonal metabolism |
| WAT1-related protein | ABA induced plasma membrane protein PM 19 |
|  | ABC transporter A family member 7 gibberellin 2-oxidase 3 |
|  | cyclin 5 and D2-1 |
|  | auxin import carrier 1 |
|  | Auxin-induced beta-glucosidase 3B tryptophan aminotransferase related 2 |
|  | Auxin response factor 5 |
|  | IAA9-auxin-responsive Aux/IAA family member |
|  | Jasmonate-induced protein |
|  | jasmonate-regulated gene 21 |
|  | Pin 4 |
| Secondary metabolites | Heat Shock proteins and heat shock factors |
| Terpene synthase 6 | Heat stress transcription factor B-4 |
| Alpha-humulene/(-)-(E)-beta-caryophyllene synthase |  |
|  | Hsp20/alpha crystallin family protein |
|  | HSP20-like chaperones superfamily protein |
|  | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ metabolism and oxidative stress glutathione transferase 11,14 and 16 |

Table 4.14. Pathways with upregulated genes and downregulated genes at 3 days post infestation time in both resistant and susceptible genotypes

biosynthesis,
zma01110: Biosynthesis of secondary metabolites
zma00195: Photosynthesis
zma00260: Glycine, serine and threonine metabolism
zma00400: Phenylalanine, tyrosine and tryptophan biosynthesis
zma01212: Fatty acid metabolism zma04931: Insulin resistance
zma00350: Tyrosine metabolism zma00310: Lysine degradation zma00750: Vitamin B6 metabolism zma01230: Biosynthesis of amino acids zma01200: Carbon metabolism zma01220: Degradation of aromatic compounds zma04146: Peroxisome zma01130: Biosynthesis of antibiotics zma04626: Plant-pathogen interaction

| Downregulated |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 5057 (Susceptible) | ZD05 (Resistant) |
| zma00195: Photosynthesis <br> zma00500: Starch and sucrose metabolism | zma00053: Ascorbate and aldarate metabolism <br> zma00230: Purine metabolism <br> zma00250: Alanine aspartate and glutamate |
| zma00902: Monoterpenoid biosynthesis <br> zma00909: Sesquiterpenoid and triterpenoid | metabolism |
| biosynthesis | zma00380: Tryptophan metabolism |
| zma01100: Metabolic pathways | zma00480: Glutathione metabolism |
| zma01110: Biosynthesis of secondary metabolites | zma00500: Starch and sucrose metabolism zma00564: Glycerophospholipid metabolism zma00591: Linoleic acid metabolism |

zma00904: Diterpenoid biosynthesis zma00910: Nitrogen metabolism zma03008: Ribosome biogenesis in eukaryotes zma03060: Protein export zma03440: Homologous recombination zma04075: Plant hormone signal transduction zma04141: Protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum
zma04144: Endocytosis
zma04626: Plant-pathogen interaction zma01100: Metabolic pathways zma00410: beta-Alanine metabolism zma03020: RNA polymerase
zma00430: Taurine and hypotaurine metabolism
zma00650: Butanoate metabolism
zma01110: Biosynthesis of secondary metabolites
zma00240: Pyrimidine metabolism
zma00592: alpha-Linolenic acid metabolism

### 4.6.2. Gene expression profile at second time point (9 days post infestation)

The results at nine days post infestation ( 9 DPI ) show that, upon infestation the susceptible plants (5057) differentially expressed a total of 508 when compared to the uninfested 5057 plants. While, the infested resistant plants (ZD05) differentially expressed 475 genes when compared to the uninfested ZD05 plants. The two maize lines, 5057 and ZD05, upregulated 226 and 394 genes respectively and 282 and 63 genes were downregulated in 5057 and ZD05 respectively. The two genotypes had 71 differentially expressed genes in common, with both the susceptible and resistant plants having 39 upregulated and 32 downregulated genes (Figure 4.25).

At nine DPI, the resistant genotype shows upregulation of more plant defence and pathogenesis-related proteins than the susceptible genotype. Genes involved in hormones and metabolism of hormones, plant defence and pathogenesis-related proteins and in secondary metabolism were upregulated resistant genotype. It also upregulated abscisic acid 8 -hydroxylase $1, \mathrm{~S}$-adenosylmethionine synthase 1 and 1 -aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate (ACC) oxidases, ethene-responsive transcription factors (ERF014, ERF053 and RAP2-11) and endoglucanase 11 and 25 were also observed in the resistant genotype.

Many of heatshock proteins and factors were again upregulated in the susceptible genotype. Genes involved in hormones and metabolism of hormones, plant defence and pathogenesis-related proteins and in secondary metabolism were majorly downregulated in the susceptible genotype. The susceptible genotype also upregulated anti-apoptotic proteins at 9 DPI. (Figure 4.26, Table 4.15 and Table 4.16).

Pathway Enrichment analysis.

Pathway enrichment analysis of differentially regulated genes at the second time point shows that the resistant genotype upregulated and downregulated genes in 41 and 30 pathways respectively. While the susceptible genotype upregulated and downregulated genes in 22 and 38 pathways respectively. (Table 4.17)

4.21. The distribution of genes in the second time point ( 9 days post infection).

## Figure Key

A) Number of genes that showed significant differences in expression levels between the infested and uninfested plants.
B) Genes that were differentially regulated in only on genotype (That is, either ZD05 or 5057).
C) Transcripts differentially regulated and present in both genotypes.


Figure 4.22. Expression levels of selected defence and secondary metabolism on both genotypes at 9 DPI

Table 4.15. Upregulated genes at 9 days post infestation time in both genotypes.

Upregulated

| 5057 (Susceptible) | ZD05 (Resistant) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Hormone and hormonal metabolism <br> Aux/IAA-transcription factor 5 <br> Protein SAR DEFICIENT 1 <br> 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate synthase7 <br> Ethylene-responsive transcription factor ERF014 and ERF053 | Hormone and hormonal metabolism <br> Abscisic acid 8'-hydroxylase 1 <br> Abscisic acid receptor PYL9 <br> Ethylene response factor <br> Ethylene-responsive transcription factor <br> RAP2-2 and RAP2-3 <br> 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate oxidase <br> 2 <br> reversion-to-ethylene sensitivity1 like3 <br> ethylene receptor homolog 2 <br> S-adenosylmethionine synthase 1 <br> cytokinin oxidase 3 <br> Auxin response factor 5 <br> PIN-formed protein 4 and 9 <br> Gibberellin 20 oxidase 2 <br> Gibberellin receptor GID1L2 |
| Secondary metabolism <br> Chalcone synthase C2 and WHP1 <br> Alpha-humulene/(-)-(E)-beta-caryophyllene synthase <br> terpene synthase 6 <br> Dihydroflavonol-4-reductase | Secondary metabolism <br> cellulose synthase 10 <br> chalcone flavanone isomerase 1 <br> DIBOA-glucoside dioxygenase BX6 <br> Nana2-like1 <br> Phenylalanine ammonia-lyase 1 |
| Plant defence and pathogenesis-related proteins <br> Disease resistance gene analog PIC17 <br> Xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase protein 14 | Plant defence and pathogenesis-related proteins <br> Chitinase chem5 <br> Xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase protein 32 |


| Pathogen-related protein | trehalose-6-phosphate phosphatase8 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Stress responsive protein | trehalose-6-phosphate synthase5 |
| Endoglucanase 25 | Glucan endo-1,3-beta-glucosidase homolog |
| 1 |  |
| Protein DOWNY MILDEW RESISTANCE |  |
| Transporters | 6 |
| Heavy metal transport/detoxification superfamily |  |
| protein | Stress responsive protein |
| High affinity nitrate transporter | Transporters transporter B family member 15 |
| Sulfate transporter 1.2 |  |
| Major facilitator superfamily protein |  |
| Mechanosensitive ion channel protein 6 |  |
| Lysine histidine transporter-like 7 |  |
| ABC transporter A family member 7 |  |$\quad$| ABC transporter G family member 6, 34 and |
| :--- | :--- |
| AB |

25.3 kDa heat shock protein chloroplastic

Heat shock 70 kDa protein 5,6 and 8
Heat shock factor protein 7
Heat stress transcription factor A-6b
heat shock protein 17.2
18 kda heat shock protein 18 a
heat shock protein 26
heat shock protein, 90 kDa
HSP40/DnaJ peptide-binding protein
Activator of heat shock protein ATPase

Table 4.16. Downregulated genes at 9 days post infestation time in both genotypes.

Downregulated

| 5057 (Susceptible) | ZD05 (Resistant) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Heat shock proteins and factors | Heat shock proteins and factors |
| Heat shock protein 90-2 | Heat stress transcription factor A-6b |
| Transporters | Transporters |
| Inositol transporter 4 | Potassium transporter 5 |
| Major facilitator superfamily protein | Symbiotic ammonium transporter <br> Phosphatidylinositol/phosphatidylcholine <br> multidrug and toxic compound extrusion 2 |
| transfer protein SFH10 |  |
| nucleotide sugar transporter-KT 1 | Mechanosensitive ion channel protein 6 |
| Organic cation/carnitine transporter 3 | Protein DETOXIFICATION 40 |

Protein DETOXIFICATION 40
S-type anion channel SLAH3
Sugar carrier protein C
Sugar transport protein 13
sugars will eventually be exported transporter 17b $A B C$ transporter $B$ family member 21

ABC transporter G family member 40
Copper transport protein CCH
Aquaporin PIP1-6
$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ metabolism and oxidative stress
L-ascorbate peroxidase 2 cytosolic
peroxidase 3,12 and 70

Plant defence and pathogenesis-related proteins
Stress responsive protein
trehalose-6-phosphate synthase 9 and 11
lipoxygenase2
Pathogenesis-related protein 1

ZD05 (Resistant)
Heat shock proteins and factors
Heat stress transcription factor A-6b

Transporters
Potassium transporter 5
Symbiotic ammonium transporter Phosphatidylinositol/phosphatidylcholine transfer protein SFH10
Mechanosensitive ion channel protein 6
Protein DETOXIFICATION 40
$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ metabolism and oxidative stress Peroxidase R15

Plant defence and pathogenesis-related proteins
trehalose-6-phosphate phosphatase 11

Pathogenesis-related thaumatin superfamily protein pectin methylesterase inhibitor 1 late embryogenesis abundant protein-related / LEA protein-related

Bowman-Birk type wound-induced proteinase inhibitor WIP1

Acidic endochitinase
Basic endochitinase B

Hormone and hormonal metabolism
1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate synthase2
allene oxide synthase 1
Auxin-responsive protein IAA26
Benzoate carboxyl methyltransferase
tryptophan aminotransferase related 2
Salicylate/benzoate carboxyl methyltransferase
scarecrow-like 1
Jasmonate-induced protein
jasmonate-regulated gene 21
Jasmonic acid-amido synthetase JAR1
Indole-3-glycerol phosphate synthase chloroplastic
Ethylene-responsive transcription factor ERF035
IAA-amino acid hydrolase ILR1-like 4
gibberellin 2-oxidase 3 and 10

Secondary metabolism
Chalcone synthase 2
benzoxazinone synthesis 11 and 14
terpene synthase 2,8 and 23

Table 4.17. Pathways with upregulated genes and downregulated genes at 9 days post infestation time in both resistant and susceptible genotypes

| Upregulated |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 5057 (Susceptible) | ZD05 (Resistant) |
| zma00052: Galactose metabolism <br> zma00053: Ascorbate and aldarate metabolism | zma00010: Glycolysis / Gluconeogenesis <br> zma00052: Galactose metabolism <br> zma00053: Ascorbate and aldarate |
| zma00061: Fatty acid biosynthesis | metabolism |
| zma00073: Cutin, suberine and wax biosynthesis | zma00130: Ubiquinone and other terpenoidquinone biosynthesis |
| zma00196: Photosynthesis - antenna proteins | zma00270: Cysteine and methionine metabolism |
| zma00230: Purine metabolism | zma00360: Phenylalanine metabolism |
| zma00270: Cysteine and methionine metabolism <br> zma00330: Arginine and proline metabolism | zma00380: Tryptophan metabolism zma00400: Phenylalanine, tyrosine and tryptophan biosynthesis |
| zma00402: Benzoxazinoid biosynthesis | zma00402: Benzoxazinoid biosynthesis |
| zma00860: Porphyrin and chlorophyll metabolism <br> zma00902: Monoterpenoid biosynthesis | zma00460: Cyanoamino acid metabolism <br> zma00480: Glutathione metabolism <br> zma00520: Amino sugar and nucleotide |
| zma00906: Carotenoid biosynthesis | sugar metabolism |
| zma00940: Phenylpropanoid biosynthesis zma03010: Ribosome | zma00905: Brassinosteroid biosynthesis zma00940: Phenylpropanoid biosynthesis |
| zma04075: Plant hormone signal transduction | zma00941: Flavonoid biosynthesis |
| zma04141: Protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum | zma01040: Biosynthesis of unsaturated fatty acids |
| zma04626: Plant-pathogen interaction | zma03010: Ribosome |
| zma01212: Fatty acid metabolism | zma03040: Spliceosome |
| zma01100: Metabolic pathways |  |

zma01110: Biosynthesis of secondary metabolites
zma01040: Biosynthesis of unsaturated fatty acids
zma00740: Riboflavin metabolism
zma04070: Phosphatidylinositol signalling system
zma04075: Plant hormone signal transduction
zma04141: Protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum zma04626: Plant-pathogen interaction zma00071: Fatty acid degradation zma00500: Starch and sucrose metabolism zma01100: Metabolic pathways tyrosine and tryptophan biosynthesis zma01110: Biosynthesis of secondary metabolites
zma01212: Fatty acid metabolism
zma00350: Tyrosine metabolism
zma00945: Stilbenoid diarylheptanoid and gingerol biosynthesis
zma04144: Endocytosis
zma04145: Phagosome
zma01230: Biosynthesis of amino acids
zma04712: Circadian rhythm - plant
zma00400: Phenylalanine tyrosine and tryptophan biosynthesis
zma01130: Biosynthesis of antibiotics zma01200: Carbon metabolism zma00950: Isoquinoline alkaloid biosynthesis
zma01220: Degradation of aromatic compounds
zma00960: Tropane piperidine and pyridine alkaloid biosynthesis

Downregulated

| 5057 (Susceptible) | ZD05 (Resistant) |
| :---: | :---: |
| zma00010: Glycolysis / Gluconeogenesis <br> zma00040: Pentose and glucuronate interconversions <br> zma00100: Steroid biosynthesis | zma00010: Glycolysis / Gluconeogenesis <br> zma00061: Fatty acid biosynthesis <br> zma00073: Cutin <br> zma00430: Taurine and hypotaurine |
| zma00240: Pyrimidine metabolism | metabolism |
| zma00460: Cyanoamino acid metabolism zma00906: Carotenoid biosynthesis | zma00500: Starch and sucrose metabolism zma00561: Glycerolipid metabolism |
| zma00910: Nitrogen metabolism | zma00902: Monoterpenoid biosynthesis |
| zma00940: Phenylpropanoid biosynthesis | zma00905: Brassinosteroid biosynthesis |
| zma00960: Tropane piperidine and pyridine alkaloid biosynthesis | zma00906: Carotenoid biosynthesis <br> zma00909: Sesquiterpenoid and triterpenoid |
| zma01200: Carbon metabolism | biosynthesis |
| zma00030: Pentose phosphate pathway | zma00940: Phenylpropanoid biosynthesis |
| zma01100: Metabolic pathways | zma00941: Flavonoid biosynthesis |
| zma00760: Nicotinate and nicotinamide metabolism | zma03040: Spliceosome <br> zma04075: Plant hormone signal |
| zma00500: Starch and sucrose metabolism | transduction |
| zma00051: Fructose and mannose | zma04141: Protein processing in |
| metabolism | endoplasmic reticulum |
| zma01110: Biosynthesis of secondary metabolites | zma04626: Plant-pathogen interaction |
| zma00710: Carbon fixation in photosynthetic organisms | zma00030: Pentose phosphate pathway <br> zma00260: Glycine serine and threonine |
| zma01130: Biosynthesis of antibiotics | metabolism |
| zma01110: Biosynthesis of secondary metabolites | zma01100: Metabolic pathways suberine and wax biosynthesis |

zma01110: Biosynthesis of secondary metabolites
zma00944: Flavone and flavonol biosynthesis
zma00051: Fructose and mannose metabolism
zma01212: Fatty acid metabolism
zma04144: Endocytosis
zma00052: Galactose metabolism
zma04712: Circadian rhythm - plant zma01130: Biosynthesis of antibiotics zma01200: Carbon metabolism zma01230: Biosynthesis of amino acids zma03018: RNA degradation
4.6.3 Gene expression profile at Third time point (Twenty-two days post infestation)

At 22 days post infestation (22DPI) a total of 436 genes were differentially regulated in the susceptible genotype when compared to its uninfested state. The resistant genotype upregulated 384 genes upon infestation. Upon infestation, three hundred and twenty-two and two hundred and ninety-nine genes were upregulated in ZD05 and 5057 respectively, while 136 and 61 genes were downregulated in 5057 and ZD05 respectively. The two genotypes had 42 differentially expressed genes in common, with the susceptible upregulating 40 of these genes and downregulating two. While, the resistant genotype upregulated and down regulated 32 and 10 of these genes respectively (Fig. 4.27).

The resistant genotype also began to show upregulation of more heat shock factors and heatshock proteins than the susceptible genotype. At 22 DPI the transcriptomic profile of the resistant genotype was approximately $50 \%$ similar to the profile of the susceptible genotype at the first time point ( 3 days post infestation) (see bax inhibitor, fig. 4.28). This indicates that the resistant genotype was gradually beginning to show the effects of parasitization. Also, genes involved in hormone and hormonal metabolism were upregulated in the resistant genotype. The susceptible genotype, on the other hand downregulated some of these genes and upregulated others. The resistant genotype also upregulated more genes involved in secondary metabolism, transporters and plant defence and pathogenesis-related protein genes than the susceptible genotype (Table 4.18 and 4.19).

## Pathway Enrichment at 22 DPI

Pathway enrichment analysis of differentially regulated genes at the third time point revealed that the resistant genotype upregulated and downregulated genes in 40 and 31 pathways respectively. The susceptible genotype upregulated and downregulated genes in 22 and 19 pathways respectively. (Table 4.20).


Figure 4.27. The distribution of genes in the third time point (22 days post infection).

Key
$\mathrm{A}=$ number of genes that showed significant differences in expression levels between the infested and uninfested plants.
B) Genes that were differentially regulated in only on genotype (That is, either ZD05 or 5057).
C) Transcripts differentially regulated and present in both genotypes.


Figure 4.28. Expression levels of selected defence and secondary metabolism on both genotypes at the third time point ( 22 days post infestation).

Table 4.18. Upregulated genes at 22 days post infestation time in both genotypes.

| 5057 (Susceptible) | ZD05 (Resistant) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Heat shock proteins and factors | Heat shock proteins and factors |
| 16.9 kDa class I heat shock protein 1 | 17.4 kDa class I heat shock protein |
| 17.4 kDa class I heat shock protein | 17.4 kDa class III heat shock protein |
| 17.5 kDa class II heat shock protein | 23.6 kDa heat shock protein mitochondrial |
| 18 kda heat shock protein 18 a | 65-kDa microtubule-associated protein 6 |
| HSP40/DnaJ peptide-binding protein | Heat shock 70 kDa protein |
|  | Heat shock protein 90-2 |
|  | Heat stress transcription factor A-6b |
|  | HSP40/DnaJ peptide-binding protein |
| Hormone and hormonal metabolism abscisic acid 8'-hydroxylase 4 | Hormone and hormonal metabolism |
|  | S-adenosylmethionine synthase 1 |
| 1- aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate oxidase |  |
| 1 | Salicylate/benzoate carboxyl methyltransferase |
| Ethylene-responsive transcription factor |  |
| RAP2-3 | ABA induced plasma membrane protein PM 19 |
| Auxin response factor 3 WAT1-related protein cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 8 | aasr3; abscisic acid stress ripening3: |
|  | SAUR-like auxin-responsive protein family |
|  | Auxin response factor 16 |
|  | IAA-amino acid hydrolase ILR1-like 4 |
|  | Ethylene-responsive transcription factor 4 |
|  | Ethylene-responsive transcription factor ERF035, ERF118 and RAP2-2 |
|  | Gibberellin-regulated protein 10 |
|  | dwarf plant 8 |
|  | Protein SCARECROW |
|  | Jasmonate-induced protein |
| Secondary metabolism | Secondary metabolism |
| benzoxazinone synthesis 4 | Acidic endochitinase |
| Cellulose synthase 5, 7 and 11 | aos1; allene oxide synthase 1 |

Dihydroflavonol-4-reductase

Endoglucanase 2, 11 and 25

Transporters
Protein DETOXIFICATION 16
Bifunctional inhibitor/lipid-transfer protein/seed storage 2 S albumin superfamily protein

ABC transporter G family member 29
$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ metabolism and oxidative stress
Glutathione S-transferase L2 chloroplastic
L-ascorbate oxidase
bx2; benzoxazinone synthesis 2
Cell envelope integrity inner membrane protein TolA

Chalcone synthase C2
Glucan endo-13-beta-glucosidase 3
Phenylalanine ammonia lyase $3,5,6$ and 8
Delta (12)-fatty-acid desaturase
DIBOA-glucoside dioxygenase BX6
Dihydroflavonol-4-reductase
Lipoxygenase 2 chloroplastic

Transporters
Mechanosensitive ion channel protein 6

Sweet1b; sugars will eventually be exported transporter 1b

Sugar transport protein 5
Sulfate transporter 3.1
Oligopeptide transporter 7
Vacuolar iron transporter 1
Plant Cation-Chloride Cotransporters (CCC)
Protein DETOXIFICATION 21
ABC transporter G family member 25
Amino acid permease 2 and 6
Aluminum-activated malate transporter 10
Amino acid transporter ANTL2
Aquaporin PIP1-2, PIP1-3, PIP1-4, PIP1-5, PIP16 and PIP1-7

Heavy metal transport/detoxification superfamily protein
$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ metabolism and oxidative stress
Peroxidase 2, 12, 24, 52, 54, 70
L-ascorbate oxidase

Peroxidase 1, 11, 42 and 67
Glutathione transferase 38

Plant defence and pathogenesis-related proteins

Barley mlo defence gene homolog 2
Hypoxia-responsive family protein

Antiapoptotic proteins
BAG family molecular chaperone regulator 1 and 6

Glutathione transferase 5

Plant defence and pathogenesis-related proteins Stress responsive protein THAUMATIN-LIKE PROTEIN 1

Wound/stress protein
Xyloglucan endo-transglycosylase/hydrolase1
Protein DOWNY MILDEW RESISTANCE 6

Antiapoptotic proteins

Bax inhibitor 1
Apoptotic proteins
DCD (Development and Cell Death) domain protein

Table 4.20. Downregulated genes at 22 days post infestation in resistant and susceptbile genotypes.

| 5057 (Susceptible) | ZD05 (Resistant) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Transporters | Transporters |
| Amino acid permease 6 <br> S-type anion channel SLAH3 <br> Potassium channel AKT1 and SKOR <br> nrt2; nitrate transport 1 and 2 <br> Symbiotic ammonium transporter <br> Glucose-6-phosphate/phosphate translocator 2 <br> Aluminum-activated malate transporter 10 phosphate transporter protein 9 | ABC transporter B family member 9 and 15 ABC transporter G family member 37 and 40 Potassium transporter 5 multidrug resistance-associated protein 11 Divalent ion symporter |
| Secondary metabolism <br> Flavonoid 3-monooxygenase <br> Chalcone synthase 2 <br> Phenylalanine ammonia-lyase 1 terpene synthase 17: <br> Polyketide cyclase/dehydrase and lipid transport superfamily protein | Secondary metabolism benzoxazinone synthesis 14 trehalose-6-phosphate synthase 5 |
| Plant defence and pathogenesis-related proteins <br> Disease resistance protein RPM1 | Plant defence and pathogenesis-related proteins <br> Pathogenesis-related protein 10 <br> Disease resistance RPP13-like protein 4 <br> Bowman-Birk type wound-induced proteinase inhibitor WIP1 <br> trehalose-6-phosphate phosphatase 11 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ metabolism and oxidative stress Peroxidase 64 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ metabolism and oxidative stress <br> Peroxidase 59 |
| Hormone and hormonal metabolism cytokinin oxidase 4 b : |  |

Auxin responsive protein
Putative auxin efflux carrier
WAT1-related protein
1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate
synthase 1
jasmonate-regulated gene 21
Scarecrow-like protein 26

Table 4.21. Pathways with upregulated genes and downregulated genes at 22 days post infestation in both resistant and susceptible genotypes

| 5057 (Susceptible) | ZD05 (Resistant) |
| :---: | :---: |
| zma00052: Galactose metabolism | zma00010: Glycolysis / Gluconeogenesis |
| zma00053: Ascorbate and aldarate metabolism | zma00052: Galactose metabolism |
| zma00061: Fatty acid biosynthesis | zma00053: Ascorbate and aldarate metabolism |
| zma00073: Cutin suberine and wax biosynthesis | zma00130: Ubiquinone and other terpenoidquinone biosynthesis |
| zma00196: Photosynthesis - antenna proteins | zma00270: Cysteine and methionine metabolism |
| zma00230: Purine metabolism | zma00360: Phenylalanine metabolism |
| zma00270: Cysteine and methionine metabolism | zma00380: Tryptophan metabolism |
| zma00330: Arginine and proline | zma00400: Phenylalaninetyrosine and tryptophan |
| metabolism | biosynthesis |
| zma00402: Benzoxazinoid biosynthesis | zma00402: Benzoxazinoid biosynthesis |
| zma00860: Porphyrin and chlorophyll metabolism | zma00460: Cyanoamino acid metabolism |
| zma00902: Monoterpenoid biosynthesis | zma00480: Glutathione metabolism <br> zma00520: Amino sugar and nucleotide sugar |
| zma00906: Carotenoid biosynthesis | metabolism |
| zma00940: Phenylpropanoid biosynthesis | zma00905: Brassinosteroid biosynthesis |
| zma03010: Ribosome | zma00940: Phenylpropanoid biosynthesis |
| zma04075: Plant hormone signal transduction | zma00941: Flavonoid biosynthesis |
| zma04141: Protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum | zma01040: Biosynthesis of unsaturated fatty acids |
| zma04626: Plant-pathogen interaction | zma03010: Ribosom |
| zma01100: Metabolic pathways | zma03040: Spliceosome |
| zma01040: Biosynthesis of unsaturated fatty acids | zma03060: Protein export |

zma00740: Riboflavin metabolism zma01110: Biosynthesis of secondary metabolites
zma01212: Fatty acid metabolism
zma04070: Phosphatidylinositol signalling system
zma04075: Plant hormone signal transduction zma04141: Protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum
zma04626: Plant-pathogen interaction
zma00071: Fatty acid degradation
zma01220: Degradation of aromatic compounds
zma00500: Starch and sucrose metabolism
zma01100: Metabolic pathways
tyrosine and tryptophan biosynthesis
zma01230: Biosynthesis of amino acids
zma01110: Biosynthesis of secondary metabolites
zma00960: Tropanepiperidine and pyridine alkaloid biosynthesis
zma01130: Biosynthesis of antibiotics
zma01212: Fatty acid metabolism
zma01200: Carbon metabolism
zma00950: Isoquinoline alkaloid biosynthesis
zma04144: Endocytosis
zma04145: Phagosome
zma00400: Phenylalanine tyrosine and tryptophan biosynthesis
zma04712: Circadian rhythm - plant
zma00350: Tyrosine metabolism
zma00945: Stilbenoid diarylheptanoid and gingerol biosynthesis

Downregulated Pathways

| 5057 (Susceptible) | ZD05 (Resistant) |
| :--- | :--- |
| zma00010: Glycolysis / Gluconeogenesis | zma00010: Glycolysis / Gluconeogenesis |
| zma00040: Pentose and glucuronate |  |
| interconversions | zma00061: Fatty acid biosynthesis |
| zma00100: Steroid biosynthesis | zma00073: Cutin |

zma00240: Pyrimidine metabolism zma00460: Cyanoamino acid metabolism zma00906: Carotenoid biosynthesis zma00910: Nitrogen metabolism zma00940: Phenylpropanoid biosynthesis zma00960: Tropane piperidine and pyridine alkaloid biosynthesis
zma00030: Pentose phosphate pathway zma01100: Metabolic pathways zma00760: Nicotinate and nicotinamide metabolism
zma00500: Starch and sucrose metabolism
piperidine and pyridine alkaloid biosynthesis
zma00051: Fructose and mannose metabolism
zma01110: Biosynthesis of secondary metabolites
zma00710: Carbon fixation in photosynthetic organisms
zma01130: Biosynthesis of antibiotics zma01200: Carbon metabolism
zma00430: Taurine and hypotaurine metabolism zma00500: Starch and sucrose metabolism zma00561: Glycerolipid metabolism zma00902: Monoterpenoid biosynthesis zma00905: Brassinosteroid biosynthesis
zma00906: Carotenoid biosynthesis
zma00909: Sesquiterpenoid and triterpenoid biosynthesis
zma00940: Phenylpropanoid biosynthesis
zma00941: Flavonoid biosynthesis
zma03040: Spliceosome
zma04075: Plant hormone signal transduction zma04141: Protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum
zma04626: Plant-pathogen interaction
zma00030: Pentose phosphate pathway zma00260: Glycine serine and threonine metabolism
zma01100: Metabolic pathways suberine and wax biosynthesis zma00564: Glycerophospholipid metabolism zma01110: Biosynthesis of secondary metabolites zma00944: Flavone and flavonol biosynthesis zma00051: Fructose and mannose metabolism zma01212: Fatty acid metabolism zma04144: Endocytosis zma00052: Galactose metabolism
zma04712: Circadian rhythm - plant zma01130: Biosynthesis of antibiotics zma01200: Carbon metabolism zma01230: Biosynthesis of amino acids zma03018: RNA degradation

## CHAPTER 5

## DISCUSSION

Crop varieties that are resistant to Striga hermonthica are central to any effective $S$. hermonthica control strategy for resource-poor small holder farmers (Kim, 1994). This is because all other control methods are not within the reach of these farmers. They also do not achieve complete control of the parasite when used separately and therefore, have to be combined. Striga hermonthica control options are further threatened by its self-incompatible out breeding nature. This is because of the likely high amounts of diversity within its populations (Hearne, 2009). It is therefore imperative to account for the possible geographical variability of $S$. hermonthica when selecting representative testing sites for resistance screening and the evaluation of the viability of other control options against the parasite. It is also important to consider pyramiding several mechanisms of resistance into one host plant to create maize plants with stable resistance to the parasite across geographical locations and over time.

### 5.1 Discussion

### 5.1.1 Genetic diversity of Striga hermonthica populations in Nigeria and Kenya

Outbreeding and weedy annual species are expected to have high amounts of genetic variation (Loveless and Hamrick 1984; Hamrick and Godt 1996). This is because there is a constant mixing of genetic material between the plants. S. hermonthica is an obligate out-breeder that achieves cross pollination through insect vectors (Musselman et al., 1983), the amount of genetic variation in its population in Nigeria and Kenya was the subject of this study.

The $S$. hermonthica populations sampled from both countries exhibited high levels of genetic diversity, with the greater genetic diversity among the plants collected in Kenya. The level of genetic diversity within a population can affect the productivity, growth and stability of that population, as well as interspecific interactions within its
communities. It is directly related to the evolutionary potential of the population (Hughes et al., 2008). Therefore, the high level of genetic diversity observed in Nigerian and Kenyan S. hermonthica population may be among the factors that enable S. hermonthica to overcome host plant resistance and other control methods, leading to seasonal and geographical variability in the effectiveness of S. hermonthica control achieved as observed by Koyama (2000) and Hearne, (2009).

A comparison of the Allele richness and effective number of alleles in both populations show that both populations have a few alleles with low frequencies this is particularly evident in the Nigerian population. Allele richness is a strong indicator of the evolutionary potential of a population (Calbarello and Garcia-Dorado, 2013). It differs from effective number of alleles in that alleles with low frequencies have little contribution to the effective number of alleles. Although not all variation is related to the adaptive potential, the presence of diverse alleles increases the probability of a population to adapt to changing abiotic and biotic conditions (Hughes et al., 2008) thus indicating that the Nigerian population may have higher adaptability than the Kenyan population. This is also seen as the Nigerian population has a higher number of rare alleles. Hamrick et al. (1979) and Loveless and Hamrick (1984) indicated that the heterozygosity for annuals is 0.116 ; for dicot species is 0.113 ; for outcrossed species, 0.185 ; and for weedy species is 0.116 . S. hermonthica is obligate out-crossing weedy species and the results show the presence of a higher amount of heterozygosity thus indicating a high amount of diversity $($ Kenya $=0.282$ and Nigeria $=0.209)$ in both Nigerian and Kenyan S. hermonthica populations and thus genetic diversity.

### 5.1.2. Genetic differentiation and population structure in Kenya and Nigerian Striga hermonthica populations

This study had established the high level of diversity in S. hermonthica populations in both Nigeria and Kenya. It was therefore was pertinent to the presence of partitioning within both populations and, the factors driving this partitioning. The presence or absence of subpopulations within the $S$. hermonthica populations in both countries as well as the factors driving the formation of these subpopulations was also investigated.

Results obtained using various multivariate methods found the presence of two genetically distinct groups Nigeria and Kenya indicating that the two populations had
limited exchange of genes, possibly due to the geographic isolation of the populations originating from the two countries. This was consistent with the results presented by Bozkurt et al (2014) and Koyama et al (2000). A large part of the genetic variance was observed within $S$. hermonthica populations, with smaller fractions occurring between populations and between the origins of the populations. This was consistent with the structure of populations observed in out-crossing species (Hamrick 1982, Hamrick and Godt, 1996, Linhart and Grant, 1996).

The Kenyan Striga hermonthica population showed little or no population structure and a low-level genetic differentiation that correlated with the distance between the sampling sites. This could suggest that the sampled S. hermonthica populations in Kenya are interconnected by stepwise exchange of genetic material among adjacent populations resulting in an isolation-by-distance pattern. When host plants (Sorghum, Rice and Maize) are used as the basis of clustering, there is indication that some amount of differentiation exists between the samples with maize and sorghum as hosts on the one hand and rice on the other. This study suggests the presence of two biotypes in Kenya, one adapted to rice and the other to both maize and sorghum. This is, however, not conclusive as the observed differentiation might be due to isolation by distance.

The results show that Nigerian samples are divided into three subpopulations that correspond largely to the three regions in Northern Nigeria. This latitudinal stratification is also observed in that a plot of coordinates of the sample collection sites shows that the distinct $S$. hermonthica populations are found in three separate areas within Nigeria. This is similar in distribution to the distribution of three Striga gesnerioides biotypes observed in Nigeria by Lane et al. (1996). The sub-populations observed in Nigeria did not consist of purely genetically homogeneous groups of individuals; samples from the one observed population had partial membership in other populations. It is thus possible that these individuals have ancestral relationships with plants from other subpopulations. This may be due to some amount of gene flow arising as a result of movement of genetic material from one farm to another farm in northern Nigeria. Striga plants attached to maize, pearl millet, and sorghum collected in Nigeria did not show clear differentiation. This implies that host plants are not the main factor driving the differentiation of the Nigerian S. hermonthica populations.

In studies on other parasitic plants like Striga generioides (Lane et al. 1996), Viscum album L. (Zuber and Widmer, 2000), and Arceuthobium americanun (Jerome and Ford, 2002), host adaptation was suggested to drive race formation. However, Botanga and Timko (2014) suggested that in addition to host adaptation, geographic isolation is also a critical factor in race formation. Geography appeared to be the major element structuring genetic variation and differentiation in this study. The results suggested that S. hermonthica populations retain a rather broad host range. As stated by Huang et al. (2012), the rotation of crop cultivars and species (through mixed cropping, relay cropping, and crop rotation systems) that is common in Striga infested areas in Nigeria and Kenya (Elemo et al., 1988; Ajeigbe et al., 2010), could provide an explanation for the maintenance of a broad range of hosts by $S$. hermonthica populations. This is because the continuous changing of crop varieties and species planted in a particular location often will prevent tight adaptation of Striga to any one of them (Huang et al. 2012). The different populations and subpopulations observed may therefore have resulted from differential adaptation to environmental conditions prevalent across the locations where they were found not necessarily the host crop species at all instances.

### 5.1.3. Identification of potential loci under selection within the Striga hermonthica populations

A number of ways to identify the genetic signatures of local adaptation within plant populations including outlier tests. Outlier tests indicate whether some loci show genetic differentiation. In the present study, loci undergoing selection were found among the Nigerian and Kenyan samples, between the three subpopulations found in Nigeria. Also, these loci where also found between S. hermonthica samples from Kenya with maize and sorghum as hosts on one hand and those with rice as host. In all these cases it was observed that positive selection is occurring within these populations, indicating that new alleles are being fixed in these populations thus giving rise to new phenotypes. Thus, strongly suggesting that positive selection played a role in the divergence of the Kenyan and Nigerian populations of S. hermonthica and also in the divergence of the Nigerian subpopulations. Information on the Kenyan maize, sorghum, and rice populations is however not conclusive, because while outlier tests indicated diversifying selection (positive selection), Mantel's tests also indicated the presence of isolation by distance.

Positive selection is the primary mechanism of adaptation (that is, the genesis of phenotypes that is apt for a specific environment or niche) (Vitti et al., 2013). This implies that the Striga hermonthica populations are adapting to certain conditions that are prevalent in the local regions where they are found. The environment where parasites are found pose challenges for the parasite. These include strategies used by the host to resist parasitic plants (Yoder and Scholes, 2010). So, parasites will probably have to battle their hosts on multiple fronts and thus many parts of parasite physiology and development, and consequently many different genes, will be under the strong selective pressure to overcome these challenges. (Bromham 2013). The observed new fixation of new alleles in Striga hermonthica populations in these regions can lead to the failure of control efforts. It is therefore essential that they are tested across these regions before deployment. In addition, there is the potential for these ecotypes to invade areas with conditions that are similar to the conditions driving selection in these ecotypes.

### 5.1.4. Effects of $S$. hermonthica infestation on a susceptible and a resistant maize genotype.

Striga hermonthica infestation causes a plethora of deleterious effects on its host. These effects are expected to be reduced in the resistant plant when compared to the susceptible plant. This study investigated three effects of Striga hermonthica infestation on two maize genotypes. The results show that resistant genotype (ZD05) supported growth of fewer S. hermonthica plants than the susceptible genotype (5057) at all the developmental stages evaluated. It also supported the growth of fewer total number of attached $S$. hermonthica. It therefore has the ability to either stimulate the growth and attachment of fewer $S$. hermonthica plants than the susceptible variety (5057) and/or upon attachment, it has the ability to limit their growth. This agrees with the field testing results of Menkir et al., (2006). The large capacity for seed production coupled with its lengthy viability (Parker and Riches, 1993) creates conditions of high numbers of $S$. hermonthica seed in the soil seed bank in areas where the plant is endemic. This can lead to up a hundred Striga plants on a single host plant. Striga hermonthica extracts photosynthates, solutes and water from its host and a single plant of $S$. hermonthica can inflict approximately five per cent loss in yield in a host plant (Parker and Riches, 1993). Therefore, the higher the number of attached plants, the
more the deleterious effects of the parasite. The ability to reduce attachment is therefore an important trait in the fight for survival by the host.

It was also observed that the infested resistant maize plants were significantly taller than the infested susceptible plants. Since both maize lines did not differ significantly in their heights without $S$. hermonthica, it implies that the susceptible plant was significantly affected by infestation. There were also indications that that the deleterious effect of Striga on the host plant height depends on the number of parasitic seeds attached as well as some inherent biochemical and physiological property of the host. This was observed as treatment infestation severely altered the plant height regardless of the Striga hermonthica seeds. This alteration in height leads to increased self-shading because the plants are stunted (Gurney et al., 1995).

There were disturbances in host plant allometry induced by infestation of Striga hermonthica, the resistant plants (ZD05) had a lower root/shoot ratio by weight than the infested 5057. This shows that the physiology and the biochemistry of the susceptible plants could have been significantly disrupted leading to the preferential allocation of biomass to the roots of the host rather than to the shoot. It has been suggested that the elevation of xylem and foliar Absisic acid (ABA) resulted in lowered internode elongation and hence stunting (Taylor et al., 1996). A study of the impact of Absisic acid (ABA) on spring wheat by Quarrie (1982), showed that ABA accumulation is linked to reduced plant height. Absisic acid accumulation has also been linked to perturbations in the root:shoot ratios of plants. Root growth has been shown to continue in droughted maize even when shoot growth is inhibited (Sharp et al.,1988), and this is maintained through the action of ABA (Saab et al.,1990). The role of ABA in stunting of $S$. hermonthica infected plants is still unclear. Evidence from a study of S. hermonthica infected sorghum by Taylor (2001) however suggests that no ABA accumulation occurs in the shoot of infected sorghum.

Plant biomass parameters were used to measure the tolerance of maize inbred lines to Striga asiatica infestation (Nyakurwa et al., 2018, Gasura et al., 2019). According to Gasura et al. (2019) tolerant maize inbred lines can be regarded as maize lines that with root:shoot ratios not affected by Striga and that higher emerged Striga and haustorial root attachment values led to increased root biomass. The parasite may achieve this through stimulation of lignification of host tissues or root growth can be
a result of Striga creating a sink which competes with above ground parts for assimilates (Joel et al. 2013). The above results, when taken together, show that the resistant genotype, ZD05, successfully resists infestation by the parasite and upon infestation is able to limit the negative effects of infestation to levels that are comparable to the uninfested state. The susceptible plants, 5057, on the other hand, shows all the deleterious effects of parasitization.

### 5.1.5. Physiological and biochemical mechanisms of resistance to Striga hermonthica by maize.

Evidence has shown that mechanisms of resistance in maize could be expressed through low stimulation of Striga seed germination, low haustorial induction, avoidance through root architecture (fewer and/or thinner branches), escape by early maturity, resistance to parasite attachment, and failure to support attached parasites (incompatibility) (Rich and Ejeta, 2008). The resistant inbred line (ZD05) tested in this study induced the germination and radicle elongation of fewer number of Striga hermonthica seeds compared to the susceptible inbred (5057). It appears to have a lower ability to stimulate the germination of Striga hermonthica when compared to the susceptible genotype (5057). This can be due to the quantity of germination stimulant and/or haustorial inducing factor or the composition of germination stimulant or haustorial inducing factor. Rich et al., (2004) reported that some wild sorghum varieties show low germination stimulant production. Lower stimulation of germination or lower induction of haustorial formation means fewer Striga hermonthica seeds will germinate, form haustoria and attach to the host plant thus reducing the effect of the parasite on its host.

The lower total major root length observed in the resistant plant when compared to the susceptible plant (5057). Indicate that both genotypes have different root architecture. Amusan et al., (2008) observed differences in root morphology between ZD05 and 5057 but could not determine if this difference was due to $S$. hermonthica infestation. The apparent differences in root architecture between the two maize inbred lines may account for an additional mechanism of avoidance in the resistant inbred, this is because $S$. hermonthica is a root parasite and the fewer the roots a host possesses the less the likelihood of Striga-host root interactions. Cherif-Ari et al. (1990) showed that
low root length density might be one mechanism adopted by certain sorghum varieties to avoid Striga parasitism.

There was significant retardation in the growth of $S$. hermonthica plants attached to the resistant maize genotype when compared to the growth of S. hermonthica attached susceptible genotype. The parasites attached to the susceptible genotype grew and developed faster as indicated by the rate of emergence of leaves. This is similar to what was observed by Amusan et al. (2008). In their study, Amusan et al., (2008) observed that the development of S. hermonthica plants on the resistant ZD05 was retarded and the parasites often died often early in contrast to the rapid shoot development of parasites on the roots of 5057. In our laboratory, coculture method ZD05. In field trials by Menkir (2006) ZD05 was seen to have inbred has fewer emerged Striga per plot than other 5057. This may indicate an induced physiological or biochemical defence response from ZD05, which leads to the observe phenotype. These results show that ZD05 resists infestation by multiple methods that include biochemical and morphological means and exhibits both pre-attachment and postattachment resistant.

### 5.1.6. Molecular responses of a susceptible and a resistant maize genotype to $S$. hermonthica infestation.

A number of genes have been shown to be involved in differential responses to parasite infestation in maize (Kakumanu et al., 2012) and other crops (Hiroka et al., 2008). In the present study, the molecular response of both the resistant and susceptible genotypes to parasitization was investigated at three different time eperiods.

### 5.1.6.1 Molecular responses of both genotypes at the first period (3DPI)

At three days after infestation, when the plant first comes in contact with the parasite, the pattern of gene expression by the resistant genotype appears to show a downregulation of genes involved in and induced by increased auxin concentration in the roots of the plants. These genes include tryptophan aminotransferase related 2 (tar2) which is involved in auxin biosynthesis (Ma et al., 2014), Auxin response factor 5 (ARF5), IAA9-auxin-responsive Aux/IAA family member, auxin import carrier 1, Patatin-like protein 6, auxin-induced beta-glucosidase, glutathione-s-transferase (11,

14 and 16). Auxin transporters, PIN-formed protein4 (PIN4), was downregulated and PIN 9 and 11 were upregulated. This indicates that there may be a reduction in auxin concentration in some regions of the infested ZD05 plant root when compared to the uninfested plant. Auxins have been implicated in plant roots growth response by inducing cell elongation and expansion, and lateral root formation (Davies et al., 1995). Auxin response factor 5 (ARF5), which was downregulated, activates transcription while Auxin response factor 2 (ARF2), which was upregulated, results in the repression of target transcription (Tiwari et al. 2001; Hagen and Guilfoyle 2002). Ma et al., (2014) suggested that the overexpression of tar2 increased total lateral roots in Arabidopsis length by increasing the number of visible lateral roots. In addition to transcriptional regulations of genes, auxin signals are transduced to regulate some ionchannels in the cell membrane. It activates proton pumps resulting in the acidification of apoplast (which is necessary to incorporate IAA and other ions by the proton cotransport system). This acidification of cell walls accelerates cell wall loosening and expansion of root cells (Tanimoto 2005). This acid-induced cell wall loosening is mediated, at least partially, by the apoplastic protein(s) such as expansins (Cosgrove et al., 2002). It was observed that a number of genes involved in cell wall reorganisation were downregulated including pectate lyase 8, xyloglucan-6xylosyltransferase 5 and 6, xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/ hydrolase protein 21, endoglucanase 1 and 6 , $\alpha$-expansin 4, $\beta$-expansin 3 and 8 (Xyloglucan endotransglucosylase activity loosens a plant cell wall. (Cosgrove et al., 2002, MarínRodríguez et al., 2002, Van Sandt et al., 2007). Thus, there appears to be an attempt to reduce the breakdown of the cell wall in the resistant genotype. Some of the detrimental effects of the parasite are attributed to increased levels of abscisic acid (Frost et al., 1997). The susceptible genotype upregulated genes involved in abscisic acid (ABA) signalling and genes induced by ABA, including ABA-responsive protein, Abscisic acid receptor PYL5, MAP kinase 3, Late embryogenesis abundant proteins and dehydrins. These genes are also involved in response to drought; however, the susceptible plant is increasing the amounts of abscisic acid and hence its effects.

Catalase isozyme 3 was upregulated by the resistant genotype, while the susceptible genotype upregulated various peroxidases. The activities of both enzymes maintain very low levels of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ in roots (Salguero et al., 1995). However, while catalase catalyses the disproportionation of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ to give water and gaseous oxygen,
peroxidases catalyse the hydrogen peroxide mediated oxidation of a wide variety of organic and inorganic substrates and this gives water and the oxidized substrates. In plant cells, when cell wall components are oxidized there is a release of 2, 4-dimethoxy-p-benzoquinone (DMBQ), which in turn induces haustorial development in the parasite (Yoder and Scholes, 2010) thus increasing attachment by the parasite. A number of marker genes that are known to be highly responsive to $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ were also upregulated by the susceptible genotype, indicating that this genotype was responding to reactive oxygen species. These include glutathione-S-transferase 5, 6, 34 and 42, plant L-ascorbate oxidase (Kapova et al 2002) and alternative oxidase 2 and 3. Alternative oxidases are often used as a general marker of mitochondrial dysfunction and/or cellular oxidative stress (Vanlerberghe, 2013). Alternative oxidases (AOX) are one of the terminal oxidases of the plant mitochondrial electron transport chain. It acts as a means to relax the highly coupled and tensed electron transport process in mitochondria thus providing and maintaining the much-needed metabolic homeostasis by directly reducing oxygen to water while releasing heat (Miller et al., 2011, Saha et al., 2016).

Genes involved in the synthesis of phytoalexins and benzazinoids including phenylalanine ammonia-lyase 1, Chalcone synthase C2, Dihydroflavonol-4-reductase and benzoxazinone synthesis 2, 3, 4 and 5 (three of the four genes that catalyse four consecutive hydroxylations form the defence compound DIMBOA. Frey et al., (1995), Poloni et al (2014)) were all upregulated in the resistant genotype. So also, was Alphahumulene/ (-) -(E)-beta-caryophyllene synthase which catalyses the synthesis of (E)-bcaryophyllene, which is released in response to attack by root herbivores attracting their natural enemies (Rasman et al., 2005). The above genes are all involved in plant defence against pathogens and hebivory. Stearoyl-acyl-carrier-protein desaturase, hypersensitive induced reaction 3, and hypersensitive-induced response protein 2 were also upregulated even though a hypersensitive reaction has not been intensively observed in Striga infestation in maize. The susceptible genotype downregulated some of these genes (e.g. Alpha-humulene/(-)-(E)-beta-caryophyllene synthase). It also downregulated trehalose-6-phosphate synthase 9 which is involved in the synthesis of trehalose which has been implicated in sugar metabolism and plant defence (Schluepmann et al., 2003, Fernandez et al., 2010), this is important because trehalose-6-phosphate phosphatase the last enzyme in the trehalose pathway was upregulated by

ZD05. 5057 upregulated BCL-2 binding anthanogene-1 and Bax inhibitor-1 (BI-1), both of which are involved in inhibiting programmed cell death. Transcription of plant BI-1 is highly upregulated under stressful conditions and overexpression of plant BI-1 leads to enhanced tolerance to not only Bax, but also $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and other ROS-generating chemicals (Ishikawa et al., 2009), suggesting that plant BI-1 acts as a universal suppressor of ROS mediated cell death under various environmental stresses (Ishikawa et al., 2011). A number of heat shock proteins and heat shock factors, which are induced in response to various environmental stresses, were upregulated by 5057 genotype. This indicates that even at this early time point the 5057 (the susceptible genotype) was already under immense stress while ZD05 (the resistant genotype) was not.

Both the resistant and susceptible genotypes mobilized comprehensive responses to the presence of the parasite at this time point. However, the susceptible genotype, which upregulated a higher number of genes, was already under a lot of stress and appeared to be responding to the presence of reactive oxygen species, heat, drought and pathogens. Its responses in some cases would have increased the production of reactive oxygen species and heat. Heat would increase stress on the plant and ROS will increase the attachment of the parasite leading to a vicious cycle. The resistant genotype, on the other hand, responded to reactive oxygen species and the presence of pathogen/root herbivores. It can be inferred from the results that the attack by the parasite is more effective against the susceptible genotype as the upregulated genes show it was undergoing stress (e. g. heat stress and oxidative stress) while the resistant genotype was differentially regulating genes that will mitigate the parasite's attack.

### 5.1.6.2. Molecular responses of both genotypes at the second period (9DPI).

At nine days post infestation, the susceptible maize genotype (5057) showed a wholesale downregulation of genes including genes involved in hormone and hormonal metabolism. Indicating a reduction in jasmonate, salicylic acid and auxin activity. While ZD05 upregulated abscisic acid 8'-hydroxylase 1 that catalyses the catabolism of Abscisic acid, indicating decreased abscisic acid activity. The resistant genotype upregulated S-adenosylmethionine synthase 1 and 1-aminocyclopropane-1carboxylate (ACC) oxidases, enzymes in the ethene biosynthesis pathway and etheneresponsive transcription factors (ERF014, ERF053 and RAP2-11). This indicates that
there was an increase in ethene synthesis and concentration at this time point. Ethene (ET), alone and in combination with other hormones like jasmonates, has been implicated as one of the key players in the determination of the most suitable genetic defence response (Adie et al., 2007). It has also been implicated in the transcriptional induction of the phytoalexin elicitor-releasing factor, b-1, 3-endoglucanase, in soybean. An upregulation of Endoglucanase 11 and 25 were also observed in the resistant genotype.

A host of genes involved in plant defence and secondary metabolism including Chalcone synthase 2, benzoxazinone synthesis 11 and 14 , and terpene synthase 2,8 and 23 were downregulated in the susceptible genotype but were upregulated in the resistant genotype. These genes control cell wall modification and defence response synthesis of phytoalexins, both of which are means through which the plant protects itself from invading pathogens.

A number of heat shock proteins and heat shock factors, as well as anti-apoptotic proteins (Bax inhibitor-1 family protein and BCL-2 binding anthanogene-1) were again upregulated by the susceptible genotype.

From the above, the progression of parasitization is evident in both genotypes. The resistant variety is responding by up-regulating genes involved in plant defence and secondary metabolite production. While the susceptible genoptype appears to be responding to damage by the parasite. At this time point the resistant genotype is upregulating genes that will effectively combat and/or mitigate the effects of infestation by the parasite, while the susceptible genotype is downregulating these genes and thus appears to be succumbing to infestation.

### 5.1.6.3. Molecular responses of both genotypes at the third time point (22DPI)

At 22 days after infestation, about 50 per cent of the genes upregulated by the resistant genotype were identical to about 50 per cent of the genes upregulated by the susceptible genotype at the first time point. This indicates that the resistant genotype reached the same level of damage after 22 days that the susceptible genotype reached after three days. Genes upregulated by ZD05 at this time point include heat shock proteins and genes involved in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ metabolism, this indicates that the plant is now undergoing heat stress and oxidative stress. Similar suits of genes were also
upregulated by the susceptible genotype; these genes have been upregulated in this genotype throughout the period of this experiment. Genes involved in secondary metabolism and plant defence were upregulated by both genotypes, however, ZD05 upregulated more of these genes. Genes involved in the metabolism of hormones were also upregulated in ZD05. These include IAA-amino acid hydrolase ILR1-like 4, SAUR-like auxin-responsive protein family and the Auxin response factor 16. IAAamino acid hydrolase ILR1-like 4 regulates the levels of the auxin indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) by hydrolyzing of amide-linked conjugates that act as storage or inactivated forms of the hormone conjugates to free IAA in vitro (Rampey et al., 2005). SAURlike auxin-responsive protein family is an early auxin responsive gene and the Auxin response factor 16 is positively regulated by auxins (Wang et al., 2005). The presence of increased amounts of these three genes in the resistant genotype at this time point indicates an increase in the action of Auxins. Jasmonate-induced protein, allene oxide synthase1, and Salicylate/benzoate carboxyl methyltransferase were upregulated; these genes are induced by methyl jasmonate and wounding indicating an increase in jasmonic acid (Koo et al., 2007). Salicylate/benzoate carboxyl methyltransferase converts salicylic acid to methyl salicylate, which is volatile and can evaporate. It serves the purpose of attracting insect pollinators and predators that capture herbivorous insects that may have inflicted wounds on the plant (Knudsen et al., 1993; Van Poecke et al., 2001) but it also depletes the salicylic acid pool within the organism. This indicates that there is an increase in jasmonate activity and a reduction in the activity of salicylate. Abscisic acid 8'-hydroxylase 4, an enzyme that catalyses the irreversible degradation of Abscisic acid was upregulated by the susceptible genotype. This indicates a reduction in abscisic acid activity while the resistant genotype upregulated abscisic acid stress ripening 3 and ABA induced plasma membrane protein PM 19. This pattern was observed at 3 days post infestation in the susceptible genotype. This again indicates that ZD05 was in the state the 5057 was at three days post infestation. These results show that although the resistant genotype is beginning to show the deleterious effects of Striga hermonthica infestation, it is however responding more robustly and vigorously to the parasite than the susceptible genotype.

Across the three time periods root tissue was harvested from the plants, genes including those involved in secondary metabolism, plant defence, and cellular
transport were upregulated in the resistant genotype ZD05. Secondary metabolites are compounds present in specialized cells. These compounds are not necessary for the cells' survival but are thought to be required for the plant's survival in the environment (Kliebenstein, 2004). Secondary metabolites mostly act as analogues of cellular signal compounds or substrates thus; they can affect and derail various physiological processes and constituent parts of pathogens like their biomembranes, enzymes, estrogenic properties and DNA alkylation (Morrissey, 2009). Most secondary metabolites can interact with proteins in one or another way by binding, complexing, denaturing, thereby leading to conformational changes in the protein and loss of activity or altered protein turnover (Wink, 2008; Goyal et al., 2012).

Plant defence and defence related proteins include Resistance proteins and Pathogenesis-related proteins (PR). These proteins can be produced and accumulate both locally in the infected and surrounding tissues and in remote uninfected tissues. This production and accumulation in uninfected parts of plants can prevent the affected plants from further infection (Bowles 1990, Ryals et al., 1996). Thus, making pathogenesis-related proteins very important in plants' response to invading pathogen and/or stress situation.

Cellular transporters like the ABC binding cassette family of transporters actively transport a diverse array of compounds across biological membranes, including toxins and secondary metabolites (Swarbrick et al., 2008). Some of the detrimental effects of Striga hermonthica on the growth of its host may result from the production of a toxic metabolite by the parasite which has not yet been identified. It is therefore possible that one or more proteins will be involved in the transport or detoxification of such a Striga-derived metabolite. There is also increasing evidence of the involvement of ABC transporters in plant defence (Swarbrick et al., 2008). Also, besides the synthesis of secondary metabolic defence compounds, their storage and transport may require cellular transporters like the ATP-binding cassette transporters (ABC-transporter) (Swarbrick et al., 2008, Wink et al., 2010). From the foregoing, it is evident that a combination of these genes will no doubt improve the survival of the resistant genotype in the event of an attack by the parasite.

## CHAPTER 6

## Conclusions and Recommendations

### 6.1. Conclusions

This study was carried out to quantify amount of diversity and population structure in Striga hermonthica weed populations in Nigeria and Kenya. The molecular responses of maize lines to infestation by the parasite was also investigated in a bid to elucidate the physiological, biochemical and molecular interactions between Striga hermonthica and infested maize plants. This study showed that;

Striga hermonthica populations had high amounts of genetic diversity. The Kenyan and Nigerian populations of Striga hermonthica represent distinct Striga hermonthica ecotypes. The Nigerian population is divided into three genetic groups; these three groups exist in different sub-regions within Nigeria.

The divergence of the Kenyan and Nigerian S. hermonthica populations as well as the divergence of the subpopulations within the Nigerian population was due to positive selection. This selection is mostly due to geographical location and not the host plants of the parasite.

ZD05 (the resistant genotype) showed little or no distortion in allometry due to parasitization by Striga hermonthica, while the susceptible genotype shows significant distortions in its allomertry.

ZD05 (the resistant genotype) resists/tolerates infestation by producing root exudates that induce the germination of fewer seeds of the parasite. It also had smaller total major roots length thus limiting the opportunity for attachment by the parasite. In addition, it retards and restricts the growth and development of attached parasites.

On a molecular level, the resistant (ZD05) genotype mobilizes a more comprehensive response to infestation by the parasite by up-regulating more genes involved in plant secondary metabolism, defence and cellular transport while the susceptible genotype (5057) responds to infestation by down-regulating some of these genes and upregulating some abiotic stress genes..

### 6.2. Contributions to knowledge

The findings of this present study show that;

1) The parasitic plant Striga hermonthica exhibits high amounts of diversity and regionally adapted populations (ecotypes) of the parasite exist.
2) The parasitic plant is evolving and certain alleles in the genome of the plant are being selected for based on the location of the parasite within Africa.
3) The maize line ZD05 is resistant to Striga hermonthica infestation. The line has the capability to resist infestation by the parasite both before and after attachment.
4) The resistant plants resist infestation through multiple biochemical and physiological means. These include the production of root exudates with a lower ability to stimulate the germination of the parasite, a root architecture that reduces the probability of attachment and ability support the attachment of fewer parasites.
5) Multiple genes including defence genes, genes involved in secondary metabolism, and pathogenesis related genes control the resistance of maize to Striga hermonthica (That is, multigenic resistance).
6) Maize plants mobilize genes involved in plant secondary metabolism, defence and cellular transport in response to Striga hermonthica infestation including phenylalanine ammonia lyase, Chalcone Synthase, Alpha-humulene/(-) -(E)-beta-caryophyllene synthase, Catalase 3, Chitinase, Cellulose synthase and genes involved in the benzoxazinoid synthesis pathway.

### 6.2. Recommendations

This study strongly suggests that the testing of S. hermonthica control technologies in Nigeria should be done at sites representing the areas of collections of the three subpopulations.

As ZD05 shows resistance to the parasite, it can be used as germplasm and stock material upon which other resistance genes can be pyramided to develop maize varieties that will resistant Striga hermonthica from every region and host crop.

Further studies are recommended to characterize the Striga hermonthica subpopulations in Nigeria phenotypically to determine if they exhibit variations in their virulence characteristics.

In addition, gene products and metabolites from the genes observed to be involved in the resistance response of maize should be identified and characterized.
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## APPENDICES

## Appendix 1. Preparation of reagents

1. Reagents for DNA extraction
a) CTAB extraction buffer

The CTAB extraction buffer was prepared for 110 samples by mixing 1.5 g of CTAB (Cetyl triammonium bromide), 15.5 mL of 1.0 M Tris $-\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 7.5), 7.7 \mathrm{~mL}$ of 0.5 M EDTA ( pH 8.0 ) and 30.8 mL of 5.0 M NaCl were mixed in a beaker and dissolved in the solution. 22.3 mL of double distilled water was added to the solution and then the beaker heated in a water bath to completely dissolve the CTAB. 800 uL of Mercaptoethanol was added immediately prior to use.

TRIS-HCl (1 M), 0.5 M Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), 5 M Sodium Chloride ( NaCl ) were prepared as described below

- 1.0M TRIS-HCl
121.1 g of TRIZMA base was dissolved in 500 ml of water and then 40 ml of concentrated HCl was added. The pH of the resulting solution was adjusted to 8.0 and the solution made up to 1000 ml and autoclaved.
- 0.5 M EDTA
146.1 g of Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid was added to 500 ml of water, the pH of the resulting solution adjusted to 7.5 , the solution made up to 1000 ml and autoclaved.
- $\quad 5.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}$

292 g of NaCl was added to 700 ml of water and the solution made up to 1000 ml and autoclaved
b) Chloroform Isoamyl alcohol (24:1).

94 ml of chloroform was mixed with 4 ml of iso-amyl alcohol.
c) $70 \%$ Ethanol

30 ml of double-distilled water was added to 70 ml of Ethanol
d) RNASE

RNase was obtained in its lyophilized form and was then reconstituted by adding to the entire contents of the bottle, 250 ul of TRIS buffer, 275 ul of 5 M NaCl and making up to 25 ml , then heating the solution at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes.
2. Reagents for gel electrophoresis
$10 \times$ Tris-Boric acid-EDTA (TBE) Buffer for running gel

216 g of trizma base, 110 g of boric acid and 16.6 g of EDTA were weighed into a beaker and distilled water added to make the solution up to 2 litres, after which it was autoclaved. The buffer was diluted to 1 x before use.
3. Reagents for Genotyping by sequencing
a) PicoGreen

Working PicoGreen solution was prepared by adding 200 ml of low salt Tris-EDTA buffer to 1 ml of DMSO-PicoGreen stock solution as indicated by the manufacturer.
b) Lambda DNA solution.

500 m g/ul lambda DNA solution was diluted serially from 0 to $200 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ul}$ with low-salt Tris-EDTA buffer.
c) Low-salt Tris-EDTA buffer ( 10 mM Tris, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0 ).

The buffer was prepared by adding 1.21 g of trizma base and 0.29 g of EDTA in 500 ml of water. The PH was adjusted to 8 and the solution was made up to 1000 ml .
4. Reagents for RNA extraction
a) CTAB (Cetyl trimethyl ammonium bromide, hexadecyl trimethyl ammonium bromide) buffer ( 0.1 M Tris- $\mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{PH} 8,20 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, $1.4 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}, 2$ \% polyvinyl pyrrolidone)

The CTAB extraction buffer was prepared for 110 samples by mixing 1.5 g of CTAB (Cetyl triammonium bromide), 15.5 mL of 1.0 M Tris $-\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 7.5), 7.7 \mathrm{~mL}$ of 0.5 M EDTA ( pH 8.0 ), 30.8 mL of 5.0 M NaCl and 2 g polyvinyl pyrrolidone with 22.3 mL of double distilled water.
b) DNASE

DNASE enzyme reconstituted by adding to the entire content of the bottle ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of lyophilised DNase powder), 250 ul of low salt TE buffer, 5 ul of 5 M NaCl and making up to 25 ml with double distilled water, then heating the solution at $65{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5minutes.


Appendix 2. Standard curve for Determining DNA concentration

Appendix 3. List of differentially expressed genes at the first time period (3 days post infestation)

Genes Present in both genotypes

| Gene Id | SAI | SAU | $\log 2$ fold change | P value | Gene Id. 1 | RAI | RAU | $\log 2$ <br> fold <br> change | P value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zm00001d047441 | 12.15 | 3.21 | -1.9202 | 0.0004 | Zm00001d047441 | 2.268 | 51.3 | 4.4978 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d018064 | 4.881 | 0.98 | -2.31171 | 0.0002 | Zm00001d018064 | 0.845 | 3.46 | 2.0355 | 0.0006 |
| Zm00001d004243 | 40.68 | 9.17 | -2.14895 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d004243 | 3.281 | 11.3 | 1.7784 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d028887 | 72.56 | 18.3 | -1.9888 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d028887 | 11.09 | 30.8 | 1.4739 | 0.0001 |
| Zm00001d033478 | 7.645 | 1.96 | -1.96292 | 0.00805 | Zm00001d033478 | 12.14 | 33.8 | 1.4777 | 0.0008 |
| Zm00001d028888 | 69.4 | 18 | -1.94669 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d028888 | 9.789 | 27.4 | 1.4826 | 0.0002 |
| Zm00001d045470 | 22.06 | 7.88 | -1.48525 | 0.00065 | Zm00001d045470 | 5.893 | 21 | 1.8361 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d031168 | 415.8 | 129 | -1.69008 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d031168 | 445.3 | 1243 | 1.4808 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d018335 | 5.592 | 1.92 | -1.54505 | 0.00435 | Zm00001d018335 | 6.413 | 18.7 | 1.5431 | 0.0001 |
| Zm00001d033794 | 6.968 | 2.44 | -1.51347 | 0.002 | Zm00001d033794 | 2.657 | 7.39 | 1.4761 | 0.003 |
| Zm00001d031971 | 31.65 | 1.87 | -4.0823 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d031971 | 16 | 4.28 | -1.903 | 0.00105 |
| Zm00001d024522 | 43.71 | 4.23 | -3.36997 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d024522 | 51.15 | 15.4 | -1.728 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d030028 | 10.41 | 1.01 | -3.36035 | 0.0008 | Zm00001d030028 | 10.91 | 3.23 | -1.756 | 0.0021 |
| Zm00001d043929 | 23.69 | 1.62 | -3.86684 | 0.0011 | Zm00001d043929 | 18.29 | 3.75 | -2.287 | 0.001 |
| Zm00001d053220 | 11.24 | 1.14 | -3.29889 | 0.0021 | Zm00001d053220 | 18.97 | 5.56 | -1.771 | 0.00095 |
| Zm00001d019605 | 8.701 | 0.94 | -3.21324 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d019605 | 8.546 | 2.45 | -1.801 | 0.0004 |
| Zm00001d048819 | 10.37 | 1.05 | -3.30533 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d048819 | 9.442 | 1.89 | -2.319 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d045101 | 9.1 | 1.39 | -2.71237 | 0.00315 | Zm00001d045101 | 48.35 | 12.3 | -1.979 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d053746 | 30.95 | 3.81 | -3.02181 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d053746 | 38.66 | 7.79 | -2.311 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d006211 | 4.681 | 0.75 | -2.64783 | 0.00435 | Zm00001d006211 | 4.926 | 1.27 | -1.961 | 0.00445 |
| Zm00001d029906 | 18.05 | 3.02 | -2.58191 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d029906 | 8.256 | 2.2 | -1.905 | 0.00155 |
| Zm00001d032869 | 3.619 | 0.78 | -2.21235 | 0.0066 | Zm00001d032869 | 3.967 | 1.31 | -1.602 | 0.0065 |
| Zm00001d034217 | 15 | 3.78 | -1.98927 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d034217 | 12.95 | 4.34 | -1.576 | 0.00095 |
| Zm00001d025059 | 8.361 | 1.47 | -2.5114 | 0.0006 | Zm00001d025059 | 24.16 | 5.52 | -2.13 | 0.00015 |
| Zm00001d039010 | 22.28 | 4.47 | -2.3181 | 0.0006 | Zm00001d039010 | 14.21 | 2.99 | -2.247 | 0.0026 |
| Zm00001d008251 | 1.589 | 5.15 | 1.69702 | 0.00365 | Zm00001d008251 | 2.603 | 8.43 | 1.6955 | 0.00115 |
| Zm00001d013635 | 6.702 | 2.08 | -1.68843 | 0.00555 | Zm00001d013635 | 9.136 | 2.72 | -1.747 | 0.00115 |
| Zm00001d000556 | 1.93 | 0.58 | -1.72805 | 0.0039 | Zm00001d000556 | 4.689 | 1.32 | -1.832 | 0.00345 |
| Zm00001d037384 | 24.92 | 6.8 | -1.87299 | 0.00025 | Zm00001d037384 | 19.53 | 4.94 | -1.983 | 0.0001 |
| Zm00001d006596 | 13.44 | 3.86 | -1.8004 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d006596 | 17.2 | 4.44 | -1.953 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d008604 | 10.96 | 3.96 | -1.46883 | 0.0003 | Zm00001d008604 | 15.74 | 4.41 | -1.835 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d008837 | 9.797 | 2.47 | -1.98729 | 0.00235 | Zm00001d008837 | 15.26 | 2.63 | -2.539 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d022524 | 8.626 | 40.8 | 2.24018 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d022524 | 6.446 | 20.6 | 1.6737 | 0.0001 |


| Zm00001d031769 | 8.419 | 1.59 | -2.40694 | 0.00065 | Zm00001d031769 | 10.47 | 1.22 | -3.101 | 0.00005 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Zm00001d003866 | 4.231 | 1.41 | -1.58605 | 0.00565 | Zm00001d003866 | 4.453 | 0.72 | -2.626 | 0.00285 |
| Zm00001d018744 | 56.98 | 15.3 | -1.89219 | 0.0022 | Zm00001d018744 | 507.8 | 44.5 | -3.512 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d048710 | 1.675 | 6.36 | 1.92452 | 0.0029 | Zm00001d048710 | 42.88 | 6.2 | -2.789 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d048709 | 6.179 | 30.8 | 2.31864 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d048709 | 106.1 | 18.3 | -2.533 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d014032 | 1.788 | 0 | - | 0.00725 | Zm00001d014032 | 3.624 | 0 | - | 0.0024 |
| Zm00001d020332 | 2.422 | 0 | - | 0.00005 | Zm00001d020332 | 4.269 | 0.67 | -2.681 | 0.00405 |
| Zm00001d022390 | 1.769 | 0 | - | 0.00005 | Zm00001d022390 | 1.866 | 0 | - | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d053965 | 1.919 | 0 | - | 0.00475 | Zm00001d053965 | 3.038 | 0 | - | 0.0005 |

Genes upregulated in the susceptible genotype
Genes upregulated in the resistant genotype
$\log 2$

| Gene Id | SAI | SAU | $\log 2$ fold change | $P$ value | Gene Id | RAI | RAU | $\log 2$ <br> fold <br> change | $P$ value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zm00001d001766 | 3.448 | 0 | - | 0.00005 | Zm00001d000659 | 1.977 | 0 | - | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d001774 | 4.03 | 0 | - | 0.00005 | Zm00001d001650 | 4.687 | 0 | - | 0.00015 |
| Zm00001d001960 | 2.664 | 0 | - | 0.00005 | Zm00001d003020 | 4.017 | 0 | - | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d003709 | 1.671 | 0 | - | 0.00725 | Zm00001d005790 | 3.096 | 0 | - | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d005146 | 3.392 | 0 | - | 0.0008 | Zm00001d005841 | 3.766 | 0 | - | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d005860 | 1.857 | 0 | - | 0.00005 | Zm00001d006196 | 6.083 | 0 | - | 0.00205 |
| Zm00001d006256 | 2.468 | 0 | - | 0.0001 | Zm00001d006821 | 5.743 | 0 | - | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d006815 | 3.704 | 0 | - | 0.00005 | Zm00001d007957 | 3.01 | 0 | - | 0.0064 |
| Zm00001d007076 | 3.378 | 0 | - | 0.00005 | Zm00001d008793 | 1.884 | 0 | - | 0.0002 |
| Zm00001d007411 | 3.908 | 0 | - | 0.00005 | Zm00001d010588 | 2.477 | 0 | - | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d007898 | 2.825 | 0 | - | 0.00005 | Zm00001d016342 | 4.146 | 0 | - | 0.00025 |
| Zm00001d009943 | 3.235 | 0 | - | 0.00045 | Zm00001d017412 | 1.715 | 0 | - | 0.00035 |
| Zm00001d010814 | 4.175 | 0 | - | 0.00015 | Zm00001d018629 | 1.945 | 0 | - | 0.00245 |
| Zm00001d011133 | 2.574 | 0 | - | 0.00025 | Zm00001d018917 | 3.602 | 0 | - | 0.00045 |
| Zm00001d011919 | 2.389 | 0 | - | 0.00025 | Zm00001d020028 | 162.5 | 0 | - | 0.00195 |
| Zm00001d012304 | 3.124 | 0 | - | 0.00005 | Zm00001d020658 | 1.729 | 0 | - | 0.00015 |
| Zm00001d014733 | 7.194 | 0 | - | 0.00005 | Zm00001d020773 | 2.308 | 0 | - | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d014774 | 1.767 | 0 | - | 0.00815 | Zm00001d021439 | 2.625 | 0 | - | 0.00625 |
| Zm00001d017002 | 5.697 | 0 | - | 0.00005 | Zm00001d022197 | 3.416 | 0 | - | 0.0002 |
| Zm00001d017462 | 2.694 | 0 | - | 0.00045 | Zm00001d022593 | 4.103 | 0 | - | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d017477 | 1.69 | 0 | - | 0.00075 | Zm00001d025957 | 2.645 | 0 | - | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d017645 | 2.986 | 0 | - | 0.0004 | Zm00001d027290 | 3.005 | 0 | - | 0.0001 |
| Zm00001d017991 | 4.973 | 0 | - | 0.00465 | Zm00001d027313 | 2.051 | 0 | - | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d019463 | 1.923 | 0 | - | 0.00005 | Zm00001d027456 | 5.139 | 0 | - | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d019750 | 2.767 | 0 | - | 0.00005 | Zm00001d028839 | 3.716 | 0 | - | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d020736 | 2.73 | 0 | - | 0.00005 | Zm00001d029028 | 4.027 | 0 | - | 0.0002 |


| Zm00001d020797 | 3.328 | 0 | - | 0.00005 | Zm00001d030698 | 2.757 | 0 | - | 0.00205 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zm00001d021315 | 2.872 | 0 | - | 0.00005 | Zm00001d033595 | 1.718 | 0 | - | 0.00035 |
| Zm00001d021329 | 1.841 | 0 | - | 0.00015 | Zm00001d035759 | 8.266 | 0 | - | 0.00195 |
| Zm00001d021591 | 3.543 | 0 | - | 0.00005 | Zm00001d037435 | 5.658 | 0 | - | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d021666 | 1.702 | 0 | - | 0.00005 | Zm00001d040210 | 13.7 | 0 | - | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d022416 | 9.183 | 0 | - | 0.00005 | Zm00001d040674 | 3.379 | 0 | - | 0.00015 |
| Zm00001d023040 | 42.57 | 0 | - | 0.00005 | Zm00001d047115 | 1.803 | 0 | - | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d023419 | 3.309 | 0 | - | 0.00135 | Zm00001d047139 | 1.774 | 0 | - | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d023856 | 5.873 | 0 | - | 0.00005 | Zm00001d048161 | 2.613 | 0 | - | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d023888 | 2.303 | 0 | - | 0.0002 | Zm00001d049016 | 2.509 | 0 | - | 0.00085 |
| Zm00001d025333 | 4.31 | 0 | - | 0.00005 | Zm00001d051519 | 2.374 | 0 | - | 0.00595 |
| Zm00001d026447 | 2.523 | 0 | - | 0.0008 | Zm00001d051887 | 2.395 | 0 | - | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d026662 | 8.084 | 0 | - | 0.00005 | Zm00001d052122 | 5.861 | 0 | - | 0.0017 |
| Zm00001d027901 | 2.966 | 0 | - | 0.0008 | Zm00001d052662 | 1.641 | 0 | - | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d027929 | 4.397 | 0 | - | 0.00005 | Zm00001d052743 | 5.744 | 0 | - | 0.00145 |
| Zm00001d027944 | 3.669 | 0 | - | 0.00045 | Zm00001d052872 | 3.05 | 0 | - | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d028389 | 3.494 | 0 | - | 0.00005 | Zm00001d053988 | 7.128 | 0 | - | 0.00015 |
| Zm00001d028793 | 2.251 | 0 | - | 0.0054 | Zm00001d054067 | 1.93 | 0 | - | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d028941 | 2.487 | 0 | - | 0.00185 | zma-MIR164d | 7.828 | 0 | - | 0.00145 |
| Zm00001d031184 | 1.994 | 0 | - | 0.0002 | Zm00001d028952 | 12.08 | 4.42 | -1.449 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d031278 | 3.183 | 0 | - | 0.00005 | Zm00001d038447 | 16.1 | 5.88 | -1.453 | 0.00025 |
| Zm00001d031423 | 6.102 | 0 | - | 0.00005 | Zm00001d009506 | 15.83 | 5.77 | -1.456 | 0.00045 |
| Zm00001d031805 | 1.879 | 0 | - | 0.00045 | Zm00001d016933 | 14.64 | 5.33 | -1.457 | 0.0011 |
| Zm00001d032036 | 1.904 | 0 | - | 0.0002 | Zm00001d041472 | 7.962 | 2.9 | -1.457 | 0.00845 |
| Zm00001d032087 | 2.255 | 0 | - | 0.00475 | Zm00001d048050 | 100.9 | 36.6 | -1.461 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d033531 | 19.73 | 0 | - | 0.00005 | Zm00001d038003 | 59.8 | 21.7 | -1.463 | 0.0002 |
| Zm00001d033793 | 1.964 | 0 | - | 0.0035 | Zm00001d033286 | 124.3 | 45 | -1.464 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d034145 | 3.286 | 0 | - | 0.00005 | Zm00001d007187 | 30.7 | 11.1 | -1.464 | 0.00015 |
| Zm00001d035095 | 4.573 | 0 | - | 0.00005 | Zm00001d044533 | 86.86 | 31.4 | -1.467 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d035963 | 1.813 | 0 | - | 0.0005 | Zm00001d016878 | 3.636 | 1.31 | -1.47 | 0.0071 |
| Zm00001d036973 | 1.839 | 0 | - | 0.00005 | Zm00001d044008 | 3.792 | 1.36 | -1.479 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d038117 | 1.462 | 0 | - | 0.0035 | Zm00001d017613 | 11.55 | 4.14 | -1.482 | 0.002 |
| Zm00001d040324 | 2.063 | 0 | - | 0.00065 | Zm00001d007783 | 3.338 | 1.19 | -1.485 | 0.00195 |
| Zm00001d042035 | 2.214 | 0 | - | 0.00005 | Zm00001d043766 | 20.3 | 7.22 | -1.492 | 0.0008 |
| Zm00001d042765 | 2.758 | 0 | - | 0.00475 | Zm00001d002174 | 3.987 | 1.41 | -1.5 | 0.00255 |
| Zm00001d043610 | 3.785 | 0 | - | 0.00285 | Zm00001d042731 | 18.07 | 6.39 | -1.5 | 0.0001 |
| Zm00001d043974 | 2.641 | 0 | - | 0.00045 | Zm00001d028599 | 12.8 | 4.47 | -1.518 | 0.0003 |
| Zm00001d044227 | 2.208 | 0 | - | 0.00725 | Zm00001d038578 | 15.13 | 5.24 | -1.53 | 0.0012 |
| Zm00001d044700 | 2.176 | 0 | - | 0.0009 | Zm00001d021935 | 17.96 | 6.22 | -1.531 | 0.00175 |
| Zm00001d044861 | 4.41 | 0 | - | 0.0009 | Zm00001d022547 | 2.986 | 1.03 | -1.532 | 0.00715 |


| Zm00001d045535 | 2.015 | 0 | - | 0.00125 | Zm00001d020971 | 3.56 | 1.23 | -1.533 | 0.0077 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zm00001d046253 | 3.558 | 0 | - | 0.00005 | Zm00001d018742 | 8.739 | 3.01 | -1.536 | 0.0026 |
| Zm00001d046471 | 8.267 | 0 | - | 0.00005 | Zm00001d028214 | 20.45 | 7.02 | -1.542 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d047420 | 2.109 | 0 | - | 0.007 | Zm00001d036833 | 4.401 | 1.51 | -1.544 | 0.00075 |
| Zm00001d047535 | 1.705 | 0 | - | 0.00725 | Zm00001d009010 | 18.36 | 6.28 | -1.547 | 0.00055 |
| Zm00001d047913 | 3.919 | 0 | - | 0.00005 | Zm00001d052726 | 72.58 | 24.8 | -1.547 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d047932 | 2.056 | 0 | - | 0.00005 | Zm00001d021006 | 16.32 | 5.58 | -1.548 | 0.00125 |
| Zm00001d048208 | 3.561 | 0 | - | 0.00005 | Zm00001d006199 | 142.9 | 48.7 | -1.554 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d048533 | 4.342 | 0 | - | 0.00005 | Zm00001d013055 | 8.732 | 2.96 | -1.559 | 0.00025 |
| Zm00001d049103 | 2.632 | 0 | - | 0.0001 | Zm00001d003048 | 2.62 | 0.89 | -1.56 | 0.0008 |
| Zm00001d049189 | 2.099 | 0 | - | 0.00075 | Zm00001d009586 | 6.765 | 2.29 | -1.565 | 0.0067 |
| Zm00001d049768 | 2.101 | 0 | - | 0.00005 | Zm00001d053593 | 271.6 | 91.5 | -1.569 | 0.00475 |
| Zm00001d050864 | 5.578 | 0 | - | 0.0009 | Zm00001d007072 | 10.55 | 3.56 | -1.569 | 0.00085 |
| Zm00001d051939 | 2.46 | 0 | - | 0.00005 | Zm00001d028472 | 6.809 | 2.29 | -1.57 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d052242 | 3.257 | 0 | - | 0.00005 | Zm00001d028219 | 14.71 | 4.92 | -1.579 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d052530 | 2.967 | 0 | - | 0.00005 | Zm00001d026156 | 4.846 | 1.62 | -1.58 | 0.00405 |
| Zm00001d053736 | 3.263 | 0 | - | 0.00005 | Zm00001d026628 | 10.86 | 3.63 | -1.581 | 0.0056 |
| Zm00001d048021 | 40.84 | 15 | -1.44504 | 0.0001 | Zm00001d016995 | 2.904 | 0.97 | -1.581 | 0.00095 |
| Zm00001d022458 | 12.31 | 4.5 | -1.45075 | 0.00015 | Zm00001d047853 | 2.287 | 0.76 | -1.582 | 0.0027 |
| Zm00001d005190 | 7.014 | 2.56 | -1.4528 | 0.0008 | Zm00001d008925 | 43.79 | 14.5 | -1.59 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d042276 | 43.84 | 16 | -1.45396 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d020915 | 12.19 | 4.03 | -1.597 | 0.00785 |
| Zm00001d004472 | 13.93 | 5.08 | -1.4543 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d030222 | 18.2 | 6.02 | -1.597 | 0.0006 |
| Zm00001d007604 | 24.16 | 8.82 | -1.45435 | 0.0003 | Zm00001d043179 | 6.647 | 2.2 | -1.598 | 0.0016 |
| Zm00001d002342 | 8.612 | 3.14 | -1.45611 | 0.0052 | Zm00001d054060 | 20.31 | 6.7 | -1.601 | 0.00045 |
| Zm00001d007700 | 20.53 | 7.47 | -1.4585 | 0.00325 | Zm00001d039283 | 158.6 | 52.3 | -1.602 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d002584 | 27.01 | 9.82 | -1.46024 | 0.0016 | Zm00001d022270 | 14.31 | 4.7 | -1.607 | 0.0064 |
| Zm00001d051174 | 109.3 | 39.7 | -1.4607 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d030171 | 3.857 | 1.26 | -1.609 | 0.0067 |
| Zm00001d052224 | 17.65 | 6.4 | -1.46275 | 0.0041 | Zm00001d032467 | 28 | 9.17 | -1.611 | 0.0001 |
| Zm00001d027652 | 476.1 | 173 | -1.4644 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d040670 | 6.408 | 2.09 | -1.616 | 0.0002 |
| Zm00001d015091 | 643.1 | 232 | -1.47233 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d054044 | 47.91 | 15.6 | -1.618 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d024294 | 30.34 | 10.9 | -1.47557 | 0.001 | Zm00001d025012 | 14.76 | 4.81 | -1.618 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d027645 | 6.521 | 2.34 | -1.47758 | 0.003 | Zm00001d024891 | 21.6 | 7.01 | -1.623 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d043019 | 17.61 | 6.31 | -1.48114 | 0.00055 | Zm00001d010700 | 7.259 | 2.36 | -1.624 | 0.00035 |
| Zm00001d048787 | 189.7 | 67.9 | -1.48224 | 0.0004 | Zm00001d010521 | 17.29 | 5.61 | -1.624 | 0.0003 |
| Zm00001d021695 | 9.425 | 3.37 | -1.48551 | 0.00135 | Zm00001d040555 | 6.055 | 1.96 | -1.626 | 0.00215 |
| Zm00001d030342 | 11.35 | 4.05 | -1.48693 | 0.0066 | Zm00001d027546 | 8.733 | 2.81 | -1.635 | 0.0016 |
| Zm00001d032692 | 10.04 | 3.58 | -1.48766 | 0.00035 | Zm00001d015410 | 6.282 | 2.02 | -1.635 | 0.0039 |
| Zm00001d028588 | 5.18 | 1.85 | -1.48902 | 0.00405 | Zm00001d017714 | 5.968 | 1.92 | -1.636 | 0.0017 |
| Zm00001d017099 | 19.72 | 6.99 | -1.49639 | 0.00145 | Zm00001d021753 | 6.031 | 1.93 | -1.643 | 0.0002 |
| Zm00001d004506 | 5.814 | 2.05 | -1.5022 | 0.00355 | Zm00001d008746 | 19.74 | 6.32 | -1.644 | 0.0001 |


| Zm00001d041327 | 2.72 | 0.96 | -1.50275 | 0.00755 | Zm00001d043084 | 4.761 | 1.52 | -1.644 | 0.00015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zm00001d023215 | 37.78 | 13.3 | -1.50308 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d052164 | 13.09 | 4.16 | -1.653 | 0.00585 |
| Zm00001d042123 | 3.517 | 1.24 | -1.50324 | 0.0053 | Zm00001d037680 | 23.03 | 7.32 | -1.654 | 0.0009 |
| Zm00001d033718 | 7.051 | 2.47 | -1.5 | 0.0025 | Zm00001d032250 | 9.03 | 2.85 | -1.661 | 0.0023 |
| Zm00001d002564 | 7.447 | 2.6 | -1.51969 | 0.00285 | Zm00001d020272 | 8.578 | 2.71 | -1.665 | 0.0029 |
| Zm00001d012477 | 7.007 | 2.43 | -1.52595 | 0.0029 | Zm00001d003414 | 6.58 | 2.07 | -1.665 | 0.0064 |
| Zm00001d028711 | 4.318 | 1.5 | -1.5279 | 0.00575 | Zm00001d031186 | 8.257 | 2.58 | -1.677 | 0.003 |
| Zm00001d042993 | 20.48 | 7.08 | -1.53138 | 0.0001 | Zm00001d044254 | 9.528 | 2.98 | -1.679 | 0.0003 |
| Zm00001d020555 | 7.292 | 2.52 | -1.53277 | 0.00635 | Zm00001d046423 | 6.351 | 1.98 | -1.68 | 0.0025 |
| Zm00001d029391 | 5.468 | 1.89 | -1.5336 | 0.008 | Zm00001d005658 | 36.63 | 11.4 | -1.686 | 0.0001 |
| Zm00001d048054 | 11.12 | 3.84 | -1.53532 | 0.0002 | Zm00001d039387 | 32.61 | 10.1 | -1.694 | 0.00035 |
| Zm00001d003144 | 6.04 | 2.08 | -1.5359 | 0.00545 | Zm00001d049910 | 3.288 | 1.01 | -1.701 | 0.0017 |
| Zm00001d032923 | 52.79 | 18.1 | -1.54336 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d020982 | 15.56 | 4.74 | -1.714 | 0.0012 |
| Zm00001d026060 | 14.57 | 4.98 | -1.5476 | 0.00055 | Zm00001d007394 | 6.354 | 1.93 | -1.717 | 0.0068 |
| Zm00001d013493 | 42.26 | 14.4 | -1.5488 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d007427 | 2.217 | 0.67 | -1.73 | 0.00115 |
| Zm00001d040697 | 193 | 65.9 | -1.54968 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d023659 | 10.2 | 3.07 | -1.731 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d043787 | 5.336 | 1.82 | -1.5524 | 0.0063 | Zm00001d040720 | 12.25 | 3.69 | -1.732 | 0.0018 |
| Zm00001d042906 | 12.14 | 4.13 | -1.55559 | 0.0012 | Zm00001d034022 | 19.35 | 5.82 | -1.734 | 0.0003 |
| Zm00001d00976 | 5.427 | 1.8 | -1 | 0.0002 | Zm00001d027755 | 12.55 | 3.77 | -1.735 | 0.0005 |
| Zm00001d021263 | 25.28 | 8.59 | -1.55816 | 0.0002 | Zm00001d034518 | 9.366 | 2.81 | -1.739 | 0.00215 |
| Zm00001d003298 | 7.719 | 2.6 | -1.565 | 0.008 | Zm00001d031155 | 18.74 | 5.61 | -1.741 | 0.0076 |
| Zm00001d045000 | 65.17 | 22 | -1.56634 | 0.00085 | Zm00001d022126 | 16.42 | 4.87 | -1.753 | 0.00015 |
| Zm00001d020383 | 79.2 | 26.7 | -1.5677 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d002532 | 14.42 | 4.25 | -1.763 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d052978 | 13.93 | 4.7 | -1.56907 | 0.0032 | Zm00001d017333 | 13.89 | 4.08 | -1.768 | 0.00055 |
| Zm00001d025166 | 25.25 | 8.51 | -1.5696 | 0.0001 | Zm00001d011813 | 913.1 | 266 | -1.78 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d034745 | 12.68 | 4.22 | -1.58783 | 0.00755 | Zm00001d029847 | 2.819 | 0.81 | -1.808 | 0.0051 |
| Zm00001d038870 | 13.68 | 4.54 | -1.59033 | 0.0023 | Zm00001d006570 | 5.146 | 1.47 | -1.811 | 0.00375 |
| Zm00001d04276 | 16.64 | 5.52 | -1.5919 | 0.00165 | Zm00001d010210 | 10.6 | 3 | -1.822 | 0.00055 |
| Zm00001d029903 | 23.62 | 7.83 | -1.59283 | 0.0009 | Zm00001d028649 | 5.212 | 1.47 | -1.822 | 0.0005 |
| Zm00001d033957 | 3.056 | 1.01 | -1.59366 | 0.0006 | Zm00001d003037 | 1.972 | 0.55 | -1.851 | 0.00655 |
| Zm00001d039241 | 11.54 | 3.82 | -1.59612 | 0.0049 | Zm00001d028260 | 172.2 | 47.5 | -1.86 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d040148 | 14.21 | 4.7 | -1.59664 | 0.0005 | Zm00001d014091 | 3.796 | 1.04 | -1.863 | 0.0036 |
| Zm00001d038598 | 37.16 | 12.3 | -1.5971 | 0.00025 | Zm00001d011328 | 10.18 | 2.77 | -1.879 | 0.00095 |
| Zm00001d002253 | 51.41 | 17 | -1.60036 | 0.0008 | Zm00001d046697 | 19.31 | 5.25 | -1.88 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d017984 | 16.47 | 5.4 | -1.60886 | 0.00465 | Zm00001d053410 | 57.49 | 15.6 | -1.885 | 0.00045 |
| Zm00001d045519 | 10.73 | 3.51 | -1.61399 | 0.00035 | Zm00001d027435 | 8.041 | 2.17 | -1.89 | 0.0012 |
| Zm00001d033187 | 6.298 | 2.06 | -1.61545 | 0.00225 | Zm00001d048705 | 214.6 | 57.6 | -1.899 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d020887 | 12.63 | 4.1 | -1.62399 | 0.00135 | Zm00001d011363 | 23.84 | 6.33 | -1.914 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d038288 | 18.99 | 6.14 | -1.62985 | 0.00215 | Zm00001d032719 | 3.087 | 0.82 | -1.916 | 0.0054 |
| Zm00001d035659 | 41.71 | 13.4 | -1.63446 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d004689 | 306.9 | 81.1 | -1.921 | 0.00005 |


| Zm00001d03 | 15.16 | 4.88 | -1.6363 | 0.0002 | Zm00001d0 | 7. | 1.91 | -1.927 | 0.00215 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zm00001d007705 | 26.01 | 8.35 | -1.63919 | 0.0006 | Zm00001d039173 | 21.2 | 5.56 | -1.932 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d053394 | 258.8 | 83 | -1.64056 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d001984 | 7.432 | 1.94 | -1.936 | 0.0018 |
| Zm00001d017455 | 17.88 | 5.72 | -1.64348 | 0.0018 | Zm00001d051859 | 6.204 | 1.62 | -1.938 | 0.00455 |
| Zm00001d051800 | 27.27 | 8.72 | -1.64482 | 0.0012 | Zm00001d047830 | 5.411 | 1.41 | -1.943 | 0.0007 |
| Zm00001d021973 | 8.587 | 2.74 | -1.6453 | 0.0041 | Zm00001d041951 | 6.65 | 1.73 | -1.946 | 0.0002 |
| Zm00001d023664 | 42.12 | 13.4 | -1.64768 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d036023 | 1.969 | 0.51 | -1.951 | 0.004 |
| Zm00001d013489 | 24.89 | 7.94 | -1.6485 | 0.00025 | Zm00001d005951 | 16.25 | 4.19 | -1.954 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d010617 | 9.943 | 3.16 | -1.65604 | 0.00695 | Zm00001d038971 | 7.501 | 1.93 | -1.956 | 0.00215 |
| Zm00001d037828 | 8.683 | 2.74 | -1.66586 | 0.0056 | Zm00001d020961 | 3.821 | 0.98 | -1.965 | 0.00305 |
| Zm00001d006947 | 5.897 | 1.86 | -1.66841 | 0.003 | Zm00001d038355 | 2.67 | 0.68 | -1.974 | 0.00505 |
| Zm00001d007341 | 23.1 | 7.26 | -1.66904 | 0.0002 | Zm00001d017536 | 5.879 | 1.49 | -1.985 | 0.0003 |
| Zm00001d045302 | 29.42 | 9.24 | -1.67097 | 0.00015 | Zm00001d041787 | 1.867 | 0.47 | -1.986 | 0.0001 |
| Zm00001d012420 | 423.4 | 133 | -1.67155 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d035682 | 17.21 | 4.34 | -1.987 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d020552 | 15.63 | 4.9 | -1.67412 | 0.0005 | Zm00001d018364 | 5.02 | 1.27 | -1.987 | 0.00385 |
| Zm00001d005148 | 10.43 | 3.27 | -1.6750 | 0.0023 | Zm00001d026695 | 5.308 | 1.33 | -1.993 | 0.0042 |
| Zm00001d017276 | 84.03 | 26.3 | -1.67663 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d027925 | 90.08 | 22.6 | -1.995 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d05 | 10 | 3. | -1. | 0.0012 | Zm00001d002362 | 1.757 | 0.44 | -2 | 0.0001 |
| Zm00001d034601 | 46.44 | 14.4 | -1.69134 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d040544 | 63.59 | 15.8 | -2.006 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d044685 | 14.6 | 4.49 | -1.7005 | 0.0061 | Zm00001d031453 | 6.406 | 1.59 | -2.012 | 0.00125 |
| Zm00001d026163 | 17.41 | 5.35 | -1.70222 | 0.0022 | Zm00001d048865 | 3.95 | 0.97 | -2.023 | 0.00775 |
| Zm00001d003751 | 55.23 | 17 | -1.70421 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d020960 | 1.88 | 0.46 | -2.04 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d051543 | 92.61 | 28.4 | -1.70462 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d031945 | 2.536 | 0.61 | -2.064 | 0.0063 |
| Zm00001d021981 | 7.34 | 2.25 | -1.70606 | 0.00805 | Zm00001d015513 | 6.387 | 1.5 | -2.092 | 0.00155 |
| Zm00001d013811 | 8.595 | 2.63 | -1.70767 | 0.00435 | Zm00001d006561 | 9.612 | 2.24 | -2.101 | 0.00755 |
| Zm00001d046599 | 30.33 | 9.28 | -1.70807 | 0.00155 | Zm00001d045395 | 6.289 | 1.44 | -2.128 | 0.0076 |
| Zm00001d008266 | 9.085 | 2.78 | -1.71003 | 0.00595 | Zm00001d050872 | 33.13 | 7.43 | -2.156 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d052651 | 13.55 | 4.14 | -1.71012 | 0.00415 | Zm00001d033607 | 11.33 | 2.53 | -2.161 | 0.0006 |
| Zm00001d041611 | 31.95 | 9.74 | -1.7138 | 0.00645 | Zm00001d042275 | 3.735 | 0.8 | -2.223 | 0.0057 |
| Zm00001d027548 | 15.28 | 4.66 | -1.71406 | 0.0042 | Zm00001d005901 | 6.555 | 1.39 | -2.234 | 0.00165 |
| Zm00001d035054 | 11.11 | 3.39 | -1.71448 | 0.0077 | Zm00001d039698 | 23.44 | 4.96 | -2.24 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d023596 | 12.74 | 3.88 | -1.71498 | 0.00125 | Zm00001d028056 | 3.931 | 0.82 | -2.253 | 0.0039 |
| Zm00001d005023 | 56.3 | 17.1 | -1.71809 | 0.0007 | Zm00001d006082 | 10.24 | 2.1 | -2.284 | 0.0011 |
| Zm00001d046330 | 3.778 | 1.14 | -1.72653 | 0.00155 | Zm00001d014239 | 1.704 | 0.35 | -2.286 | 0.00525 |
| Zm00001d020970 | 5.429 | 1.64 | -1.72971 | 0.00185 | Zm00001d033619 | 2.106 | 0.43 | -2.3 | 0.00725 |
| Zm00001d046945 | 13.43 | 4.04 | -1.73489 | 0.0002 | Zm00001d048702 | 182.3 | 34.5 | -2.402 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d036066 | 12.35 | 3.71 | -1.73492 | 0.0008 | Zm00001d048703 | 139.1 | 26.2 | -2.409 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d004840 | 4.03 | 1.21 | -1.73573 | 0.00425 | Zm00001d026070 | 3.614 | 0.67 | -2.439 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d007462 | 4.628 | 1.39 | -1.73836 | 0.00305 | Zm00001d029696 | 6.957 | 1.28 | -2.446 | 0.0048 |
| Zm00001d010451 | 17.79 | 5.33 | -1.74002 | 0.0012 | Zm00001d030037 | 1.914 | 0.34 | -2.483 | 0.0053 |


| Zm00001d052793 | 4.515 | 1.35 | -1.74334 | 0.00175 | Zm00001d030213 | 5.316 | 0.95 | -2.484 | 0.0001 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Zm00001d034421 | 2.71 | 0.81 | -1.74917 | 0.0018 | Zm00001d047736 | 56.88 | 10.1 | -2.487 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d045205 | 18.81 | 5.59 | -1.75079 | 0.004 | Zm00001d025964 | 4.458 | 0.79 | -2.505 | 0.0056 |
| Zm00001d040581 | 29.69 | 8.79 | -1.7554 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d004687 | 75.08 | 13.2 | -2.508 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d039000 | 60.12 | 17.8 | -1.75617 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d012221 | 10.51 | 1.68 | -2.648 | 0.00055 |
| Zm00001d016019 | 9.504 | 2.81 | -1.75868 | 0.00795 | Zm00001d003208 | 3.987 | 0.61 | -2.717 | 0.00235 |
| Zm00001d006591 | 7.914 | 2.34 | -1.75957 | 0.00225 | Zm00001d053409 | 7.685 | 1.04 | -2.883 | 0.0003 |
| Zm00001d011912 | 4.738 | 1.4 | -1.76094 | 0.0057 | Zm00001d017249 | 8.548 | 1.16 | -2.885 | 0.0065 |
| Zm00001d009556 | 37.28 | 11 | -1.76383 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d012801 | 1.876 | 0.22 | -3.089 | 0.0008 |
| Zm00001d047764 | 12.08 | 3.56 | -1.7642 | 0.0007 | Zm00001d052673 | 11.78 | 1.25 | -3.238 | 0.00015 |
| Zm00001d006230 | 8.419 | 2.47 | -1.76853 | 0.00015 | Zm00001d035713 | 7.825 | 0.52 | -3.905 | 0.00105 |
| Zm00001d025507 | 7.408 | 2.17 | -1.77027 | 0.00005 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d028144 | 6.958 | 2.04 | -1.7704 | 0.0033 | Genes downregulated in the resistant genotype |  |  |  |  |


| Zm00001d037894 | 6.539 | 1.91 | -1.77589 | 0.0079 | gene_id. 1 | RAI | RAU | change | $P$ value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zm00001d010038 | 16.66 | 4.86 | -1.77667 | 0.00335 | Zm00001d006495 | 0 | 3.13 | $\infty$ | 0.00225 |
| Zm00001d038274 | 4.072 | 1.19 | -1.77829 | 0.00775 | Zm00001d012443 | 0 | 40.6 | $\infty$ | 0.0068 |
| Zm00001d048634 | 15.43 | 4.49 | -1.78083 | 0.0011 | Zm00001d012848 | 0 | 4.84 | $\infty$ | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d006555 | 20.6 | 5.99 | -1.78118 | 0.00415 | Zm00001d019002 | 0 | 5.59 | $\infty$ | 0.0068 |
| Zm00001d032162 | 20.67 | 6.01 | -1.78214 | 0.00055 | Zm00001d021504 | 0 | 8.01 | $\infty$ | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d005543 | 13.66 | 3.96 | -1.78609 | 0.0013 | Zm00001d022084 | 0 | 3.61 | $\infty$ | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d042209 | 12.69 | 3.65 | -1.79667 | 0.0064 | Zm00001d025423 | 0 | 3.64 | $\infty$ | 0.00535 |
| Zm00001d042449 | 9.008 | 2.59 | -1.79873 | 0.0019 | Zm00001d035222 | 0 | 2.73 | $\infty$ | 0.0001 |
| Zm00001d003730 | 73.53 | 21.1 | -1.79958 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d037167 | 0 | 5.39 | $\infty$ | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d049932 | 10.63 | 3.03 | -1.8095 | 0.0054 | Zm00001d038403 | 0 | 14.8 | $\infty$ | 0.00015 |
| Zm00001d017719 | 48.23 | 13.7 | -1.81452 | 0.0004 | Zm00001d038997 | 0 | 7.31 | $\infty$ | 0.00055 |
| Zm00001d021422 | 20.5 | 5.82 | -1.81572 | 0.007 | Zm00001d039170 | 0 | 4.56 | $\infty$ | 0.00535 |
| Zm00001d011845 | 9.809 | 2.78 | -1.81665 | 0.00705 | Zm00001d041356 | 0 | 1.74 | $\infty$ | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d018298 | 103.6 | 29.3 | -1.82341 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d050396 | 0 | 1.88 | $\infty$ | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d041236 | 8.538 | 2.4 | -1.82905 | 0.0007 | zma-MIR169i | 0 | 29.4 | $\infty$ | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d044111 | 9.345 | 2.62 | -1.83698 | 0.00155 | Zm00001d019137 | 53.53 | 1211 | 4.4998 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d013111 | 45.82 | 12.7 | -1.84904 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d001139 | 165.1 | 3435 | 4.3794 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d017557 | 19.78 | 5.47 | -1.85469 | 0.00045 | Zm00001d050295 | 0.685 | 8.77 | 3.6796 | 0.0008 |
| Zm00001d022453 | 13.35 | 3.69 | -1.85569 | 0.00115 | Zm00001d050682 | 2.58 | 30 | 3.5416 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d019994 | 13.35 | 3.66 | -1.868 | 0.0006 | Zm00001d048760 | 1.532 | 17.1 | 3.4759 | 0.00265 |
| Zm00001d002436 | 84.9 | 23.2 | -1.86865 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d022883 | 8.921 | 87 | 3.2865 | 0.00015 |
| Zm00001d039542 | 5.544 | 1.51 | -1.87706 | 0.00675 | Zm00001d019615 | 0.44 | 3.97 | 3.1739 | 0.0009 |
| Zm00001d003160 | 11.23 | 3.06 | -1.87823 | 0.0031 | Zm00001d010446 | 0.924 | 8.28 | 3.1636 | 0.00045 |
| Zm00001d051485 | 13.28 | 3.6 | -1.88345 | 0.00055 | Zm00001d021492 | 2.509 | 21.2 | 3.0767 | 0.00205 |


| Zm00001d0 | 12.87 | 3.47 | -1.88876 | 0.0051 | Zm00001 | 0.9 | 7.59 | 2.9985 | 0.00005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zm00001d022636 | 6.317 | 1.71 | -1.88921 | 0.0021 | Zm00001d012246 | 1.653 | 12.9 | 2.9672 | 0.00105 |
| Zm00001d028151 | 12.36 | 3.3 | -1.90371 | 0.00035 | Zm00001d001563 | 38.81 | 303 | 2.9632 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d046029 | 22.15 | 5.91 | -1.90531 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d036940 | 0.391 | 2.79 | 2.8311 | 0.0013 |
| Zm00001d010667 | 2.447 | 0.65 | -1.90571 | 0.008 | Zm00001d032083 | 1.255 | 8.61 | 2.7784 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d033872 | 5.692 | 1.52 | -1.90838 | 0.0006 | Zm00001d049805 | 4.284 | 29 | 2.7594 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d012091 | 56.43 | 15 | -1.9087 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d050667 | 1.035 | 6.73 | 2.7021 | 0.00255 |
| Zm00001d027749 | 29.79 | 7.91 | -1.91272 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d028746 | 0.671 | 4.26 | 2.6677 | 0.00435 |
| Zm00001d006535 | 5.217 | 1.38 | -1.91453 | 0.0026 | Zm00001d045676 | 1.525 | 9.6 | 2.6543 | 0.00315 |
| Zm00001d020261 | 6.477 | 1.71 | -1.91885 | 0.00475 | Zm00001d009097 | 0.905 | 5.53 | 2.6109 | 0.00275 |
| Zm00001d003725 | 78.7 | 20.8 | -1.922 | 0.0006 | Zm00001d010049 | 1.275 | 7.74 | 2.6008 | 0.0005 |
| Zm00001d027757 | 10.51 | 2.77 | -1.9257 | 0.0005 | Zm00001d004509 | 0.721 | 4.26 | 2.563 | 0.0003 |
| Zm00001d013370 | 16.83 | 4.37 | -1.94461 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d024926 | 3.899 | 22.9 | 2.5539 | 0.00285 |
| Zm00001d022569 | 21.26 | 5.51 | -1. | 0.0009 | Zm00001d018414 | 1.031 | 6.01 | 2.5449 | 0.00305 |
| Zm00001d013979 | 4.987 | 1.29 | -1.94879 | 0.0056 | Zm00001d031940 | 9.393 | 54.6 | 2.5383 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d01 | 5.85 | 1.52 | -1.94933 | 0.003 | Zm00001d029801 | 2.124 | 11.9 | 2.488 | 0.00085 |
| Zm00001d029699 | 22.44 | 5.79 | -1.9536 | 0.0003 | Zm00001d023903 | 5.609 | 30.2 | 2.4298 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d040067 | 18.4 | 4.75 | -1.95462 | 0.0000 | Zm00001d006365 | 1.354 | 7.23 | 2.4174 | 0.0007 |
| Zm00001d028574 | 3.915 | 1.01 | -1.96017 | 0.00395 | Zm00001d023905 | 5.559 | 29.7 | 2.4162 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d027708 | 6.927 | 1.77 | -1.96716 | 0.0011 | Zm00001d026872 | 67.87 | 359 | 2.4048 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d010234 | 5.445 | 1.39 | -1.96822 | 0.0033 | Zm00001d007788 | 2.864 | 15.2 | 2.4038 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d023294 | 2.782 | 0.71 | -1.97567 | 0.0076 | Zm00001d041656 | 3.31 | 17.4 | 2.3919 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d027996 | 4.366 | 1.11 | -1.97683 | 0.0016 | Zm00001d041670 | 4.057 | 20.9 | 2.3642 | 0.0005 |
| Zm00001d017840 | 63.7 | 16.2 | -1.97888 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d045368 | 44.91 | 230 | 2.3589 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d007345 | 6.18 | 1.56 | -1.98236 | 0.0039 | Zm00001d021481 | 0.517 | 2.63 | 2.3485 | 0.00195 |
| Zm00001d018601 | 4.431 | 1.12 | -1.98265 | 0.0083 | Zm00001d039240 | 8.969 | 45.6 | 2.3473 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d004208 | 18.7 | 4.73 | -1.98815 | 0.0078 | Zm00001d012902 | 1.156 | 5.83 | 2.3361 | 0.0021 |
| Zm00001d020628 | 3.508 | 0.88 | -1.98953 | 0.0083 | Zm00001d023393 | 1.117 | 5.61 | 2.3277 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d042826 | 15.74 | 3.93 | -2.00069 | 0.00045 | Zm00001d050674 | 0.693 | 3.3 | 2.2526 | 0.00145 |
| Zm00001d023669 | 28.89 | 7.16 | -2.01202 | 0.0002 | Zm00001d003781 | 1.185 | 5.64 | 2.2516 | 0.0027 |
| Zm00001d005410 | 24.38 | 6.03 | -2.01629 | 0.00175 | Zm00001d001989 | 1.906 | 9.08 | 2.2515 | 0.00575 |
| Zm00001d002830 | 3.767 | 0.93 | -2.02001 | 0.0026 | Zm00001d005043 | 0.688 | 3.27 | 2.2482 | 0.00295 |
| Zm00001d048073 | 11.72 | 2.88 | -2.02434 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d005044 | 0.688 | 3.27 | 2.2482 | 0.00295 |
| Zm00001d010515 | 22.2 | 5.46 | -2.0287 | 0.0029 | Zm00001d030834 | 1.722 | 8.15 | 2.2419 | 0.00125 |
| Zm00001d015921 | 6.441 | 1.57 | -2.03807 | 0.00065 | Zm00001d036455 | 1.596 | 7.55 | 2.2416 | 0.00115 |
| Zm00001d033574 | 16.44 | 4 | -2.0383 | 0.00365 | Zm00001d053876 | 0.376 | 1.76 | 2.2251 | 0.0001 |
| Zm00001d001970 | 4.971 | 1.21 | -2.0398 | 0.0052 | Zm00001d038910 | 40.85 | 190 | 2.2182 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d012090 | 59.23 | 14.4 | -2.04115 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d006068 | 3.817 | 17.7 | 2.2153 | 0.0025 |
| Zm00001d008545 | 20.76 | 5.02 | -2.04698 | 0.0024 | Zm00001d050104 | 0.346 | 1.6 | 2.2147 | 0.0009 |
| Zm00001d027892 | 8.917 | 2.15 | -2.04887 | 0.00035 | Zm00001d053279 | 1.514 | 6.95 | 2.1985 | 0.00715 |


| Zm00001d015515 | 53.36 | 12.7 | -2.07284 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d018600 | 0.531 | 2.4 | 1786 | 0.0082 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zm00001d025141 | 22 | 5.2 | -2.08152 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d020886 | 2.242 | 10 | 2.1621 | 0.0013 |
| Zm00001d042922 | 179.5 | 42.1 | -2.09189 | 0.0000 | Zm00001 | 0.35 | 1.59 | 2.1483 | 0.0073 |
| Zm00001d020137 | 27.43 | 6.43 | -2.09373 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d002422 | 1.549 | 6.85 | 2.1458 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d042886 | 8.348 | 1.94 | -2.10395 | 0.0014 | Zm00001d012602 | 8.852 | 39.2 | 2.1457 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d018282 | 20.92 | 4.85 | -2.10765 | 0.0001 | Zm00001d004117 | 1.15 | 5.05 | 2.1339 | 0.0057 |
| Zm00001d038780 | 6.593 | 1.52 | -2.11565 | 0.00615 | Zm00001d020722 | 3.154 | 13.8 | 2.1296 | 0.00405 |
| Zm00001d016982 | 6.296 | 1.45 | -2.11694 | 0.00215 | Zm00001d011840 | 14.07 | 61.4 | 2.1248 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d034501 | 26.71 | 6.11 | -2.12878 | 0.0000 | Zm00001d018088 | 1.419 | 6.16 | 2.1171 | 0.00265 |
| Zm00001d005208 | 6.584 | 1.5 | -2.1352 | 0.0019 | Zm00001d048109 | 7.615 | 32.9 | 2.1103 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d023468 | 23.87 | 5.43 | -2.13637 | 0.0013 | Zm00001d011431 | 17.22 | 74 | 2.1034 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d043043 | 5.187 | 1.18 | -2.14055 | 0.0043 | Zm00001d041712 | 22.46 | 96.5 | 2.1026 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d046596 | 15 | 3.48 | -2 | 0.0039 | Zm00001d045512 | 1.206 | 5.18 | 2.1021 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d027471 | 12.77 | 2.88 | -2.14955 | 0.00105 | Zm00001d028874 | 1.764 | 7.4 | 2.0685 | 0.00475 |
| Zm00001d034635 | 12. | 2.8 | -2 | 0.001 | Zm00001d047738 | 8.343 | 34.8 | 2.0595 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d036151 | 41.72 | 9.33 | -2.16004 | 0.0002 | Zm00001d005867 | 3.403 | 14.2 | 2.057 | 0.001 |
| Zm00001d038 | 7.5 | 1.69 | -2.1605 | 0.0050 | Zm00001d049244 | 5.069 | 21 | 2.0516 | 0.0005 |
| Zm00001d031736 | 7.828 | 1.75 | -2.16317 | 0.0009 | Zm00001d048669 | 1.072 | 4.39 | 2.0347 | 0.00755 |
| Zm00001d005577 | 6.668 | 1.49 | -2.1643 | 0.00165 | Zm00001d048434 | 1.087 | 4.45 | 2.0346 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d020163 | 5.469 | 1.19 | -2.19769 | 0.0046 | Zm00001d039691 | 1.653 | 6.77 | 2.0339 | 0.0002 |
| Zm00001d034453 | 16.21 | 3.53 | -2.19815 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d017377 | 4.335 | 17.7 | 2.0301 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d052010 | 3.88 | 0.85 | -2.1989 | 0.0036 | Zm00001d019053 | 1.175 | 4.7 | 1.9992 | 0.00615 |
| Zm00001d028689 | 7.388 | 1.61 | -2.20188 | 0.00095 | Zm00001d017786 | 14.46 | 57.7 | 1.9977 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d014530 | 7.89 | 1.71 | -2.2065 | 0.0037 | Zm00001d047119 | 7.623 | 30.3 | 1.9917 | 0.003 |
| Zm00001d020954 | 45.73 | 9.87 | -2.21252 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d044119 | 3.087 | 12.3 | 1.9895 | 0.0004 |
| Zm00001d0358 | 5.03 | 1.07 | -2.2358 | 0.0078 | Zm00001d027801 | 1.063 | 4.2 | 1.9824 | 0.0007 |
| Zm00001d028686 | 10.7 | 2.26 | -2.24355 | 0.00015 | Zm00001d002412 | 2.075 | 8.11 | 1.9672 | 0.00255 |
| Zm00001d020976 | 9.813 | 2.07 | -2.24417 | 0.0014 | Zm00001d036255 | 29.25 | 114 | 1.9619 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d034461 | 24.17 | 5.1 | -2.24449 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d035363 | 1.695 | 6.59 | 1.9595 | 0.0041 |
| Zm00001d047235 | 8.35 | 1.76 | -2.25029 | 0.0009 | Zm00001d015783 | 8.373 | 32.4 | 1.9536 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d012641 | 6.14 | 1.29 | -2.25237 | 0.0079 | Zm00001d004822 | 1.556 | 6.01 | 1.9487 | 0.0053 |
| Zm00001d031270 | 5.853 | 1.23 | -2.25619 | 0.00565 | Zm00001d027539 | 4.717 | 18.2 | 1.9476 | 0.0011 |
| Zm00001d027900 | 27.7 | 5.77 | -2.2675 | 0.0000 | Zm00001d040991 | 1.706 | 6.56 | 1.9423 | 0.008 |
| Zm00001d028557 | 312.5 | 64.7 | -2.2718 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d052874 | 3.125 | 12 | 1.938 | 0.0047 |
| Zm00001d029304 | 37.7 | 7.81 | -2.27228 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d013127 | 32.86 | 126 | 1.9336 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d032691 | 16.28 | 3.37 | -2.27251 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d041204 | 11.34 | 43.2 | 1.9293 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d032608 | 5.776 | 1.2 | -2.27282 | 0.00455 | Zm00001d033919 | 3.213 | 12.2 | 1.9226 | 0.0051 |
| Zm00001d039935 | 410 | 84.8 | -2.27323 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d035428 | 54.99 | 208 | 1.9191 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d008594 | 65.45 | 13.4 | -2.28507 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d012474 | 0.954 | 3.6 | 1.9144 | 0.008 |
| Zm00001d032458 | 8.1 | 1.66 | -2.28879 | 0.0013 | Zm00001d013703 | 0.418 | 1.58 | 1.9139 | 0.00435 |


| Zm00001d009328 | 5.303 | 1.08 | -2.29185 | 0.00695 | Zm00001d043737 | 21.33 | 80.1 | 1.9085 | 0.00005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zm00001d027852 | 3.269 | 0.67 | -2.29192 | 0.00655 | Zm00001d018964 | 5.138 | 19.3 | 1.9063 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d045036 | 23.75 | 4.83 | -2.2977 | 0.0001 | Zm00001d014721 | 1.231 | 4.61 | 1.904 | 0.0067 |
| Zm00001d011006 | 6.277 | 1.28 | -2.29812 | 0.0029 | Zm00001d053766 | 3.173 | 11.9 | 1.9008 | 0.0006 |
| Zm00001d011650 | 23.11 | 4.7 | -2.2994 | 0.0005 | Zm00001d025556 | 0.603 | 2.25 | 1.8983 | 0.0047 |
| Zm00001d020429 | 4.795 | 0.97 | -2.30249 | 0.0033 | Zm00001d026139 | 16.1 | 59.9 | 1.8968 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d034781 | 7.035 | 1.42 | -2.31099 | 0.0004 | Zm00001d019582 | 2.324 | 8.63 | 1.8918 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d051389 | 4.259 | 0.86 | -2 | 0.0061 | Zm00001d037898 | 0.932 | 3.44 | 1.8856 | 0.0004 |
| Zm00001d026343 | 95.12 | 19.1 | -2.3174 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d035097 | 0.972 | 3.58 | 1.8826 | 0.00345 |
| Zm00001d028555 | 352.3 | 69.3 | -2.3 | 0.0000 | Zm00001d035434 | 51.04 | 188 | 1.8771 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d004443 | 6.013 | 1.18 | -2.34666 | 0.00265 | Zm00001d040171 | 27.93 | 103 | 1.8766 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d017713 | 14.99 | 2.94 | -2.35066 | 0.0001 | Zm00001d048493 | 4.973 | 18.2 | 1.8718 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d008546 | 36.02 | 7.05 | -2.3524 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d015101 | 46.68 | 170 | 1.8688 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d029913 | 19.17 | 3.74 | -2.35848 | 0.0000 | Zm00001d023785 | 2.272 | 8.27 | 1.8644 | 0.00155 |
| Zm00001d045495 | 12.76 | 2.46 | -2.3721 | 0.0032 | Zm00001d007603 | 6.876 | 25 | 1.863 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d039770 | 13.74 | 2.62 | -2.3928 | 0.0072 | Zm00001d050475 | 0.736 | 2.67 | 1.8595 | 0.00665 |
| Zm00001d03244 | 20 | 3.88 | -2.3945 | 0.0032 | Zm00001d021682 | 1.297 | 4.71 | 1.8591 | 0.00505 |
| Zm00001d020492 | 3.824 | 0.73 | -2.39467 | 0.002 | Zm00001d048366 | 3.641 | 13.1 | 1.8431 | 0.00035 |
| Zm00001d0 | 15.84 | 2.9 | -2 | 0.0068 | Zm00001d010511 | 4.628 | 16.5 | 1.8344 | 0.0044 |
| Zm00001d047744 | 6.242 | 1.17 | -2.41303 | 0.0034 | Zm00001d051479 | 0.475 | 1.69 | 1.83 | 0.0003 |
| Zm00001d0524 | 6.538 | 1.22 | -2. | 0.008 | Zm00001d047339 | 1.65 | 5.86 | 1.8291 | 0.00235 |
| Zm00001d047072 | 2.472 | 0.46 | -2.4304 | 0.0045 | Zm00001d025047 | 57.97 | 205 | 1.8233 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d035055 | 12.75 | 2.33 | -2.4506 | 0.0059 | Zm00001d013641 | 6.982 | 24.7 | 1.8216 | 0.0008 |
| Zm00001d049201 | 5.102 | 0.93 | -2.4535 | 0.00655 | Zm00001d003522 | 5.509 | 19.4 | 1.8198 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d044153 | 18.17 | 3.31 | -2.45653 | 0.0000 | Zm00001d019069 | 6.316 | 22.2 | 1.8163 | 0.0064 |
| Zm00001d038806 | 194.6 | 35.2 | -2.4685 | 0.0000 | Zm00001d033412 | 2.804 | 9.84 | 1.8114 | 0.00265 |
| Zm00001d014116 | 36.51 | 6.6 | -2.4686 | 0.0000 | Zm00001d046781 | 6.807 | 23.9 | 1.8097 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d02609 | 7.471 | 1.35 | -2.4695 | 0.0043 | Zm00001d044605 | 2.353 | 8.17 | 1.7956 | 0.00015 |
| Zm00001d004664 | 6.592 | 1.18 | -2.47665 | 0.00685 | Zm00001d028051 | 1.347 | 4.65 | 1.7866 | 0.0077 |
| Zm00001d03455 | 7.325 | 1.32 | -2.4770 | 0.0012 | Zm00001d031878 | 1.343 | 4.63 | 1.7845 | 0.0078 |
| Zm00001d011420 | 4.19 | 0.75 | -2.48183 | 0.00365 | Zm00001d010062 | 1.293 | 4.44 | 1.7797 | 0.0036 |
| Zm00001d016723 | 45.66 | 8.15 | -2.4861 | 0.0004 | Zm00001d009932 | 18.51 | 63.1 | 1.7704 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d010529 | 64.85 | 11.5 | -2.5011 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d008221 | 0.784 | 2.67 | 1.769 | 0.0042 |
| Zm00001d047639 | 33.36 | 5.87 | -2.50689 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d014455 | 21.26 | 72.4 | 1.7673 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d024379 | 36.38 | 6.31 | -2.52767 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d021470 | 0.766 | 2.6 | 1.7642 | 0.00235 |
| Zm00001d022496 | 5.85 | 1.01 | -2.53956 | 0.00365 | Zm00001d052340 | 1.642 | 5.57 | 1.7617 | 0.0008 |
| Zm00001d048356 | 8.323 | 1.42 | -2.55298 | 0.00665 | Zm00001d023553 | 1.987 | 6.73 | 1.7593 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d049584 | 16.11 | 2.74 | -2.55574 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d041663 | 5.628 | 19 | 1.7571 | 0.0003 |
| Zm00001d020339 | 7.016 | 1.19 | -2.56173 | 0.00185 | Zm00001d047245 | 7.021 | 23.7 | 1.7549 | 0.00115 |
| Zm00001d002434 | 2.79 | 0.47 | -2.56902 | 0.00095 | Zm00001d042636 | 3.024 | 10.2 | 1.7523 | 0.0014 |


| Zm00001d011649 | 11.98 | 2.01 | -2.5743 | 0.00155 | Zm00001d012797 | 1.035 | 3.48 | 1.7514 | 0.00005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zm00001d032003 | 7.883 | 1.32 | -2.57692 | 0.0082 | Zm00001d038619 | 1.481 | 4.97 | 1.746 | 0.00635 |
| Zm00001d047093 | 11.52 | 1.92 | -2.58398 | 0.00045 | Zm00001d011631 | 33.63 | 112 | 1.739 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d053916 | 16.58 | 2.76 | -2.5883 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d051837 | 34.3 | 11 | 1.7337 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d008715 | 3.644 | 0.59 | -2.62428 | 0.00665 | Zm00001d009969 | 81.7 | 270 | 1.7252 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d051791 | 14.11 | 2.24 | -2.65379 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d004448 | 1.454 | 4.79 | 1.7201 | 0.00425 |
| Zm00001d027283 | 14.18 | 2.24 | -2.6599 | 0.0006 | Zm00001d011241 | 15.06 | 49.5 | 1.7172 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d048538 | 4.171 | 0.66 | -2.6609 | 0.00125 | Zm00001d024396 | 0.993 | 3.25 | 1.7115 | 0.00035 |
| Zm00001d022516 | 10.14 | 1.6 | -2.66402 | 0.00565 | Zm00001d036647 | 0.481 | 1.57 | 1.7099 | 0.008 |
| Zm00001d044664 | 87.85 | 13.8 | -2.67 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d023273 | 9.553 | 31.1 | 1.7034 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d042813 | 5.83 | 0.9 | -2.69098 | 0.0062 | Zm00001d050714 | 4.612 | 15 | 1.7007 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d014996 | 3.563 | 0.55 | -2.69 | 0.00755 | Zm00001d030310 | 5.178 | 16.8 | 1.6976 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d040457 | 36.77 | 5.64 | -2.70505 | 0.00115 | Zm00001d032142 | 0.854 | 2.77 | 1.6966 | 0.00435 |
| Zm00001d023216 | 8.374 | 1.27 | -2. | 0.0017 | Zm00001d031807 | 1.952 | 6.32 | 1.695 | 0.0004 |
| Zm00001d022053 | 11.23 | 1.7 | -2.7263 | 0.0034 | Zm00001d046134 | 18.07 | 58.4 | 1.6924 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d041246 | 20.67 | 3.11 | -2.7325 | 0.0061 | Zm00001d050260 | 0.6 | 1.94 | 1.692 | 0.00865 |
| Zm00001d02893 | 4.98 | 0.7 | -2.7371 | 0.00105 | Zm00001d028980 | 1.229 | 3.97 | 1.6902 | 0.00395 |
| Zm00001d006106 | 4.942 | 0.74 | -2.74918 | 0.0033 | Zm00001d044566 | 13.62 | 43.7 | 1.6817 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d03 | 4.4 | 0.6 | -2. | 0.00095 | Zm00001d032893 | 31.57 | 101 | 1.6805 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d044373 | 4.468 | 0.66 | -2.75517 | 0.0061 | Zm00001d035562 | 7.856 | 25.1 | 1.6767 | 0.0001 |
| Zm00001d01473 | 9.657 | 1.42 | -2. | 0.00695 | Zm00001d037792 | 1.42 | 4.51 | 1.6658 | 0.00835 |
| Zm00001d032822 | 9.394 | 1.36 | -2.78466 | 0.00825 | Zm00001d043578 | 11.85 | 37.5 | 1.6637 | 0.0039 |
| Zm00001d019712 | 7.04 | 1.02 | -2. | 0.0085 | Zm00001d0 | 3.155 | 9.97 | 1.6604 | 0.00125 |
| Zm00001d005823 | 5.27 | 0.76 | -2.7999 | 0.00085 | Zm00001d022725 | 322.7 | 1014 | 1.6511 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d045478 | 23.46 | 3.23 | -2.8618 | 0.0004 | Zm00001d047087 | 13.58 | 42.5 | 1.6464 | 0.00035 |
| Zm00001d052221 | 2.107 | 0.28 | -2.8906 | 0.00345 | Zm00001d039391 | 3.04 | 9.51 | 1.6451 | 0.00225 |
| Zm00001d028998 | 111.6 | 15 | -2.8968 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d008408 | 18.65 | 58.3 | 1.6434 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d034356 | 75.91 | 10. | -2.9028 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d043232 | 18.21 | 56.9 | 1.643 | 0.0002 |
| Zm00001d022044 | 3.527 | 0.46 | -2.93678 | 0.00035 | Zm00001d040144 | 2.046 | 6.37 | 1.6388 | 0.0003 |
| Zm00001d018388 | 18.4 | 2.4 | -2.9408 | 0.0087 | Zm00001d015485 | 1.782 | 5.55 | 1.6387 | 0.00345 |
| Zm00001d050346 | 13.4 | 1.74 | -2.94476 | 0.00355 | Zm00001d011657 | 33.42 | 104 | 1.6368 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d007351 | 5.955 | 0.77 | -2.9565 | 0.0044 | Zm00001d035211 | 1.996 | 6.2 | 1.6359 | 0.0055 |
| Zm00001d008377 | 10.88 | 1.39 | -2.96882 | 0.0058 | Zm00001d042386 | 4.196 | 13 | 1.6335 | 0.0041 |
| Zm00001d042730 | 17.31 | 2.2 | -2.97916 | 0.00865 | Zm00001d014386 | 2.908 | 9.01 | 1.6307 | 0.00515 |
| Zm00001d037514 | 10.52 | 1.32 | -2.99181 | 0.00115 | Zm00001d007391 | 1.751 | 5.39 | 1.6225 | 0.0002 |
| Zm00001d003830 | 10.01 | 1.25 | -2.99842 | 0.00305 | Zm00001d011753 | 34.55 | 106 | 1.618 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d027323 | 40.68 | 4.84 | -3.07078 | 0.0007 | Zm00001d047483 | 2.341 | 7.18 | 1.6163 | 0.00145 |
| Zm00001d020585 | 29.29 | 3.48 | -3.07503 | 0.0074 | Zm00001d039169 | 33.28 | 102 | 1.6139 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d011787 | 7.52 | 0.85 | -3.14175 | 0.00355 | Zm00001d028457 | 9.605 | 29.4 | 1.6139 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d034564 | 4.26 | 0.48 | -3.15915 | 0.00075 | Zm00001d040372 | 7.567 | 22.9 | 1.598 | 0.00005 |


| Zm00001d033987 | 48.68 | 5.44 | -3.16065 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d041660 | 6.697 | 20.3 | 1.5979 | 0.00245 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Zm00001d027932 | 37.17 | 4 | -3.21551 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d024875 | 3.049 | 9.22 | 1.5972 | 0.0022 |
| Zm00001d019045 | 34.05 | 3.55 | -3.2621 | 0.0023 | Zm00001d020312 | 1.301 | 3.93 | 1.5968 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d047658 | 11.69 | 1.21 | -3.27375 | 0.00505 | Zm00001d023399 | 2.621 | 7.89 | 1.5908 | 0.0051 |
| Zm00001d017025 | 3.9 | 0.4 | -3.28961 | 0.00825 | Zm00001d007602 | 1.082 | 3.26 | 1.5907 | 0.0013 |
| Zm00001d027387 | 5.164 | 0.52 | -3.31524 | 0.0055 | Zm00001d022032 | 1.945 | 5.86 | 1.5905 | 0.0054 |
| Zm00001d031325 | 21.18 | 2.08 | -3.34959 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d011874 | 1.316 | 3.95 | 1.5875 | 0.00555 |
| Zm00001d039908 | 57.16 | 5.49 | -3.38023 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d037452 | 52.85 | 159 | 1.5863 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d047799 | 17.61 | 1.66 | -3.40918 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d018930 | 1.266 | 3.8 | 1.5852 | 0.00085 |
| Zm00001d047651 | 12.65 | 1.18 | -3.42762 | 0.00305 | Zm00001d042511 | 4.955 | 14.9 | 1.5843 | 0.0002 |
| Zm00001d006213 | 27.28 | 2.35 | -3.53633 | 0.00825 | Zm00001d022265 | 1.392 | 4.14 | 1.5718 | 0.007 |
| Zm00001d029859 | 28.09 | 2.4 | -3.54908 | 0.0052 | Zm00001d035824 | 22.58 | 67.1 | 1.5716 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d047017 | 8.888 | 0.72 | -3.62002 | 0.00255 | Zm00001d007491 | 30.75 | 91.1 | 1.567 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d033990 | 8.316 | 0.66 | -3.66567 | 0.00125 | Zm00001d023710 | 1.028 | 3.03 | 1.5624 | 0.0007 |
| Zm00001d024903 | 33.15 | 2.49 | -3.73252 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d026406 | 10.3 | 30.4 | 1.5612 | 0.0004 |
| Zm00001d009567 | 46.47 | 3.32 | -3.80694 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d016066 | 9.954 | 29.3 | 1.5598 | 0.00015 |
| Zm00001d024843 | 7.93 | 0.54 | -3.88472 | 0.00265 | Zm00001d029397 | 13.01 | 38.2 | 1.5539 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d028561 | 30.85 | 2.08 | -3.89212 | 0.00635 | Zm00001d031222 | 19.38 | 56.8 | 1.5503 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d039936 | 214.6 | 13.9 | -3.95278 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d024519 | 2.938 | 8.6 | 1.5499 | 0.00785 |

Genes downregulated in the susceptible genotype

|  |  | $\log _{2}$ fold |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| gene_id | SAI | SAU | change | P value |
| Zm00001d008061 | 0 | 4.88 | Inf | 0.0002 |
| Zm00001d003267 | 0.89 | 13.6 | 3.9316 | $5 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d024207 | 0.42 | 4.31 | 3.35109 | $5 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d028816 | 19.8 | 141 | 2.83226 | $5 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d024210 | 1.95 | 13.1 | 2.74051 | $5 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d010459 | 0.37 | 2.34 | 2.66426 | 0.0035 |
| Zm00001d033710 | 4.42 | 26.5 | 2.58317 | $5 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d002847 | 0.61 | 3.49 | 2.52344 | 0.0063 |
| Zm00001d024211 | 0.53 | 2.97 | 2.4975 | 0.0003 |
| Zm00001d024208 | 1.97 | 10.8 | 2.4625 | $5 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d052518 | 2.01 | 10.5 | 2.38699 | 0.0032 |
| Zm00001d022233 | 1.99 | 8.86 | 2.1568 | 0.0004 |
| Zm00001d041726 | 3.26 | 14.4 | 2.14593 | $5 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d047323 | 1.09 | 4.79 | 2.13597 | 0.0074 |
| Zm00001d038352 | 1.91 | 8.06 | 2.07828 | 0.0005 |
| Zm00001d008548 | 8.43 | 35.5 | 2.07199 | 0.0003 |
| Zm00001d022457 | 3.13 | 12.7 | 2.0235 | 0.0007 |
| Zm00001d011847 | 4.19 | 15.8 | 1.91271 | $5 \mathrm{E}-05$ |


| Zm00001d038718 | 22.6 | 81.3 | 1.84844 | $5 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Zm00001d011088 | 1.38 | 4.81 | 1.80132 | 0.0042 |
| Zm00001d052269 | 4.17 | 14 | 1.74785 | $5 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d026288 | 0.87 | 2.87 | 1.71833 | 0.0006 |
| Zm00001d020069 | 6.83 | 21.7 | 1.66535 | 0.0001 |
| Zm00001d050293 | 2.13 | 6.55 | 1.62166 | $5 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d024667 | 3.77 | 11.4 | 1.59346 | 0.0007 |
| Zm00001d027422 | 2.01 | 6.03 | 1.5853 | 0.0026 |
| Zm00001d024669 | 9.33 | 27.8 | 1.57502 | 0.0006 |
| Zm00001d002343 | 2.18 | 6.46 | 1.57019 | 0.0012 |
| Zm00001d028986 | 1.84 | 5.39 | 1.55164 | 0.0012 |
| Zm00001d033459 | 3.28 | 9.55 | 1.54129 | 0.0083 |
| Zm00001d026295 | 0.59 | 1.66 | 1.48521 | 0.0001 |
| Zm00001d007962 | 3.45 | 9.44 | 1.45204 | 0.0039 |

Appendix 4: List of differentially expressed genes at the second time point (9 days post infestation)

Genes present in both genotypes

| Gene_id | SBI | SBU | $\log 2$ fold change | P value | Gene id | RBI | RBU | $\log 2$ fold change | P value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zm00001d002158 | 10.57 | 0 | -100 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d002158 | 3.934 | 0 | -100 | 0.0063 |
| Zm00001d023040 | 8.478 | 0 | -100 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d023040 | 45.46 | 0 | -100 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d021208 | 36.21 | 3.274 | -3.46735 | 0.0001 | Zm00001d030369 | 5.348 | 0 | -100 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d026872 | 193.5 | 18.02 | -3.42408 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d010671 | 32.91 | 1.853 | -4.15003 | 0.0001 |
| Zm00001d007700 | 33.6 | 4.015 | -3.06486 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d029913 | 38.58 | 3.242 | -3.5728 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d037894 | 15.27 | 2.524 | -2.59717 | 0.0002 | Zm00001d014852 | 2.173 | 0.262 | -3.05319 | 0.00865 |
| Zm00001d007705 | 44.07 | 7.309 | -2.59212 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d019137 | 222.7 | 30.38 | -2.87356 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d013111 | 76.32 | 14.23 | $-2.42352$ | 0.00005 | Zm00001d007700 | 14.43 | 1.98 | -2.86479 | 0.00035 |
| Zm00001d023859 | 14.77 | 2.907 | -2.34539 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d020496 | 6.563 | 0.951 | -2.7868 | 0.00855 |
| Zm00001d029906 | 44.92 | 9.801 | -2.19654 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d001139 | 544.1 | 79.6 | -2.77297 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d018298 | 133.7 | 29.34 | -2.18813 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d025803 | 5.028 | 0.761 | -2.72473 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d000874 | 274.8 | 60.8 | -2.17596 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d027987 | 28.6 | 4.384 | -2.70581 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d005543 | 13.46 | 3.35 | -2.00688 | 0.0009 | Zm00001d007705 | 12.79 | 2.027 | -2.65784 | 0.00025 |
| Zm00001d006027 | 3.13 | 0.793 | -1.98087 | 0.0014 | Zm00001d039770 | 20.93 | 3.339 | -2.64763 | 0.0008 |
| Zm00001d010586 | 24.1 | 6.242 | -1.94893 | 0.0017 | Zm00001d028887 | 165.6 | 26.49 | -2.64407 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d039000 | 91.92 | 24.36 | -1.91598 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d028888 | 144.8 | 23.44 | -2.62707 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d019704 | 16.08 | 4.364 | -1.8816 | 0.00185 | Zm00001d029391 | 6.193 | 1.013 | -2.6117 | 0.00045 |
| Zm00001d044683 | 55.45 | 15.34 | -1.8541 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d029906 | 21.42 | 4.221 | -2.34328 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d038471 | 46.79 | 13.15 | -1.83106 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d035455 | 8.213 | 1.68 | -2.2895 | 0.00425 |
| Zm00001d054060 | 95.08 | 26.86 | $-1.82378$ | 0.00005 | Zm00001d025388 | 2.069 | 0.452 | -2.19583 | 0.0043 |
| Zm00001d025803 | 6.94 | 1.977 | -1.81167 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d047639 | 22 | 5.091 | -2.11162 | 0.0004 |
| Zm00001d004840 | 5.202 | 1.5 | $-1.79463$ | 0.00165 | Zm00001d047093 | 19.04 | 4.468 | -2.09157 | 0.00015 |
| Zm00001d052194 | 484.3 | 141.1 | -1.77951 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d044683 | 24.4 | 5.979 | -2.02919 | 0.00115 |
| Zm00001d001139 | 254.6 | 74.74 | -1.7682 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d054060 | 82.64 | 20.37 | -2.02004 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d035455 | 23.78 | 7.044 | -1.75559 | 0.0006 | Zm00001d023859 | 18.4 | 4.84 | -1.92641 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d029913 | 43.67 | 13.34 | -1.71065 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d000874 | 376.9 | 100.4 | -1.90842 | 0.0001 |
| Zm00001d047093 | 24.02 | 7.381 | -1.70234 | 0.00055 | Zm00001d021208 | 22.31 | 6.351 | -1.81249 | 0.0027 |
| Zm00001d029391 | 14.25 | 4.39 | -1.6987 | 0.00035 | Zm00001d042826 | 93.37 | 27.49 | -1.76428 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d039770 | 77.77 | 24.59 | -1.66141 | 0.0001 | Zm00001d002287 | 20.01 | 5.909 | -1.75983 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d006199 | 65.79 | 20.87 | $-1.65642$ | 0.00005 | Zm00001d037384 | 26.31 | 7.876 | -1.7403 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d025388 | 4.023 | 1.294 | $-1.63681$ | 0.00155 | Zm00001d007962 | 34.35 | 10.41 | -1.72229 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d053746 | 270.7 | 87.49 | $-1.62942$ | 0.00005 | Zm00001d038352 | 29.29 | 9.152 | -1.67835 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d022391 | 9.468 | 3.116 | -1.60319 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d006027 | 3.696 | 1.186 | -1.63926 | 0.00215 |
| Zm00001d020496 | 10.01 | 3.328 | $-1.58847$ | 0.0061 | Zm00001d038471 | 7.649 | 2.537 | -1.59221 | 0.00875 |


| Zm00001d027987 | 8.352 | 2.833 | -1.55999 | 0.00075 | Zm00001d017249 | 28.35 | 9.694 | -1.54823 | 0.00085 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zm00001d017249 | 37.03 | 12.81 | -1.53152 | 0.0001 | Zm00001d026872 | 99.11 | 34.43 | -1.52547 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d047187 | 186.1 | 64.4 | -1.531 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d022391 | 11.15 | 4.07 | -1.45407 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d037384 | 58.71 | 21.22 | -1.46803 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d006199 | 112.2 | 41.04 | -1.4509 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d019137 | 51.59 | 18.77 | -1.45837 | 0.00015 | Zm00001d026012 | 38.19 | 14 | -1.44795 | 0.0014 |
| Zm00001d010671 | 5.029 | 13.7 | 1.44576 | 0.00535 | Zm00001d048099 | 8.344 | 23.53 | 1.49558 | 0.00025 |
| Zm00001d027422 | 1.875 | 5.341 | 1.51001 | 0.00605 | Zm00001d027422 | 1.575 | 4.475 | 1.50615 | 0.00845 |
| Zm00001d042826 | 49.31 | 140.5 | 1.51063 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d033718 | 5.047 | 14.48 | 1.52018 | 0.00065 |
| Zm00001d047639 | 61.56 | 182 | 1.56339 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d052651 | 5.503 | 15.79 | 1.52055 | 0.00335 |
| Zm00001d028887 | 61.42 | 187.6 | 1.61111 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d013111 | 18.16 | 54.31 | 1.58063 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d041984 | 11.04 | 33.76 | 1.61242 | 0.0002 | Zm00001d021736 | 2.344 | 7.252 | 1.62912 | 0.0006 |
| Zm00001d028888 | 57.35 | 176.1 | 1.61816 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d031344 | 50.31 | 159.5 | 1.66457 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d007962 | 5.80 | 18.11 | 1.6412 | 0.0009 | Zm00001d013493 | 23.98 | 76.73 | 1.67812 | 0.00015 |
| Zm00001d033718 | 15 | 47.7 | 1.66855 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d053746 | 9.695 | 32.08 | 1.72648 | 0.00075 |
| Zm00001d026012 | 10.5 | 34.11 | 1.70038 | 0.0007 | Zm00001d053163 | 4.369 | 14.72 | 1.75238 | 0.0002 |
| Zm00001d011642 | 8.944 | 29.98 | 1.74497 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d041984 | 3.803 | 12.98 | 1.77161 | 0.00195 |
| Zm00001d048099 | 4.512 | 15.24 | 1.7558 | 0.0004 | Zm00001d044763 | 1.249 | 4.321 | 1.79096 | 0.00315 |
| Zm00001d002287 | 4.019 | 13.62 | 1.761 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d004506 | 2.789 | 10.37 | 1.89491 | 0.00055 |
| Zm00001d052651 | 4.107 | 14.01 | 1.77074 | 0.0009 | Zm00001d027855 | 5.964 | 22.49 | 1.91487 | 0.0002 |
| Zm00001d014852 | 0.841 | 2.976 | 1.82335 | 0.00785 | Zm00001d037894 | 6.063 | 23.36 | 1.9461 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d038352 | 5.997 | 21.83 | 1.86403 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d044640 | 0.737 | 2.947 | 1.99986 | 0.0009 |
| Zm00001d044640 | 0.634 | 2.421 | 1.93285 | 0.00115 | Zm00001d039000 | 7.98 | 32.13 | 2.00941 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d053163 | 6.16 | 25.25 | 2.03556 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d047187 | 9.527 | 40.11 | 2.07393 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d031344 | 42.32 | 174.3 | 2.04205 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d004840 | 0.808 | 3.404 | 2.07533 | 0.0047 |
| Zm00001d013493 | 47.84 | 201 | 2.07133 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d005543 | 3.151 | 13.56 | 2.10547 | 0.001 |
| Zm00001d050201 | 5.653 | 24.22 | 2.09904 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d034453 | 5.03 | 21.7 | 2.10872 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d025873 | 5.651 | 25.69 | 2.18481 | 0.0002 | Zm00001d050201 | 3.291 | 14.47 | 2.13643 | 0.0003 |
| Zm00001d021736 | 1.448 | 6.941 | 2.26107 | 0.0001 | Zm00001d018298 | 21.12 | 93.7 | 2.14968 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d004506 | 3.157 | 15.7 | 2.31368 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d011642 | 7.84 | 38.6 | 2.29962 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d027855 | 2.606 | 14.06 | 2.43142 | 0.0003 | Zm00001d010586 | 5.935 | 30.17 | 2.34574 | 0.0002 |
| Zm00001d044763 | 1.634 | 9.215 | 2.49588 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d034461 | 5.698 | 29.1 | 2.3525 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d034453 | 9.768 | 79.53 | 3.02537 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d033413 | 5.507 | 28.24 | 2.35845 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d034461 | 13.94 | 129.5 | 3.21651 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d003994 | 0.845 | 4.769 | 2.49717 | 0.00125 |
| Zm00001d003994 | 6.954 | 71.73 | 3.36676 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d019704 | 2.011 | 11.75 | 2.54613 | 0.00675 |
| Zm00001d004509 | 1.26 | 14.32 | 3.50634 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d052194 | 9.626 | 82.42 | 3.09801 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d033413 | 3.731 | 74.47 | 4.31893 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d004509 | 0.536 | 5.246 | 3.2907 | 0.00045 |
| Zm00001d030369 | 0 | 3.959 | 100 | 0.0002 | Zm00001d025873 | 2.518 | 32.55 | 3.69231 | 0.00035 |
| Genes upregulated in the susceptible genotype |  |  |  |  | Genes upregulated in the resistant genotype |  |  |  |  |


|  |  | $\log _{2}$ fold |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\log _{2}$ fold |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gene_id | SBI | SBU | change | P value | Gene_id.1 | RBI | RBU | change | P value |  |


| Zm00001d001180 | 2.392 | 0 | -100 | 0.00275 | Zm00001d005753 | 2.537 | 0 | -100 | 0.003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zm00001d010449 | 1.883 | 0 | -100 | 0.0013 | Zm00001d007285 | 2.62 | 0 | -100 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d012041 | 4.777 | 0 | -100 | 0.0001 | Zm00001d007781 | 2.287 | 0 | -100 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d017008 | 2.365 | 0 | -100 | 0.0051 | Zm00001d008022 | 2.023 | 0 | -100 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d018191 | 3.903 | 0 | -100 | 0.0001 | Zm00001d008194 | 3.58 | 0 | -100 | 0.0072 |
| Zm00001d019116 | 2.149 | 0 | -100 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d008562 | 2.501 | 0 | -100 | 0.001 |
| Zm00001d021205 | 6.699 | 0 | -100 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d008905 | 2.71 | 0 | -100 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d024324 | 3.996 | 0 | -100 | 0.0016 | Zm00001d011914 | 2.157 | 0 | -100 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d044783 | 1.715 | 0 | -100 | 0.0045 | Zm00001d016857 | 4.418 | 0 | -100 | 0.00055 |
| Zm00001d053046 | 3.701 | 0 | -100 | 0.00265 | Zm00001d017378 | 2.927 | 0 | -100 | 0.0002 |
| Zm00001d028561 | 169.5 | 4.893 | -5.11452 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d021538 | 2.411 | 0 | -100 | 0.0016 |
| Zm00001d033990 | 14.93 | 1.001 | -3.89813 | 0.0001 | Zm00001d023200 | 3.398 | 0 | -100 | 0.001 |
| Zm00001d028408 | 38.32 | 2.896 | -3.72563 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d026390 | 8.621 | 0 | -100 | 0.00145 |
| Zm00001d039936 | 318.5 | 24.55 | -3.69739 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d027679 | 1.959 | 0 | -100 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d002590 | 35.49 | 2.744 | -3.69284 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d029506 | 5.686 | 0 | -100 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d022435 | 38.72 | 3.224 | -3.58586 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d030919 | 2.857 | 0 | -100 | 0.00845 |
| Zm00001d009309 | 19.28 | 1.649 | -3.54762 | 0.0019 | Zm00001d031158 | 3.418 | 0 | -100 | 0.0013 |
| Zm00001d024903 | 96.52 | 8.542 | -3.49821 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d031161 | 1.948 | 0 | -100 | 0.00775 |
| Zm00001d010956 | 17.34 | 1.733 | -3.32272 | 0.00145 | Zm00001d031805 | 1.659 | 0 | -100 | 0.0018 |
| Zm00001d039935 | 784.7 | 78.86 | -3.31467 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d031852 | 4.276 | 0 | -100 | 0.0063 |
| Zm00001d044122 | 27.48 | 2.852 | -3.26865 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d033846 | 4.059 | 0 | -100 | 0.00245 |
| Zm00001d049244 | 31.15 | 3.297 | -3.24005 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d034572 | 1.693 | 0 | -100 | 0.00325 |
| Zm00001d011133 | 19.18 | 2.13 | -3.17049 | 0.0034 | Zm00001d036902 | 3.243 | 0 | -100 | 0.001 |
| Zm00001d009328 | 56.17 | 6.259 | -3.16581 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d037769 | 4.072 | 0 | -100 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d052243 | 3.266 | 0.371 | -3.13964 | 0.0048 | Zm00001d039648 | 4.13 | 0 | -100 | 0.001 |
| Zm00001d039566 | 47.21 | 5.58 | -3.08071 | 0.00085 | Zm00001d041604 | 2.735 | 0 | -100 | 0.00775 |
| Zm00001d031325 | 20.16 | 2.476 | -3.02585 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d042875 | 3.324 | 0 | -100 | 0.0018 |
| Zm00001d039933 | 67.72 | 8.463 | -3.00025 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d046148 | 3.819 | 0 | -100 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d048189 | 2.51 | 0.32 | -2.97339 | 0.00045 | Zm00001d047088 | 16.2 | 0 | -100 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d047799 | 35.5 | 4.606 | -2.9462 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d048307 | 4.458 | 0 | -100 | 0.00665 |
| Zm00001d029290 | 4.329 | 0.572 | -2.92054 | 0.0029 | Zm00001d051833 | 1.858 | 0 | -100 | 0.0003 |
| Zm00001d047553 | 30.83 | 4.275 | -2.85041 | 0.0022 | Zm00001d052545 | 6.897 | 0 | -100 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d039524 | 33.93 | 4.825 | -2.81393 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d001924 | 46.56 | 0.597 | -6.28537 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d047548 | 29.4 | 4.275 | -2.78192 | 0.0026 | Zm00001d023090 | 27.88 | 0.929 | -4.90725 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d048073 | 34.42 | 5.087 | -2.75835 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d011679 | 23.28 | 0.818 | -4.83035 | 0.0011 |
| Zm00001d041282 | 7.871 | 1.182 | -2.73475 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d051773 | 5.617 | 0.281 | -4.32024 | 0.00685 |
| Zm00001d004243 | 156.4 | 23.89 | -2.71048 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d037684 | 115.5 | 6.495 | -4.15303 | 0.0039 |
| Zm00001d049932 | 16.75 | 2.612 | -2.6806 | 0.0001 | Zm00001d042143 | 17.18 | 1.181 | -3.86223 | 0.00045 |
| Zm00001d027757 | 18.69 | 2.918 | -2.67926 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d022557 | 12.1 | 0.853 | -3.82668 | 0.00835 |
| Zm00001d009599 | 11.44 | 1.794 | -2.6733 | 0.00145 | Zm00001d031769 | 50.98 | 3.653 | -3.80283 | 0.00005 |


| Zm00001d007175 | 17.79 | 2.795 | -2.67001 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d031155 | 35.44 | 2.574 | -3.78304 | 0.00545 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zm00001d049421 | 14.87 | 2.398 | -2.63286 | 0.0000 | Zm00001d013896 | 9.93 | 0.726 | -3.77367 | 0.00605 |
| Zm00001d028718 | 6.615 | 1.07 | -2.62857 | 0.0046 | Zm00001d027283 | 34.68 | 2.659 | -3.70493 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d04860 | 8.652 | 1.451 | -2.57585 | 0.00 | Zm00001d031157 | 33. | 2.574 | -3.6886 | 0.0057 |
| Zm00001d020976 | 17.61 | 2.989 | -2.55865 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d039826 | 14.74 | 1.2 | -3.61804 | 0.0062 |
| Zm00001d02855 | 811.1 | 141.7 | -2.51703 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d012088 | 98.28 | 8.047 | -3.61042 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d028374 | 9.133 | 1.606 | -2.50735 | 0.0021 | Zm00001d032552 | 47.28 | 3.96 | -3.5774 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d037398 | 4.583 | 0.816 | -2.48934 | 0.0000 | Zm00001d006947 | 14.52 | 1.305 | -3.4763 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d028557 | 660.8 | 120.9 | -2.45078 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d050079 | 28.89 | 2.626 | -3.45964 | 0.0062 |
| Zm00001d025507 | 12.17 | 2.266 | -2.42531 | 0.0000 | Zm00001d043723 | 22.05 | 2.159 | -3.35278 | 0.00055 |
| Zm00001d025823 | 9.258 | 1.769 | -2.38811 | 0.00325 | Zm00001d049995 | 45.61 | 4.512 | -3.3376 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d034552 | 4.414 | 0.868 | -2.34678 | 0.004 | Zm00001d008377 | 20.71 | 2.115 | -3.29163 | 0.0019 |
| Zm00001d012326 | 5.874 | 1.164 | -2.33539 | 0.0063 | Zm00001d047981 | 101.5 | 10.43 | -3.28362 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d029304 | 50.55 | 10.03 | -2.33338 | 0.0000 | Zm00001d037018 | 16.68 | 1.791 | -3.21986 | 0.00785 |
| Zm00001d00656 | 6.097 | 1.226 | -2.31421 | 0.0005 | Zm00001d017762 | 175.8 | 20.08 | -3.13037 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d034130 | 12.96 | 2.63 | -2.30162 | 0.0009 | Zm00001d033279 | 8.513 | 0.986 | -3.10941 | 0.00275 |
| Zm00001d038806 | 402. | 81.65 | -2.30107 | 0.0000 | Zm00001d014341 | 6.821 | 0.811 | -3.07262 | 0.0026 |
| Zm00001d038971 | 22.07 | 4.482 | -2.29973 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d023950 | 16.29 | 2.017 | -3.0139 | 0.003 |
| Zm00001d0044 | 12.7 | 2.5 | -2.29636 | 0.000 | Zm00001d025727 | 14.77 | 1.862 | -2.98783 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d032719 | 5.594 | 1.141 | -2.2939 | 0.0025 | Zm00001d006754 | 20.43 | 2.649 | -2.94747 | 0.0004 |
| Zm00001d003052 | 5.29 | 1.0 | -2. | 0.00 | Zm00001d050682 | 10.73 | 1.391 | -2.94685 | 0.0048 |
| Zm00001d047765 | 21.84 | 4.474 | -2.287 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d007180 | 6.699 | 0.899 | -2.8983 | 0.00305 |
| Zm00001d026662 | 56.28 | 11.56 | -2.28344 | 0.0000 | Zm00001d026632 | 34.3 | 4.628 | -2.8899 | 0.00265 |
| Zm00001d028752 | 48.82 | 10.26 | -2.25065 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d042864 | 50.79 | 6.88 | -2.88415 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d004624 | 15.12 | 3.178 | -2.24994 | 0.0000 | Zm00001d022420 | 33.67 | 4.678 | -2.84732 | 0.00065 |
| Zm00001d005790 | 15.68 | 3.297 | -2.24981 | 0.0033 | Zm00001d028471 | 2.248 | 0.316 | -2.83041 | 0.0008 |
| Zm00001d033987 | 48.58 | 10.24 | -2.24584 | 0.0000 | Zm00001d005391 | 7.714 | 1.097 | -2.81451 | 0.00875 |
| Zm00001d013289 | 7.076 | 1.54 | -2.20002 | 0.0066 | Zm00001d038291 | 132 | 18.87 | -2.80658 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d044300 | 22.18 | 4.837 | -2.19678 | 0.0001 | Zm00001d042202 | 8.316 | 1.199 | -2.79429 | 0.0005 |
| Zm00001d005622 | 20.84 | 4.575 | -2.1871 | 0.00 | Zm00001d052139 | 8.203 | 1.202 | -2.77039 | 0.0015 |
| Zm00001d045036 | 33.32 | 7.339 | -2.18244 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d052165 | 19.07 | 2.808 | -2.76368 | 0.00215 |
| Zm00001d003298 | 9.277 | 2.044 | -2.18241 | 0.00155 | Zm00001d012494 | 9.921 | 1.478 | -2.74678 | 0.00035 |
| Zm00001d003048 | 15.11 | 3.332 | -2.18065 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d009608 | 6.253 | 0.953 | -2.71388 | 0.0056 |
| Zm00001d051887 | 5.87 | 1.295 | -2.18014 | 0.0034 | Zm00001d024265 | 21.27 | 3.259 | -2.70607 | 0.00025 |
| Zm00001d039963 | 34.49 | 7.687 | -2.16569 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d044147 | 9.232 | 1.435 | -2.68576 | 0.00055 |
| Zm00001d052585 | 18.66 | 4.203 | -2.15078 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d031882 | 4.314 | 0.674 | -2.67836 | 0.007 |
| Zm00001d027924 | 10.8 | 2.44 | -2.14566 | 0.00315 | Zm00001d045495 | 15.37 | 2.404 | -2.67714 | 0.00155 |
| Zm00001d010903 | 109.1 | 24.75 | -2.14026 | 0.0025 | Zm00001d049630 | 5.319 | 0.834 | -2.6738 | 0.00015 |
| Zm00001d047402 | 7.332 | 1.666 | -2.13804 | 0.0007 | Zm00001d001858 | 4.623 | 0.725 | -2.67237 | 0.00355 |
| Zm00001d013717 | 5.677 | 1.301 | -2.12557 | 0.00745 | Zm00001d047656 | 14.33 | 2.249 | -2.6713 | 0.00095 |


| Zm00001d046169 | 19.89 | 4.583 | -2.11766 | 0.00025 | Zm00001d043547 | 5.334 | 0.842 | -2.66269 | 0.00555 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zm00001d002584 | 49.89 | 11.6 | -2.10507 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d046299 | 24.34 | 3.858 | -2.65767 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d018819 | 16.45 | 3.845 | -2.09712 | 0.0001 | Zm00001d042104 | 17.7 | 2.829 | -2.6455 | 0.00025 |
| Zm00001d038465 | 4.253 | 0.998 | -2.09116 | 0.0022 | Zm00001d007842 | 4.085 | 0.654 | -2.64247 | 0.00065 |
| Zm00001d045647 | 5.624 | 1.358 | -2.0496 | 0.006 | Zm00001d042684 | 21.21 | 3.405 | -2.63888 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d018229 | 31.4 | 7.626 | -2.04175 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d017494 | 16.92 | 2.725 | -2.63408 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d026131 | 13.16 | 3.208 | -2.03627 | 0.0003 | Zm00001d027928 | 23.17 | 3.737 | -2.6319 | 0.0027 |
| Zm00001d001774 | 31.16 | 7.633 | -2.02936 | 0.0005 | Zm00001d047090 | 11.21 | 1.812 | -2.62876 | 0.00025 |
| Zm00001d002167 | 14.51 | 3.593 | -2.01349 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d008952 | 3.972 | 0.643 | -2.6271 | 0.0084 |
| Zm00001d044426 | 5.123 | 1.27 | -2.0119 | 0.0045 | Zm00001d045395 | 23.28 | 3.815 | -2.60959 | 0.00135 |
| Zm00001d001915 | 52.52 | 13.03 | -2.01085 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d024752 | 10.17 | 1.67 | -2.60601 | 0.00235 |
| Zm00001d003267 | 2.973 | 0.753 | -1.98072 | 0.0004 | Zm00001d010446 | 4.065 | 0.679 | -2.58242 | 0.0084 |
| Zm00001d020137 | 134.2 | 34.28 | -1.96908 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d015202 | 5.683 | 0.949 | -2.58239 | 0.00165 |
| Zm00001d036066 | 16.27 | 4.173 | -1.96276 | 0.0001 | Zm00001d047663 | 68.5 | 11.6 | -2.56156 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d042449 | 28.81 | 7.413 | -1.9586 | 0.0002 | Zm00001d020631 | 7.722 | 1.309 | -2.56082 | 0.002 |
| Zm00001d036122 | 6.096 | 1.574 | -1.95329 | 0.00345 | Zm00001d036880 | 186.9 | 32.42 | -2.52713 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d004310 | 296.1 | 76.75 | -1.94789 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d016551 | 18.78 | 3.262 | -2.52572 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d031736 | 17.02 | 4.446 | -1.93659 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d045386 | 16.77 | 2.937 | -2.51362 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d049525 | 54.0 | 14.1 | -1.93143 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d009140 | 10.31 | 1.823 | -2.4992 | 0.0008 |
| Zm00001d012242 | 9.691 | 2.569 | -1.9153 | 0.00405 | Zm00001d049059 | 541.9 | 96.04 | -2.49627 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d042922 | 426. | 113.8 | -1.90583 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d022636 | 32.78 | 5.816 | -2.49494 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d034145 | 17.54 | 4.689 | -1.90355 | 0.00485 | Zm00001d029814 | 14.75 | 2.617 | -2.49444 | 0.0017 |
| Zm00001d029274 | 85.69 | 22.92 | -1.90246 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d049926 | 13.23 | 2.378 | -2.47633 | 0.00025 |
| Zm00001d029025 | 6.274 | 1.697 | -1.88668 | 0.00125 | Zm00001d031875 | 11.48 | 2.075 | -2.46831 | 0.0004 |
| Zm00001d010520 | 8.106 | 2.196 | -1.88392 | 0.0014 | Zm00001d032162 | 7.558 | 1.367 | -2.46736 | 0.0069 |
| Zm00001d043528 | 8.328 | 2.266 | -1.87794 | 0.0084 | Zm00001d008837 | 18.11 | 3.309 | -2.45204 | 0.0001 |
| Zm00001d017536 | 7.005 | 1.915 | -1.87135 | 0.0001 | Zm00001d043179 | 20.35 | 3.779 | -2.42854 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d044272 | 22.75 | 6.303 | -1.85183 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d047651 | 24.65 | 4.59 | -2.42511 | 0.00015 |
| Zm00001d030555 | 4.766 | 1.323 | -1.84931 | 0.00025 | Zm00001d047658 | 20.71 | 3.856 | -2.42499 | 0.0005 |
| Zm00001d002410 | 14.95 | 4.156 | -1.84681 | 0.0016 | Zm00001d018388 | 15.97 | 2.999 | -2.41248 | 0.00875 |
| Zm00001d002019 | 8.704 | 2.429 | -1.84153 | 0.00035 | Zm00001d027929 | 32.3 | 6.08 | -2.40948 | 0.00235 |
| Zm00001d051415 | 2.08 | 0.582 | -1.83801 | 0.00115 | Zm00001d052164 | 65.47 | 12.37 | -2.40375 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d052673 | 152.1 | 42.68 | -1.83324 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d034635 | 17.38 | 3.351 | -2.37467 | 0.00155 |
| Zm00001d015917 | 20.98 | 5.911 | -1.8272 | 0.006 | Zm00001d005392 | 65.68 | 12.8 | -2.35891 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d052962 | 23.22 | 6.582 | -1.81877 | 0.00085 | Zm00001d051754 | 54.75 | 10.7 | -2.35534 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d020607 | 19.99 | 5.669 | -1.81798 | 0.00015 | Zm00001d022593 | 13.74 | 2.696 | -2.34906 | 0.00355 |
| Zm00001d019117 | 41.31 | 11.78 | -1.80968 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d044951 | 7.536 | 1.48 | -2.34797 | 0.0002 |
| Zm00001d008214 | 48.96 | 13.99 | -1.80701 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d054057 | 142.6 | 28.1 | -2.3435 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d011228 | 3.317 | 0.951 | -1.80228 | 0.00365 | Zm00001d008222 | 10.48 | 2.068 | -2.34055 | 0.0008 |
| Zm00001d033572 | 2.172 | 0.623 | -1.80074 | 0.0035 | Zm00001d048020 | 493.7 | 98.63 | -2.3237 | 0.00005 |


| Zm00001d037397 | 9.971 | 2.862 | -1.80055 | 0.0001 | Zm00001d033088 | 4.42 | 0.885 | -2.31973 | 0.00715 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zm00001d045101 | 25.88 | 7.449 | -1.7968 | 0.00015 | Zm00001d027313 | 10.19 | 2.045 | -2.31759 | 0.0023 |
| Zm00001d02859 | 20.04 | 5.777 | -1.7945 | 0.0000 | Zm00001d034066 | 1.98 | 0.398 | -2.31463 | 0.0016 |
| Zm00001d031726 | 5.995 | 1.733 | -1.79069 | 0.00615 | Zm00001d018983 | 5.135 | 1.046 | -2.29589 | 0.00185 |
| Zm00001d006230 | 9.191 | 2.672 | -1.78212 | 0.0000 | Zm00001d003142 | 3.4 | 0.718 | -2.28124 | 0.00815 |
| Zm00001d013022 | 6.988 | 2.035 | -1.77972 | 0.00845 | Zm00001d012333 | 8.362 | 1.721 | -2.28059 | 0.0087 |
| Zm00001d022476 | 38.13 | 11.14 | -1.77459 | 0.0008 | Zm00001d004309 | 126.4 | 26.17 | -2.27209 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d024211 | 9.756 | 2.853 | -1.77371 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d042739 | 9.217 | 1.909 | -2.27162 | 0.00085 |
| Zm00001d010521 | 62.22 | 18.21 | -1.77273 | 0.0000 | Zm00001d024676 | 7.073 | 1.472 | -2.26412 | 0.0051 |
| Zm00001d037336 | 14.68 | 4.307 | -1.76944 | 0.00095 | Zm00001d032115 | 27.04 | 5.645 | -2.2601 | 0.0026 |
| Zm00001d04813 | 18.35 | 5.387 | -1.76825 | 0.0031 | Zm00001d031971 | 56.83 | 11.89 | -2.25698 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d014486 | 200.1 | 59.12 | -1.7593 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d018206 | 85.91 | 17.98 | -2.25662 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d04 | 17 | 5.272 | -1.75662 | 0.0009 | Zm00001d046746 | 18.78 | 3.938 | -2.25401 | 0.0003 |
| Zm00001d002675 | 8.015 | 2.373 | -1.75583 | 0.0023 | Zm00001d038412 | 10.19 | 2.172 | -2.23021 | 0.00045 |
| Zm00001d0 | 69 | 20.58 | -1 | 0.00 | Zm00001d039778 | 3.998 | 0.857 | -2.2221 | 0.00775 |
| Zm00001d042909 | 55.98 | 16.62 | -1.75245 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d025831 | 15.04 | 3.24 | -2.21453 | 0.0005 |
| Zm00001d01707 | 7.95 | 2.3 | -1 | 0.0032 | Zm00001d003208 | 15.68 | 3.411 | -2.20016 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d045476 | 28.04 | 8.344 | -1.74886 | 0.0085 | Zm00001d005798 | 67.38 | 14.69 | -2.1972 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d029736 | 3.725 | 1.121 | -1.73314 | 0.0085 | Zm00001d047339 | 9.79 | 2.138 | -2.19506 | 0.0001 |
| Zm00001d01350 | 11.68 | 3.513 | -1.73289 | 0.0000 | Zm00001d029932 | 60.69 | 13.36 | -2.18382 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d052733 | 43.9 | 13.21 | -1.73252 | 0.0000 | Zm00001d005378 | 28 | 6.19 | -2.17722 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d02901 | 5.021 | 1.52 | -1.72378 | 0.0003 | Zm00001d035561 | 18.6 | 4.121 | -2.17438 | 0.00135 |
| Zm00001d017366 | 7.724 | 2.342 | -1.72149 | 0.0071 | Zm00001d023543 | 11.5 | 2.571 | -2.16086 | 0.00025 |
| Zm00001d05181 | 10.56 | 3.202 | -1.72104 | 0.0017 | Zm00001d011611 | 92.13 | 20.62 | -2.15946 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d043350 | 4.792 | 1.465 | -1.70932 | 0.00285 | Zm00001d027546 | 40.38 | 9.116 | -2.14733 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d02665 | 90.86 | 27.9 | -1.70353 | 0.0000 | Zm00001d007381 | 7.836 | 1.772 | -2.14442 | 0.0006 |
| Zm00001d029140 | 5.534 | 1.701 | -1.70221 | 0.0003 | Zm00001d050893 | 45.78 | 10.38 | -2.14099 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d017209 | 2.694 | 0.829 | -1.70072 | 0.00375 | Zm00001d046423 | 15.43 | 3.504 | -2.13821 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d010515 | 26.49 | 8.164 | -1.69832 | 0.00425 | Zm00001d020272 | 23.18 | 5.279 | -2.13452 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d040067 | 68.59 | 21.16 | -1.69675 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d049286 | 6.615 | 1.508 | -2.1328 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d04059 | 17.69 | 5.457 | -1.69649 | 0.0078 | Zm00001d038355 | 6.92 | 1.586 | -2.1258 | 0.00015 |
| Zm00001d053799 | 3.859 | 1.193 | -1.69406 | 0.00025 | Zm00001d034745 | 16.33 | 3.765 | -2.1174 | 0.0041 |
| Zm00001d02474 | 18.34 | 5.67 | -1.69368 | 0.0012 | Zm00001d045470 | 9.688 | 2.24 | -2.11263 | 0.0009 |
| Zm00001d042214 | 27.67 | 8.558 | -1.69308 | 0.0019 | Zm00001d042275 | 9.317 | 2.158 | -2.11015 | 0.0009 |
| Zm00001d049435 | 7.115 | 2.201 | -1.69243 | 0.0015 | Zm00001d022453 | 32.97 | 7.662 | -2.10551 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d012420 | 919.5 | 284.6 | -1.69205 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d020556 | 15.97 | 3.717 | -2.10346 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d019510 | 8.997 | 2.786 | -1.69117 | 0.0001 | Zm00001d039387 | 39.29 | 9.155 | -2.10168 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d013489 | 42.43 | 13.16 | -1.68913 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d027763 | 17.36 | 4.06 | -2.09642 | 0.0009 |
| Zm00001d034463 | 2.626 | 0.817 | -1.68482 | 0.0047 | Zm00001d031926 | 7.817 | 1.835 | -2.09088 | 0.0011 |
| Zm00001d038023 | 28.02 | 8.744 | -1.68021 | 0.00025 | Zm00001d044716 | 5.111 | 1.203 | -2.08648 | 0.00645 |


| Zm00001d038585 | 31.81 | 9.937 | -1.67872 | 0.0016 | Zm00001d007379 | 10.44 | 2.46 | -2.08511 | 0.00645 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zm00001d017095 | 174.7 | 54.82 | -1.67182 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d021698 | 89.01 | 21.04 | -2.08084 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d009556 | 70.99 | 22.4 | -1.66429 | 0.0000 | Zm00001d051525 | 5.31 | 1.258 | -2.07981 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d047764 | 10.5 | 3.32 | -1.66086 | 0.00265 | Zm00001d033411 | 20.59 | 4.896 | -2.07212 | 0.0048 |
| Zm00001d049418 | 11.36 | 3.608 | -1.65421 | 0.0007 | Zm00001d026649 | 55.08 | 13.14 | -2.06705 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d052478 | 6.254 | 1.998 | -1.6462 | 0.00135 | Zm00001d044460 | 18.12 | 4.352 | -2.05811 | 0.0008 |
| Zm00001d006330 | 14.45 | 4.625 | -1.64348 | 0.00165 | Zm00001d032850 | 9.415 | 2.27 | -2.05196 | 0.0046 |
| Zm00001d033872 | 4.654 | 1.513 | -1.62106 | 0.0032 | Zm00001d020938 | 4.538 | 1.095 | -2.05121 | 0.006 |
| Zm00001d007581 | 15.38 | 5.014 | -1.61724 | 0.0028 | Zm00001d012883 | 5.897 | 1.432 | -2.04199 | 0.0079 |
| Zm00001d053202 | 5.62 | 1.836 | -1.61436 | 0.00235 | Zm00001d025860 | 15.49 | 3.787 | -2.03187 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d045478 | 32.35 | 10.57 | -1.61406 | 0.0008 | Zm00001d029078 | 8.088 | 1.981 | -2.02962 | 0.00145 |
| Zm00001d020429 | 33.65 | 11 | -1.61295 | 0.0001 | Zm00001d025703 | 3.128 | 0.767 | -2.02772 | 0.00195 |
| Zm00001d051139 | 56. | 18.5 | -1.61139 | 0.0000 | Zm00001d004187 | 4.542 | 1.115 | -2.02674 | 0.0071 |
| Zm00001d017084 | 3.075 | 1.009 | -1.60746 | 0.0022 | Zm00001d025383 | 2.663 | 0.656 | -2.0225 | 0.00125 |
| Zm00001 | 2.1 | 0.7 | -1 | 0.0 | Zm00001d042482 | 68.34 | 16.89 | -2.01636 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d049647 | 21.68 | 7.163 | -1.5974 | 0.00785 | Zm00001d050674 | 2.484 | 0.616 | -2.01285 | 0.00295 |
| Zm00001d033296 | 37. | 12 | -1 | 0.0007 | Zm00001d013033 | 27.59 | 6.838 | -2.01219 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d012321 | 10.39 | 3.448 | -1.59064 | 0.00425 | Zm00001d033683 | 12.5 | 3.103 | -2.01003 | 0.00235 |
| Zm00001d044685 | 19.46 | 6.47 | -1.58783 | 0.0027 | Zm00001d025933 | 15.45 | 3.836 | -2.00964 | 0.00025 |
| Zm00001d044340 | 12.11 | 4.054 | -1.57942 | 0.0007 | Zm00001d027415 | 11.64 | 2.897 | -2.00674 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d049427 | 6.117 | 2.053 | -1.57537 | 0.0045 | Zm00001d003195 | 36.91 | 9.208 | -2.00292 | 0.00055 |
| Zm00001d045582 | 30.58 | 10.3 | -1.57063 | 0.0000 | Zm00001d015700 | 30.91 | 7.711 | -2.00289 | 0.00045 |
| Zm00001d050259 | 7.58 | 2.575 | -1.55786 | 0.0009 | Zm00001d049113 | 3.179 | 0.794 | -2.00081 | 0.00415 |
| Zm00001d021677 | 11.39 | 3.887 | -1.55158 | 0.005 | Zm00001d019605 | 7.625 | 1.91 | -1.99744 | 0.00195 |
| Zm00001d034421 | 3.373 | 1.151 | -1.55098 | 0.0029 | Zm00001d043174 | 12.8 | 3.227 | -1.98816 | 0.00025 |
| Zm00001d044253 | 23.37 | 7.97 | -1.55079 | 0.0000 | Zm00001d042438 | 9.983 | 2.517 | -1.98805 | 0.00045 |
| Zm00001d013208 | 26.89 | 9.254 | -1.53872 | 0.0027 | Zm00001d001975 | 7.558 | 1.917 | -1.97932 | 0.00255 |
| Zm00001d021303 | 9.944 | 3.425 | -1.53787 | 0.0004 | Zm00001d036151 | 40.62 | 10.33 | -1.97598 | 0.0008 |
| Zm00001d028588 | 11.03 | 3.801 | -1.53692 | 0.0001 | Zm00001d043798 | 15.68 | 3.992 | -1.97414 | 0.00145 |
| Zm00001d052180 | 23.88 | 8.233 | -1.53642 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d042096 | 17.52 | 4.462 | -1.9731 | 0.006 |
| Zm00001d047192 | 29.82 | 10.31 | -1.53269 | 0.0004 | Zm00001d005687 | 266.4 | 68.03 | -1.96952 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d017984 | 64.62 | 22.34 | -1.53222 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d002198 | 2.368 | 0.606 | -1.96609 | 0.00415 |
| Zm00001d016604 | 6.467 | 2.238 | -1.53101 | 0.0057 | Zm00001d006053 | 33.03 | 8.462 | -1.96495 | 0.0001 |
| Zm00001d049359 | 6.78 | 2.35 | -1.52853 | 0.00435 | Zm00001d025050 | 52.58 | 13.47 | -1.96466 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d016558 | 14.91 | 5.175 | -1.52673 | 0.0056 | Zm00001d020595 | 153.9 | 39.58 | -1.95912 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d021296 | 9.228 | 3.211 | -1.52323 | 0.00475 | Zm00001d044431 | 17.73 | 4.591 | -1.94888 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d047208 | 12.66 | 4.421 | -1.51767 | 0.00035 | Zm00001d017834 | 7.611 | 1.972 | -1.94848 | 0.00415 |
| Zm00001d050119 | 13.31 | 4.654 | -1.5158 | 0.00105 | Zm00001d026540 | 1.768 | 0.458 | -1.94788 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d021680 | 2.49 | 0.872 | -1.51351 | 0.0068 | Zm00001d036072 | 5.103 | 1.323 | -1.94771 | 0.0017 |
| Zm00001d048588 | 10.06 | 3.533 | -1.51002 | 0.00055 | Zm00001d002549 | 21.5 | 5.577 | -1.94691 | 0.0019 |


| Zm00001d007403 | 9.957 | 3.497 | -1.50968 | 0.00345 | Zm00001d019944 | 15.14 | 3.933 | -1.94462 | 0.00005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zm00001d047302 | 42.15 | 14.82 | -1.50778 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d006360 | 11.28 | 2.945 | -1.93752 | 0.0026 |
| Zm00001d027436 | 9.493 | 3.339 | -1.50755 | 0.0025 | Zm00001d011006 | 6.36 | 1.664 | -1.93613 | 0.00445 |
| Zm00001d022516 | 41.07 | 14.5 | -1.50213 | 0.0007 | Zm00001d013151 | 10.09 | 2.658 | -1.92413 | 0.0036 |
| Zm00001d024210 | 31.1 | 10.99 | -1.50075 | 0.0000 | Zm00001d005383 | 25. | 6.644 | -1.92058 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d051288 | 5.439 | 1.928 | -1.49593 | 0.0058 | Zm00001d042135 | 10.63 | 2.819 | -1.91436 | 0.0011 |
| Zm00001d049966 | 56.45 | 20.02 | -1.4953 | 0.0043 | Zm00001d039166 | 92.84 | 24.65 | -1.91332 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d039727 | 15.08 | 5.355 | -1.4934 | 0.00045 | Zm00001d042740 | 5.681 | 1.511 | -1.911 | 0.0007 |
| Zm00001d017962 | 3.389 | 1.205 | -1.49144 | 0.00015 | Zm00001d046599 | 31.56 | 8.392 | -1.91092 | 0.0006 |
| Zm00001d022451 | 21.28 | 7.578 | -1.48974 | 0.0038 | Zm00001d052937 | 6.622 | 1.776 | -1.89883 | 0.0011 |
| Zm00001d005148 | 31.36 | 11.17 | -1.48897 | 0.0000 | Zm00001d051749 | 42.71 | 11.47 | -1.89714 | 0.00035 |
| Zm00001d020264 | 16.78 | 6.003 | -1.4834 | 0.00045 | Zm00001d030171 | 7.595 | 2.041 | -1.89597 | 0.0007 |
| Zm00001d024208 | 26.84 | 9.62 | -1. | 0.0000 | Zm00001d032142 | 2.161 | 0.581 | -1.895 | 0.0083 |
| Zm00001d051693 | 13.59 | 4.905 | -1.46988 | 0.00105 | Zm00001d015133 | 77.41 | 20.85 | -1.89229 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d014166 | 13.5 | 4.87 | -1.46916 | 0.0004 | Zm00001d017495 | 13.06 | 3.523 | -1.89001 | 0.00025 |
| Zm00001d017699 | 20.64 | 7.474 | -1.46519 | 0.00125 | Zm00001d017880 | 80.84 | 21.86 | $-1.88713$ | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d007915 | 18.39 | 6.66 | -1.46497 | 0.0004 | Zm00001d016755 | 32.71 | 8.863 | -1.88365 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d030697 | 22.91 | 8.315 | -1.46201 | 0.0017 | Zm00001d051203 | 9.84 | 2.67 | -1.88195 | 0.0086 |
| Zm00001d022517 | 75.4 | 27.48 | -1.45644 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d039335 | 30.24 | 8.224 | -1.87861 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d006375 | 3.059 | 1.116 | -1.45519 | 0.00815 | Zm00001d052405 | 5.943 | 1.621 | -1.87403 | 0.00025 |
| Zm00001d031127 | 59.59 | 21.81 | -1.44996 | 0.0000 | Zm00001d046945 | 21.32 | 5.848 | -1.86577 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d008349 | 2.933 | 1.07 | -1.44921 | 0.0028 | Zm00001d050872 | 72.2 | 19.85 | -1.8629 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d029114 | 3.208 | 1.175 | -1.44919 | 0.0027 | Zm00001d018605 | 7.339 | 2.028 | -1.85572 | 0.00455 |
| Zm00001d044235 | 27.89 | 10.21 | -1.44917 | 0.0003 | Zm00001d053396 | 49.59 | 13.71 | -1.85445 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d003365 | 16.65 | 6.102 | -1.44862 | 0.0052 | Zm00001d028999 | 17.27 | 4.779 | -1.8537 | 0.0043 |
| Zm00001d024867 | 5.615 | 2.057 | -1.44862 | 0.0036 | Zm00001d019422 | 5.909 | 1.636 | -1.85314 | 0.0063 |
| Genes downregulated in the susceptible genotype |  |  |  |  | Zm00001d022456 | 55.24 | 15.37 | -1.8456 | 0.0002 |
|  |  |  | $\log 2$ fold |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gene id | RAI | RAU | change | p value | Zm00001d033286 | 178.4 | 49.94 | -1.83707 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d017700 | 10.53 | 28.75 | 1.44901 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d033815 | 16.27 | 4.567 | -1.83325 | 0.00125 |
| Zm00001d046485 | 1.442 | 3.937 | 1.44936 | 0.0015 | Zm00001d035343 | 10.09 | 2.834 | -1.83137 | 0.0013 |
| Zm00001d029075 | 23.86 | 65.15 | 1.44948 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d052442 | 5.575 | 1.568 | -1.83026 | 0.004 |
| Zm00001d033710 | 12.47 | 34.0 | 1.4499 | 0.0007 | Zm00001d002026 | 4.18 | 1.181 | -1.82348 | 0.0016 |
| Zm00001d051548 | 1.777 | 4.856 | 1.45008 | 0.0077 | Zm00001d051896 | 20.44 | 5.83 | -1.80994 | 0.00165 |
| Zm00001d020008 | 7.815 | 21.5 | 1.45991 | 0.00065 | Zm00001d048634 | 11.56 | 3.298 | -1.80897 | 0.00375 |
| Zm00001d001936 | 9.046 | 25 | 1.46671 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d034991 | 32.53 | 9.308 | -1.80517 | 0.0015 |
| Zm00001d040020 | 1.453 | 4.019 | 1.46797 | 0.00715 | Zm00001d045063 | 7.357 | 2.106 | -1.80448 | 0.0058 |
| Zm00001d039542 | 6.646 | 18.41 | 1.47023 | 0.00235 | Zm00001d003866 | 6.411 | 1.84 | -1.80084 | 0.002 |
| Zm00001d003903 | 6.687 | 18.56 | 1.47251 | 0.00185 | Zm00001d052612 | 51.39 | 14.77 | -1.79921 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d052918 | 1.826 | 5.083 | 1.47662 | 0.00155 | Zm00001d031201 | 5.508 | 1.586 | -1.7959 | 0.0034 |


| Zm00001d040621 | 2.689 | 7.512 | 1.4819 | 0.00255 | Zm00001d002550 | 8.789 | 2.542 | -1.78955 | 0.00195 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zm00001d048021 | 50.95 | 142.6 | 1.48518 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d016518 | 1.823 | 0.528 | -1.78876 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d027423 | 24.43 | 68.52 | 1.48784 | 0.0000 | Zm00001d014919 | 1.99 | 0.578 | -1.78773 | 0.0069 |
| Zm00001d019692 | 46.23 | 129.8 | 1.48929 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d044159 | 15.21 | 4.406 | -1.7872 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d012909 | 18.33 | 51.47 | 1.48979 | 0.0046 | Zm00001d013898 | 5.173 | 1.499 | -1.7871 | 0.0028 |
| Zm00001d029356 | 27.87 | 78.33 | 1.49096 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d028736 | 15.1 | 4.395 | -1.78075 | 0.00485 |
| Zm00001d025141 | 74.68 | 210.6 | 1.49544 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d011890 | 11.94 | 3.476 | -1.78064 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d047367 | 16.34 | 46.15 | 1.49802 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d011157 | 7.514 | 2.192 | -1.77762 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d048344 | 20.55 | 58.25 | 1.50351 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d003013 | 7.149 | 2.087 | -1.77654 | 0.0007 |
| Zm00001d003949 | 6.912 | 19.61 | 1.50409 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d031275 | 59.71 | 17.59 | -1.76296 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d038221 | 10.39 | 29.5 | 1.50518 | 0.0002 | Zm00001d040468 | 8.291 | 2.445 | -1.76156 | 0.00035 |
| Zm00001d011422 | 8.98 | 25.53 | 1.50724 | 0.0001 | Zm00001d028759 | 111.9 | 33.01 | -1.76116 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d02 | 8.57 | 24 | 1.51001 | 0.0002 | Zm00001d041298 | 4.074 | 1.206 | -1.75646 | 0.0005 |
| Zm00001d018059 | 5.325 | 15.29 | 1.52139 | 0.0009 | Zm00001d012836 | 21.39 | 6.335 | -1.75563 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d0 | 8.4 | 24.2 | 1.5 | 0.00 | Zm00001d046745 | 12.53 | 3.728 | -1.74843 | 0.0012 |
| Zm00001d045088 | 3.364 | 9.681 | 1.52489 | 0.00105 | Zm00001d038852 | 14.81 | 4.412 | -1.74659 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d035875 | 16.0 | 46.2 | 1.5 | 0.00 | Zm00001d020703 | 7.019 | 2.097 | -1.74296 | 0.0012 |
| Zm00001d044156 | 6.714 | 19.36 | 1.5278 | 0.0007 | Zm00001d033931 | 272.9 | 81.55 | -1.74237 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d006493 | 12.8 | 36.95 | 1.52867 | 0.0000 | Zm00001d037810 | 5.898 | 1.764 | -1.7416 | 0.0003 |
| Zm00001d018966 | 22.5 | 65.06 | 1.53211 | 0.0003 | Zm00001d034217 | 11.31 | 3.388 | -1.7398 | 0.00125 |
| Zm00001d049081 | 2.854 | 8.269 | 1.53492 | 0.0011 | Zm00001d024916 | 4.666 | 1.398 | -1.73896 | 0.00665 |
| Zm00001d006306 | 1.6 | 4.639 | 1.53582 | 0.0082 | Zm00001d025012 | 53.47 | 16.03 | -1.73827 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d052835 | 7.39 | 21.52 | 1.54192 | 0.0000 | Zm00001d003468 | 16.98 | 5.11 | -1.73216 | 0.0002 |
| Zm00001d047446 | 6.702 | 19.53 | 1.54325 | 0.0000 | Zm00001d030999 | 15.86 | 4.783 | -1.72953 | 0.0027 |
| Zm00001d016691 | 5.348 | 15.71 | 1.55429 | 0.0019 | Zm00001d026268 | 8.127 | 2.459 | -1.72468 | 0.00705 |
| Zm00001d043263 | 15.46 | 45.8 | 1.56671 | 0.0000 | Zm00001d014291 | 51.99 | 15.81 | -1.71738 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d009071 | 48.52 | 143.8 | 1.56774 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d037080 | 4.411 | 1.343 | -1.71612 | 0.0027 |
| Zm00001d033407 | 1.35 | 4.014 | 1.57214 | 0.00105 | Zm00001d043048 | 6.113 | 1.861 | -1.71533 | 0.0028 |
| Zm00001d001779 | 5.368 | 15.98 | 1.57338 | 0.00475 | Zm00001d045124 | 1.919 | 0.587 | -1.70997 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d017241 | 1.136 | 3.381 | 1.57349 | 0.00075 | Zm00001d030106 | 2.067 | 0.634 | -1.70484 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d040562 | 150. | 448.9 | 1.57784 | 0.0000 | Zm00001d034345 | 933.6 | 287 | -1.70155 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d034069 | 1.701 | 5.085 | 1.58033 | 0.00685 | Zm00001d050955 | 4.886 | 1.503 | -1.70071 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d016768 | 38.58 | 115.5 | 1.5817 | 0.0000 | Zm00001d009118 | 54.74 | 16.86 | -1.69915 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d004664 | 11.17 | 33.5 | 1.58433 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d027593 | 4.9 | 1.512 | -1.69597 | 0.00725 |
| Zm00001d011377 | 22.8 | 68.54 | 1.58797 | 0.0000 | Zm00001d038741 | 19.88 | 6.147 | -1.69327 | 0.00095 |
| Zm00001d028094 | 5.832 | 17.55 | 1.58931 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d035236 | 6.325 | 1.957 | -1.69233 | 0.00545 |
| Zm00001d037766 | 1.474 | 4.451 | 1.59462 | 0.0061 | Zm00001d017138 | 22.31 | 6.91 | -1.69093 | 0.0016 |
| Zm00001d049203 | 1.185 | 3.588 | 1.59833 | 0.00105 | Zm00001d027405 | 25 | 7.751 | -1.68933 | 0.00065 |
| Zm00001d026056 | 7.095 | 21.56 | 1.60315 | 0.0033 | Zm00001d046803 | 13.02 | 4.045 | -1.68691 | 0.00545 |
| Zm00001d031093 | 1.547 | 4.7 | 1.60318 | 0.00295 | Zm00001d042636 | 11.27 | 3.525 | -1.67632 | 0.00165 |


| Zm00001d048507 | 28.9 | 87.86 | 1.6041 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d049187 | 243.9 | 76.4 | -1.67478 | 0.00005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zm00001d032155 | 4.956 | 15.08 | 1.60575 | 0.004 | Zm00001d042619 | 50.8 | 15.92 | -1.6741 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d049129 | 3.255 | 9.937 | 1.61011 | 0.0038 | Zm00001d032467 | 38.68 | 12.13 | -1.67261 | 0.00035 |
| Zm00001d024281 | 63.2 | 193.4 | 1.61382 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d016301 | 33.5 | 10.54 | -1.66857 | 0.0003 |
| Zm00001d021938 | 17.94 | 55.01 | 1.6168 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d049554 | 50.1 | 15.77 | -1.66797 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d021629 | 14.68 | 45.04 | 1.61689 | 0.0001 | Zm00001d029410 | 26.51 | 8.368 | $-1.66341$ | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d049201 | 5.897 | 18.1 | 1.6176 | 0.0013 | Zm00001d008103 | 6.611 | 2.087 | -1.66322 | 0.00445 |
| Zm00001d043063 | 2.501 | 7.684 | 1.61923 | 0.0071 | Zm00001d037550 | 22.77 | 7.195 | -1.662 | 0.00025 |
| Zm00001d020697 | 6.202 | 19.06 | 1.61949 | 0.0002 | Zm00001d016242 | 2.604 | 0.823 | -1.66134 | 0.00415 |
| Zm00001d006903 | 50.12 | 155.2 | 1.63026 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d012090 | 408 | 129.1 | -1.6599 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d029920 | 4.766 | 14.8 | 1.63511 | 0.0002 | Zm00001d040697 | 302.6 | 95.99 | -1.65636 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d006059 | 21.7 | 67.42 | 1.63553 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d010640 | 34.86 | 11.11 | -1.64999 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d041634 | 2.851 | 8.873 | 1.63781 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d027435 | 7.656 | 2.446 | -1.64638 | 0.002 |
| Zm00001d024885 | 17.16 | 53.44 | 1.63903 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d041308 | 3.935 | 1.264 | -1.6385 | 0.0003 |
| Zm00001d042541 | 59.87 | 187.3 | 1.64571 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d009666 | 26.24 | 8.437 | -1.6369 | 0.0001 |
| Zm00001d006948 | 3.216 | 10.07 | 1.64623 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d018428 | 15.22 | 4.897 | -1.63564 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d05168 | 2.17 | 6.81 | 1.64748 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d003283 | 4.942 | 1.591 | -1.63552 | 0.00775 |
| Zm00001d052260 | 12.52 | 39.24 | 1.64818 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d011428 | 7.127 | 2.297 | -1.6334 | 0.00615 |
| Zm00001d006 | 1.2 | 3.94 | 1.64863 | 0.00745 | Zm00001d035605 | 329.4 | 106.2 | -1.63232 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d034823 | 3.596 | 11.3 | 1.65158 | 0.0002 | Zm00001d012929 | 5.192 | 1.675 | -1.63221 | 0.002 |
| Zm00001d000640 | 10.8 | 33.98 | 1.65238 | 0.00765 | Zm00001d018901 | 6.881 | 2.23 | -1.6253 | 0.0051 |
| Zm00001d011687 | 1.228 | 3.866 | 1.6544 | 0.0067 | Zm00001d021596 | 136.1 | 44.17 | -1.62373 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d021420 | 12.92 | 40.69 | 1.65488 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d021016 | 40.72 | 13.24 | -1.62147 | 0.00035 |
| Zm00001d046112 | 42.92 | 136.2 | 1.66607 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d052793 | 5.705 | 1.855 | -1.62126 | 0.0032 |
| Zm00001d043782 | 5.369 | 17.23 | 1.6825 | 0.00375 | Zm00001d025015 | 582.9 | 190.4 | -1.61399 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d028400 | 8.445 | 27.12 | 1.68307 | 0.00105 | Zm00001d048667 | 22.67 | 7.412 | -1.61273 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d042307 | 6.067 | 19.53 | 1.68639 | 0.0013 | Zm00001d051634 | 7.25 | 2.371 | -1.61255 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d022226 | 2.13 | 6.93 | 1.69846 | 0.0046 | Zm00001d025654 | 14.28 | 4.677 | -1.61077 | 0.00245 |
| Zm00001d026406 | 5.733 | 18.61 | 1.69881 | 0.001 | Zm00001d005594 | 12.93 | 4.24 | -1.6088 | 0.0015 |
| Zm00001d026206 | 0.703 | 2.29 | 1.70662 | 0.00485 | Zm00001d034277 | 8.154 | 2.677 | -1.60679 | 0.0019 |
| Zm00001d017597 | 4.334 | 14.18 | 1.71028 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d037721 | 6.193 | 2.034 | -1.60655 | 0.00825 |
| Zm00001d043411 | 8.225 | 27.11 | 1.72069 | 0.0001 | Zm00001d038675 | 8.608 | 2.833 | -1.6035 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d041670 | 9.252 | 30.53 | 1.72248 | 0.00045 | Zm00001d027938 | 67.36 | 22.22 | -1.60023 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d025834 | 1.191 | 3.939 | 1.72595 | 0.0017 | Zm00001d018431 | 7.546 | 2.494 | -1.59692 | 0.0003 |
| Zm00001d005337 | 2.346 | 7.9 | 1.75159 | 0.0049 | Zm00001d046207 | 7.414 | 2.452 | -1.59654 | 0.00595 |
| Zm00001d032363 | 3.945 | 13.33 | 1.75709 | 0.00175 | Zm00001d022965 | 8.81 | 2.914 | -1.59618 | 0.00245 |
| Zm00001d003494 | 17.43 | 59.44 | 1.77004 | 0.00285 | Zm00001d042349 | 10.98 | 3.637 | -1.59445 | 0.00205 |
| Zm00001d053684 | 2.661 | 9.084 | 1.77151 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d022270 | 27.01 | 8.947 | -1.59402 | 0.00055 |
| Zm00001d013810 | 62.78 | 214.8 | 1.77442 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d043650 | 53.87 | 17.86 | -1.59293 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d047483 | 1.677 | 5.739 | 1.77449 | 0.0016 | Zm00001d051847 | 6.016 | 1.995 | -1.59254 | 0.0083 |


| Zm00001d024489 | 2.117 | 7.313 | 1.78886 | 0.00315 | Zm00001d020378 | 14.82 | 4.917 | -1.59153 | 0.00005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zm00001d006106 | 16.59 | 57.68 | 1.79772 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d004918 | 5.107 | 1.697 | -1.5892 | 0.008 |
| Zm00001d04743 | 2.464 | 8.615 | 1.80559 | 0.0038 | Zm00001d044430 | 5.12 | 1.704 | -1.58857 | 0.00025 |
| Zm00001d015053 | 0.831 | 2.907 | 1.80679 | 0.0073 | Zm00001d024630 | 5.735 | 1.907 | -1.58831 | 0.0062 |
| Zm00001d02423 | 11.95 | 42.15 | 1.81839 | 0.0000 | Zm00001d012292 | 10.65 | 3.545 | -1.58669 | 0.0084 |
| Zm00001d008756 | 3.16 | 11.25 | 1.83216 | 0.0087 | Zm00001d042244 | 3.039 | 1.013 | -1.58494 | 0.00155 |
| Zm00001d002132 | 11.42 | 41.08 | 1.847 | 0.00115 | Zm00001d020881 | 28.79 | 9.6 | -1.58435 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d045420 | 5.723 | 20.73 | 1.8569 | 0.0005 | Zm00001d043196 | 13.77 | 4.591 | -1.58418 | 0.00255 |
| Zm00001d005692 | 2.462 | 8.954 | 1.86298 | 0.00145 | Zm00001d043988 | 19.59 | 6.544 | -1.58202 | 0.0081 |
| Zm00001d020134 | 1.112 | 4.051 | 1.86482 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d032776 | 82.08 | 27.43 | -1.58144 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d044652 | 1.789 | 6.522 | 1.86655 | 0.0026 | Zm00001d045804 | 3.772 | 1.263 | -1.57871 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d018342 | 11.89 | 43.38 | 1.86775 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d010137 | 29.51 | 9.905 | -1.57484 | 0.00025 |
| Zm00001d053 | 43.7 | 160 | 1.87605 | 0.0000 | Zm00001d049588 | 4.319 | 1.455 | -1.56936 | 0.00645 |
| Zm00001d007892 | 20.77 | 76.26 | 1.87646 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d002199 | 2.945 | 0.995 | -1.56553 | 0.00595 |
| Zm00001d02 | 4.17 | 15 | 1.88 | 0.00 | Zm00001d045843 | 6.551 | 2.216 | -1.56343 | 0.0084 |
| Zm00001d018704 | 5.239 | 19.51 | 1.89709 | 0.0002 | Zm00001d003039 | 17.31 | 5.856 | -1.56328 | 0.0002 |
| Zm00001d02816 | 1.32 | 5 | 1.91533 | 0.0069 | Zm00001d012091 | 44.39 | 15.03 | -1.56236 | 0.0001 |
| Zm00001d047441 | 3.233 | 12.2 | 1.91606 | 0.00055 | Zm00001d025346 | 30.53 | 10.36 | -1.55932 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d048789 | 2.171 | 8.215 | 1.91981 | 0.0063 | Zm00001d025338 | 78.25 | 26.56 | -1.55879 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d040028 | 92.23 | 352.7 | 1.93521 | 0.0000 | Zm00001d036761 | 33.17 | 11.26 | -1.55834 | 0.00015 |
| Zm00001d034946 | 2.078 | 7.947 | 1.93525 | 0.0043 | Zm00001d010948 | 9.891 | 3.374 | -1.5518 | 0.00195 |
| Zm00001d023210 | 5.028 | 19.24 | 1.93598 | 0.0000 | Zm00001d053293 | 39.25 | 13.44 | -1.54604 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d027344 | 27.72 | 106.5 | 1.94138 | 0.0000 | Zm00001d017333 | 18.61 | 6.378 | -1.54502 | 0.0013 |
| Zm00001d00771 | 0.566 | 2.208 | 1.96371 | 0.0083 | Zm00001d045539 | 13.04 | 4.487 | -1.53902 | 0.0052 |
| Zm00001d041653 | 2.352 | 9.189 | 1.96611 | 0.00155 | Zm00001d034869 | 9.75 | 3.357 | -1.53801 | 0.0042 |
| Zm00001d050293 | 2.808 | 10.98 | 1.96703 | 0.0000 | Zm00001d015004 | 3.475 | 1.198 | -1.53589 | 0.00395 |
| Zm00001d031149 | 0.405 | 1.595 | 1.9756 | 0.0008 | Zm00001d041305 | 12.02 | 4.154 | -1.53353 | 0.0068 |
| Zm00001d038460 | 124.4 | 489.3 | 1.97578 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d010987 | 28.48 | 9.846 | -1.53237 | 0.0001 |
| Zm00001d005459 | 42.4 | 167.5 | 1.98233 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d020932 | 7.186 | 2.485 | -1.53205 | 0.00355 |
| Zm00001d024886 | 18.41 | 74.05 | 2.00795 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d021119 | 48.65 | 16.85 | -1.52973 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d007604 | 44.1 | 177.9 | 2.009 | 0.0000 | Zm00001d035246 | 64.62 | 22.38 | -1.52966 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d047579 | 8.291 | 33.4 | 2.01041 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d032849 | 15.22 | 5.302 | -1.52189 | 0.0048 |
| Zm00001d001076 | 31.5 | 129.3 | 2.0377 | 0.0000 | Zm00001d039081 | 55.42 | 19.3 | -1.52172 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d047124 | 2.118 | 8.698 | 2.03813 | 0.0026 | Zm00001d039908 | 56.92 | 19.83 | -1.5214 | 0.00215 |
| Zm00001d033412 | 6.1 | 25.52 | 2.06451 | 0.0002 | Zm00001d023596 | 20.7 | 7.234 | -1.51677 | 0.00125 |
| Zm00001d053916 | 16.35 | 69.3 | 2.0839 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d037015 | 3.871 | 1.355 | -1.51496 | 0.0066 |
| Zm00001d041544 | 8.899 | 38.12 | 2.09893 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d014447 | 11.72 | 4.103 | -1.51413 | 0.00065 |
| Zm00001d046805 | 7.118 | 31.07 | 2.12603 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d011315 | 7.736 | 2.713 | -1.51188 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d024014 | 4.641 | 20.29 | 2.12799 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d032024 | 26.63 | 9.343 | -1.51105 | 0.0012 |
| Zm00001d038453 | 189.8 | 835.5 | 2.13788 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d018161 | 182.8 | 64.16 | -1.51036 | 0.00005 |


| Zm00001d002847 | 1.408 | 6.198 | 2.13829 | 0.00335 | Zm00001d041397 | 14.2 | 4.985 | -1.51033 | 0.00015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zm00001d028230 | 13.57 | 60.05 | 2.14601 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d044261 | 14.37 | 5.046 | -1.51019 | 0.0002 |
| Zm00001d041458 | 2.411 | 10.73 | 2.15455 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d020686 | 13.39 | 4.717 | -1.50541 | 0.0033 |
| Zm00001d010061 | 19.6 | 88.11 | 2.16827 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d032570 | 29.71 | 10.49 | -1.50167 | 0.00035 |
| Zm00001d014030 | 0.479 | 2.189 | 2.19392 | 0.0071 | Zm00001d027700 | 14.19 | 5.012 | -1.50165 | 0.003 |
| Zm00001d018839 | 2.216 | 10.23 | 2.20714 | 0.00235 | Zm00001d003751 | 43.08 | 15.22 | -1.50089 | 0.00025 |
| Zm00001d008370 | 5.948 | 27.72 | 2.22058 | 0.0002 | Zm00001d023311 | 111.4 | 39.5 | -1.49575 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d026542 | 1.401 | 6.553 | 2.22619 | 0.0002 | Zm00001d019259 | 12.74 | 4.524 | -1.49375 | 0.0059 |
| Zm00001d042540 | 52.54 | 246.1 | 2.22772 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d051615 | 5.505 | 1.956 | -1.49278 | 0.0012 |
| Zm00001d053220 | 17.63 | 82.7 | 2.23009 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d001043 | 41.76 | 14.84 | -1.49273 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d024875 | 4.18 | 19.69 | 2.23618 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d020348 | 46.64 | 16.58 | -1.49193 | 0.00035 |
| Zm00001d021781 | 1.847 | 8.746 | 2.24366 | 0.00125 | Zm00001d011746 | 5.752 | 2.046 | -1.49104 | 0.0003 |
| Zm00001d002898 | 4.504 | 21.46 | 2.25214 | 0.00025 | Zm00001d004006 | 124.5 | 44.29 | -1.49095 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d048181 | 0.535 | 2.563 | 2.26124 | 0.0084 | Zm00001d028056 | 10.13 | 3.611 | -1.48745 | 0.00345 |
| Zm00001d028319 | 0.653 | 3.14 | 2.26518 | 0.00575 | Zm00001d006685 | 82.02 | 29.25 | -1.48735 | 0.00165 |
| Zm00001d008695 | 2.504 | 12.1 | 2.27254 | 0.0038 | Zm00001d010039 | 25.06 | 8.96 | -1.48371 | 0.00305 |
| Zm00001d037610 | 2.715 | 13.24 | 2.28579 | 0.00025 | Zm00001d011929 | 45.19 | 16.19 | -1.48066 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d028303 | 0.737 | 3.596 | 2.28637 | 0.0057 | Zm00001d028231 | 25.42 | 9.138 | -1.47618 | 0.001 |
| Zm00001d016948 | 5.89 | 28.83 | 2.29133 | 0.0053 | Zm00001d021688 | 4.591 | 1.651 | -1.47523 | 0.00295 |
| Zm00001d005456 | 0.954 | 4.691 | 2.29839 | 0.00085 | Zm00001d038618 | 31.01 | 11.17 | -1.4733 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d040027 | 32.36 | 159.5 | 2.30142 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d038229 | 16.26 | 5.881 | -1.46705 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d045130 | 2.825 | 14.04 | 2.31345 | 0.0001 | Zm00001d013026 | 13.17 | 4.786 | -1.46022 | 0.0001 |
| Zm00001d042062 | 3.026 | 15.29 | 2.33651 | 0.0013 | Zm00001d020955 | 28.31 | 10.29 | -1.45993 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d003395 | 42.86 | 217.9 | 2.34623 | 0.00015 | Zm00001d029732 | 23.42 | 8.514 | -1.45979 | 0.00115 |
| Zm00001d042730 | 4.869 | 24.83 | 2.35046 | 0.00235 | Zm00001d012887 | 12.51 | 4.551 | -1.45884 | 0.00305 |
| Zm00001d040029 | 62.29 | 318 | 2.35169 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d028482 | 10.26 | 3.741 | -1.45538 | 0.0076 |
| Zm00001d052340 | 4.224 | 21.9 | 2.37427 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d040173 | 35.92 | 13.13 | -1.4523 | 0.0001 |
| Zm00001d012712 | 1.04 | 5.391 | 2.3745 | 0.00235 | Zm00001d047276 | 56.1 | 20.5 | -1.4522 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d052124 | 8.379 | 43.69 | 2.38239 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d021073 | 10.48 | 3.833 | -1.4514 | 0.00485 |
| Zm00001d009060 | 1.193 | 6.232 | 2.38455 | 0.00085 | Zm00001d026619 | 119.2 | 43.58 | -1.45118 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d039691 | 1.471 | 7.686 | 2.38504 | 0.0002 | Zm00001d040303 | 20.43 | 7.488 | -1.44767 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d028744 | 27.43 | 144.3 | 2.39525 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d009567 | 27.14 | 9.95 | -1.44753 | 0.00815 |
| Zm00001d019985 | 0.77 | 4.059 | 2.39779 | 0.0058 | Zm00001d027925 | 298.6 | 109.5 | -1.44738 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d026163 | 4.103 | 21.74 | 2.4054 | 0.00015 | Zm00001d046996 | 320 | 117.3 | -1.44728 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d051473 | 1.414 | 7.639 | 2.43345 | 0.0087 | Zm00001d044664 | 53.5 | 19.62 | -1.44719 | 0.0085 |
| Zm00001d045907 | 0.93 | 5.063 | 2.44451 | 0.00015 | Genes downregulated in the resistant genotype |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d032909 | 3.485 | 19.03 | 2.44858 | 0.00005 | Gene id | RAI | RAU | $\log 2$ fold change | P value |
| Zm00001d016237 | 7.109 | 38.89 | 2.45172 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d006010 | 94.36 | 257.4 | 1.44781 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d028282 | 1.552 | 8.561 | 2.46351 | 0.00175 | Zm00001d052591 | 2.744 | 7.497 | 1.44984 | 0.00385 |


| Zm00001d0137 | 1.592 | 8.793 | 2.46553 | 0.0007 | Zm00001d02 | 1.2 | 3.391 | 5601 | 00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zm00001d002133 | 5.005 | 27.81 | 2.47412 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d024693 | 0.579 | 1.598 | 1.4647 | 0.00375 |
| Zm00001d018237 | 1.216 | 6.898 | 2.50361 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d031958 | 6.771 | 18.78 | 1.4721 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d009494 | 3.164 | 18.26 | 2.52884 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d011401 | 5.222 | 14.53 | 1.47607 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d003226 | 0.634 | 3.724 | 2.55414 | 0.0065 | Zm00001d003859 | 0.77 | 2.147 | 1.4804 | 0.00845 |
| Zm00001d022371 | 3.999 | 23.56 | 2.55886 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d033707 | 32.17 | 90.45 | 1.49124 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d039513 | 11.54 | 68.14 | 2.56232 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d045106 | 3.009 | 8.464 | 1.49211 | 0.00705 |
| Zm00001d010588 | 1.598 | 9.443 | 2.563 | 0.00075 | Zm00001d038301 | 0.883 | 2.49 | 1.4962 | 0.0036 |
| Zm00001d034713 | 2.294 | 13.72 | 2.57998 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d003757 | 31.52 | 89.11 | 1.49945 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d021775 | 16.74 | 100.6 | 2.58739 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d001276 | 16.21 | 46.39 | 1.51724 | 0.0022 |
| Zm00001d007606 | 3.48 | 21.38 | 2.61932 | 0.0005 | Zm00001d006875 | 1.442 | 4.127 | 1.51726 | 0.0028 |
| Zm00001d037637 | 3.74 | 23.79 | 2.66923 | 0.00165 | Zm00001d006365 | 4.448 | 12.91 | 1.53727 | 0.00315 |
| Zm00001d004921 | 11.55 | 73.85 | 2.67683 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d046109 | 8.097 | 23.54 | 1.53975 | 0.00395 |
| Zm00001d031167 | 7.302 | 46.99 | 2.68597 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d038538 | 7.699 | 22.54 | 1.55005 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d048841 | 88.51 | 572.2 | 2.69264 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d026395 | 0.676 | 1.987 | 1.55616 | 0.0077 |
| Zm00001d009646 | 1.141 | 7.431 | 2.70295 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d044153 | 6.002 | 17.66 | 1.55696 | 0.0001 |
| Zm00001d022457 | 2.599 | 17.08 | 2.71659 | 0.0001 | Zm00001d032253 | 2.52 | 7.415 | 1.55698 | 0.0028 |
| Zm00001d025140 | 1.4 | 9.5 | 2. | 0.00 | Zm00001d008794 | 1.923 | 5.668 | 1.55975 | 0.00785 |
| Zm00001d002564 | 3.022 | 20.85 | 2.7866 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d028742 | 16.32 | 48.2 | 1.56263 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d00858 | 8.83 | 62.22 | 2.81673 | 0.0000 | Zm00001d053702 | 0.934 | 2.798 | 1.58343 | 0.0006 |
| Zm00001d018281 | 2.192 | 15.54 | 2.82556 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d017539 | 0.974 | 2.922 | 1.5849 | 0.00105 |
| Zm00001d017292 | 3.717 | 26.36 | 2.82624 | 0.000 | Zm00001d024522 | 37.51 | 112.5 | 1.58525 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d018789 | 0.708 | 5.061 | 2.83796 | 0.0008 | Zm00001d041173 | 48.51 | 148.6 | 1.61517 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d004486 | 0.618 | 4.573 | 2.88761 | 0.0006 | Zm00001d007768 | 2.335 | 7.18 | 1.62046 | 0.00075 |
| Zm00001d034673 | 19.04 | 142.6 | 2.90551 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d012391 | 1.719 | 5.307 | 1.62661 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d010131 | 0.448 | 3.366 | 2.90829 | 0.0085 | Zm00001d025752 | 2.906 | 9.039 | 1.63727 | 0.00425 |
| Zm00001d01615 | 1.142 | 8.651 | 2.92121 | 0.0022 | Zm00001d053183 | 0.704 | 2.211 | 1.65082 | 0.00835 |
| Zm00001d021702 | 1.829 | 13.98 | 2.9345 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d038065 | 4.341 | 13.7 | 1.65809 | 0.0083 |
| Zm00001d044762 | 5.073 | 45.74 | 3.17244 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d033061 | 1.67 | 5.279 | 1.66078 | 0.00245 |
| Zm00001d017025 | 1.494 | 13.64 | 3.18986 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d010442 | 0.797 | 2.531 | 1.66809 | 0.00115 |
| Zm00001d038699 | 8.75 | 88.01 | 3.33031 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d017036 | 9.23 | 29.34 | 1.66834 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d003304 | 6.312 | 65.7 | 3.3797 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d027355 | 35.49 | 113 | 1.67063 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d003306 | 3.875 | 44.82 | 3.53165 | 0.00025 | Zm00001d053367 | 1.256 | 4.019 | 1.67779 | 0.0051 |
| Zm00001d047744 | 1.657 | 20.39 | 3.62114 | 0.0001 | Zm00001d052029 | 1.957 | 6.261 | 1.67801 | 0.0037 |
| Zm00001d012456 | 12.79 | 158.7 | 3.63297 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d005460 | 13.43 | 43.39 | 1.69163 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d034382 | 38.73 | 504.2 | 3.70259 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d032923 | 9.2 | 30.48 | 1.72811 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d022032 | 15.33 | 202.9 | 3.72619 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d017559 | 9.489 | 31.55 | 1.73346 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d044765 | 0.33 | 4.826 | 3.87045 | 0.0018 | Zm00001d040629 | 0.971 | 3.24 | 1.73763 | 0.0029 |
| Zm00001d029183 | 0.229 | 4.323 | 4.23871 | 0.00795 | Zm00001d034819 | 0.675 | 2.255 | 1.73953 | 0.00835 |
| Zm00001d038288 | 5.612 | 123.4 | 4.45892 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d041956 | 0.867 | 2.917 | 1.74985 | 0.00475 |


| Zm00001d014757 | 0 | 2.406 | 100 | 0.0023 | Zm00001d010912 | 2.209 | 7.434 | 1.75074 | 0.00695 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zm00001d017478 | 0 | 3.07 | 100 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d012757 | 1.733 | 5.868 | 1.75928 | 0.0028 |
| Zm00001d018811 | 0 | 2.509 | 100 | 0.00035 | Zm00001d016601 | 0.649 | 2.226 | 1.77822 | 0.0034 |
| Zm00001d019364 | 0 | 1.943 | 100 | 0.00625 | Zm00001d009716 | 1.99 | 6.863 | 1.78623 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d022650 | 0 | 1.855 | 100 | 0.00015 | Zm00001d048469 | 1.236 | 4.298 | 1.79798 | 0.00835 |
| Zm00001d023120 | 0 | 3.778 | 100 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d020443 | 12.63 | 43.96 | 1.79943 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d027636 | 0 | 3.121 | 100 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d033005 | 2.77 | 9.704 | 1.80883 | 0.00075 |
| Zm00001d028314 | 0 | 2.954 | 100 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d003368 | 1.409 | 4.968 | 1.81828 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d029583 | 0 | 2.174 | 100 | 0.0002 | Zm00001d047110 | 9.966 | 35.74 | 1.84247 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d033389 | 0 | 3.323 | 100 | 0.00505 | Zm00001d034678 | 2.011 | 7.269 | 1.8541 | 0.0004 |
| Zm00001d033737 | 0 | 3.683 | 100 | 0.0004 | Zm00001d041168 | 3.277 | 11.86 | 1.85578 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d036298 | 0 | 4.835 | 100 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d017874 | 2.489 | 9.062 | 1.86438 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d037608 | 0 | 3.645 | 100 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d031569 | 29.05 | 106.7 | 1.87691 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d039929 | 0 | 1.981 | 100 | 0.00045 | Zm00001d013370 | 3.866 | 14.28 | 1.88474 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d041939 | 0 | 2.46 | 100 | 0.0004 | Zm00001d002295 | 9.231 | 34.16 | 1.88787 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d041981 | 0 | 2.028 | 100 | 0.00085 | Zm00001d038598 | 5.68 | 21.09 | 1.89266 | 0.00145 |
| Zm00001d046988 | 0 | 1.547 | 100 | 0.00705 | Zm00001d031993 | 1.179 | 4.407 | 1.90183 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d047231 | 0 | 2.256 | 100 | 0.00055 | Zm00001d040379 | 2.902 | 10.92 | 1.91252 | 0.00525 |
| Zm00001d048998 | 0 | 1.864 | 100 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d029997 | 3.995 | 15.32 | 1.93945 | 0.0002 |
| Zm00001d052322 | 0 | 2.296 | 100 | 0.00505 | Zm00001d027932 | 7.062 | 27.11 | 1.94051 | 0.00205 |
| Zm00001d052915 | 0 | 23.06 | 100 | 0.00005 | Zm00001d011782 | 1.461 | 5.62 | 1.9433 | 0.0007 |
| Zm00001d053042 | 0 | 2.78 | 100 | 0.00545 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d013294 | 1.275 | 4.947 | 1.9559 | 0.00185 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d042323 | 1.722 | 6.698 | 1.95967 | 0.00085 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d002592 | 0.98 | 3.826 | 1.96456 | 0.0075 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d029195 | 1.047 | 4.117 | 1.97604 | 0.00295 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d049336 | 5.682 | 22.91 | 2.01145 | 0.00005 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d002823 | 4.786 | 19.34 | 2.01496 | 0.00005 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d011778 | 1.987 | 8.069 | 2.0219 | 0.00025 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d008548 | 21.28 | 86.85 | 2.02904 | 0.00005 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d048494 | 0.827 | 3.431 | 2.05347 | 0.00005 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d021095 | 3.449 | 14.43 | 2.06463 | 0.0001 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d027525 | 1.995 | 8.371 | 2.06902 | 0.0044 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d051362 | 100.3 | 422.6 | 2.0756 | 0.00005 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d031332 | 120.1 | 513.4 | 2.09584 | 0.00005 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d003059 | 1.958 | 8.415 | 2.10379 | 0.00025 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d027335 | 0.922 | 3.974 | 2.10741 | 0.00245 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d040589 | 4.15 | 18.14 | 2.12798 | 0.00005 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d022458 | 2.718 | 12.01 | 2.14374 | 0.00005 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d019312 | 15.14 | 67.2 | 2.14968 | 0.00005 |  |  |  |  |  |


| Zm00001d041663 | 3.323 | 14.76 | 2.15065 | 0.00245 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Zm00001d017908 | 0.82 | 3.673 | 2.16314 | 0.0006 |
| Zm00001d014758 | 4.124 | 18.9 | 2.19647 | 0.00085 |
| Zm00001d020383 | 33.2 | 152.5 | 2.19972 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d020898 | 30.96 | 143.4 | 2.21115 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d002409 | 1.293 | 6.051 | 2.22643 | 0.0014 |
| Zm00001d005898 | 10.55 | 50.65 | 2.26326 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d018056 | 1.318 | 6.328 | 2.26362 | 0.0038 |
| Zm00001d043741 | 1.469 | 7.075 | 2.268 | 0.00415 |
| Zm00001d027900 | 3.818 | 18.43 | 2.27112 | 0.00025 |
| Zm00001d001575 | 4.315 | 20.85 | 2.27271 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d024982 | 1.21 | 6.003 | 2.31091 | 0.0031 |
| Zm00001d033063 | 2.488 | 12.58 | 2.33797 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d026412 | 1.776 | 9.522 | 2.42268 | 0.0031 |
| Zm00001d015227 | 6.79 | 37.37 | 2.46058 | 0.003 |
| Zm00001d041985 | 0.977 | 5.515 | 2.49745 | 0.0084 |
| Zm00001d040308 | 1.075 | 6.595 | 2.61744 | 0.00645 |
| Zm00001d015921 | 0.874 | 5.435 | 2.63605 | 0.00155 |
| Zm00001d012710 | 3.166 | 20.42 | 2.68914 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d026413 | 3.741 | 25.55 | 2.772 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d018621 | 1.968 | 13.82 | 2.81229 | 0.00035 |
| Zm00001d002738 | 3.137 | 23.6 | 2.91145 | 0.0021 |
| Zm00001d053079 | 0.775 | 6.147 | 2.98784 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d015560 | 1.23 | 9.87 | 3.00396 | 0.00005 |
| Zm00001d016189 | 5.406 | 46.7 | 3.11097 | 0.0021 |
| Zm00001d027443 | 1.578 | 15.74 | 3.31854 | 0.00625 |
| Zm00001d032000 | 1.069 | 10.75 | 3.32989 | 0.00035 |
| Zm00001d053304 | 0.266 | 3.483 | 3.7098 | 0.0002 |
| Zm00001d029038 | 0.986 | 13.42 | 3.76785 | 0.0014 |
| Zm00001d022906 | 0 | 1.926 | 100 | 0.0079 |
| Zm0001d032287 | 0 | 2.312 | 100 | 0.00005 |
| 043837 | 0 | 4.054 | 100 | 0.00005 |

Appendix 5: List of differentially expressed genes at the third time period (22 days post infestation)

Genes Present in both genotypes

| Gene id | SCI | SCU | $\log 2$ fold change | P value | Gene id | RCI | RCU | $\log 2$ <br> fold <br> change | P value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d018742 | 24.098 | 2.029 | -3.56976 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d018742 | 20.142 | 7.10411 | 1.50351 | 0.0015 |
| Zm00001d003059 | 0.6181 | 1.932 | 1.64432 | 0.0056 | Zm00001d003059 | 0.4333 | 4.6513 | 3.42406 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| Zm00001d033710 | 29.021 | 117 | 2.01153 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d033710 | 1.126 | 13.5314 | 3.58708 | 0.0065 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d048709 | 14.323 | 1.488 | -3.2665 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d048709 | 12.177 | 3.67425 | 1.72866 | 0.0052 |
| Zm00001d016237 | 1.755 | 13.51 | 2.94416 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d016237 | 0.9511 | 16.2028 | 4.09052 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d010521 | 17.665 | 3.687 | -2.26044 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d010521 | 9.5971 | 3.4893 | 1.45966 | 0.004 |
| Zm00001d001043 | 2.9343 | 9.152 | 1.64105 | 0.0047 | Zm00001d001043 | 2.7185 | 14.3606 | 2.40125 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d049525 | 15.784 | 3.426 | -2.20364 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | Zm00001d049525 | 11.233 | 4.05447 | 1.47016 | 0.00395 |
| Zm00001d037550 | 20.197 | 4.595 | -2.13599 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d037550 | 20.494 | 7.4809 | -1.4539 | 0.00055 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d039935 | 443.25 | 40.74 | -3.44376 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d039935 | 143.09 | 19.6759 | 2.86239 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d027305 | 4.0241 | 11.54 | 1.51955 | 0.00175 | Zm00001d027305 | 5.2498 | 22.0069 | 2.06763 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d052733 | 37.505 | 9.003 | -2.05867 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d052733 | 16.899 | 5.87324 | $-1.5247$ | 0.00015 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d023225 | 124.76 | 31.86 | -1.96945 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d023225 | 45.603 | 16.6893 | 1.45021 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d047799 | 17.839 | 3.544 | -2.33156 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d047799 | 19.573 | 5.43867 | 1.84755 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d032776 | 94.197 | 20.65 | -2.18976 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d032776 | 56.412 | 17.2346 | 1.71071 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d029814 | 5.8628 | 0.867 | -2.75759 | 0.00735 | Zm00001d029814 | 11.601 | 2.32892 | 2.31652 | 0.00495 |
| Zm00001d009351 | 2.6643 | 8.457 | 1.66632 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | Zm00001d009351 | 1.5118 | 6.20227 | 2.03657 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d020257 | 10.738 | 2.865 | -1.90585 | 0.00015 | Zm00001d020257 | 7.1441 | 2.45001 | 1.54397 | 0.00525 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d029478 | 13.512 | 3.855 | -1.80938 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d029478 | 12.015 | 4.35118 | 1.46541 | 0.00295 |
| Zm00001d005775 | 85.915 | 20.4 | -2.07443 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d005775 | 58.362 | 17.5566 | -1.733 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d020531 | 72.686 | 16.64 | -2.12709 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d020531 | 46.529 | 13.3934 | 1.79662 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |


| Zm00001d009494 | 5.0081 | 14.65 | 1.54898 | 0.00015 | Zm00001d009494 | 1.6886 | 6.19391 | 1.87499 | $3.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zm00001d049204 | 5.4166 | 15.79 | 1.54394 | 0.00045 | Zm00001d049204 | 4.5029 | 16.222 | 1.84902 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d011840 | 8.0856 | 2.308 | -1.80865 | 0.0028 | Zm00001d011840 | 12.085 | 4.103 | 1.55842 | 0.0052 |
| Zm00001d031127 | 61.098 | 14.61 | -2.06429 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d031127 | 11.166 | 3.16947 | -1.8168 | 0.00475 |
| Zm00001d020378 | 6.6036 | 18.2 | 1.46296 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d020378 | 3.9912 | 12.6644 | 1.66586 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| Zm00001d043019 | 29.303 | 8.17 | -1.84256 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d043019 | 10.878 | 3.40789 | -1.6745 | 0.00085 |
| Zm00001d042143 | 4.2399 | 14.71 | 1.79485 | 0.00155 | Zm00001d042143 | 9.109 | 35.2938 | 1.95405 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d028599 | 12.24 | 2.811 | -2.12251 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d028599 | 7.6577 | 1.95661 | 1.96856 | 0.00045 |
| Zm00001d009726 | 0.728 | 5.456 | 2.90575 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d009726 | 0.5806 | 4.77039 | 3.03842 | 0.00155 |
| Zm00001d024734 | 43.856 | 11.52 | -1.92878 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d024734 | 7.3229 | 2.06325 | -1.8275 | 0.0054 |
| Zm00001d024784 | 27.092 | 8.606 | -1.65449 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d024784 | 13.701 | 4.65315 | -1.558 | 0.00015 |
| Zm00001d047201 | 6.072 | 19.84 | 1.70803 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d047201 | 3.0357 | 10.4883 | 1.78868 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d042906 | 18.45 | 5.103 | $-1.85408$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d042906 | 8.1181 | 2.33889 | 1.79531 | 0.00075 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d048705 | 117.21 | 40.03 | $-1.55005$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d048705 | 129.21 | 44.9411 | 1.52366 | 0.00015 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d009506 | 19.928 | 5.493 | -1.85909 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d009506 | 15.173 | 4.20308 | 1.85198 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d038296 | 1.3979 | 4.115 | 1.5577 | 0.00785 | Zm00001d038296 | 1.362 | 4.02178 | 1.56215 | 0.0022 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d051442 | 5.3324 | 1.879 | -1.50459 | 0.00555 | Zm00001d051442 | 5.3983 | 1.85288 | 1.54272 | 0.00255 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d029391 | 7.974 | 1.742 | -2.19425 | 0.00025 | Zm00001d029391 | 3.8179 | 0.80381 | 2.24786 | 0.0026 |
| Zm00001d038702 | 49.207 | 171.1 | 1.79786 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d038702 | 68.575 | 222.945 | 1.70093 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d038703 | 73.873 | 256.3 | 1.79462 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d038703 | 101.13 | 325.099 | 1.68461 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d009646 | 0.7139 | 3.218 | 2.17224 | 0.0039 | Zm00001d009646 | 0.4939 | 2.03162 | 2.04044 | 0.0082 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d041712 | 8.6843 | 2.986 | -1.54017 | 0.00395 | Zm00001d041712 | 18.495 | 5.53655 | 1.74006 | 0.00025 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d005570 | 73.778 | 24.73 | -1.57699 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d005570 | 31.928 | 9.27957 | 1.78268 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d048073 | 17.052 | 3.198 | -2.41468 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d048073 | 4.3173 | 0.68331 | 2.65951 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d002295 | 6.9223 | 32.56 | 2.23394 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d002295 | 15.333 | 57.6954 | 1.91179 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d033516 | 19.906 | 7.009 | $-1.50582$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | Zm00001d033516 | 7.0771 | 1.8992 | 1.89777 | 0.00145 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d040173 | 27.33 | 9.157 | -1.57753 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d040173 | 15.075 | 3.73662 | 2.01237 | 0.00025 |
| Zm00001d048050 | 31.207 | 135.7 | 2.12085 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d048050 | 48.685 | 151.244 | 1.63533 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |


| Zm00001d003016 | 129.91 | 43.61 | -1.57486 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d003016 | 58.981 | 14.0157 | -2.0732 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d031275 | 45.995 | 12.37 | -1.89436 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d031275 | 23.2 | 4.38209 | 2.40444 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d004243 | 69.455 | 7.581 | -3.19564 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d004243 | 6.7318 | 0.50974 | 3.72316 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d041656 | 6.8599 | 32.72 | 2.25386 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d041656 | 9.9269 | 31.5481 | 1.66814 | 0.00055 |
| Zm00001d028693 | 36.442 | 166.3 | 2.19009 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d028693 | 5.8747 | 17.2577 | 1.55465 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d047830 | 0.4016 | 2.182 | 2.44164 | 0.00165 | Zm00001d047830 | 1.848 | 5.8693 | 1.66719 | 0.0016 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d027313 | 7.8746 | 1.392 | -2.49958 | 0.0021 | Zm00001d027313 | 14.662 | 1.44766 | 3.34025 | $3.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| Zm00001d003994 | 1.6493 | 14.98 | 3.1834 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d003994 | 1.3939 | 5.71634 | 2.03599 | 0.0037 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d039936 | 171.3 | 16.97 | -3.33569 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d039936 | 53.614 | 1.9288 | 4.79682 | 0.00485 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d014617 | 18.289 | 6.335 | -1.52949 | 0.0086 | Zm00001d014617 | 19.88 | 2.18365 | 3.18652 | 0.00035 |
| Zm00001d047736 | 8.2096 | 117 | 3.83259 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d047736 | 11.297 | 49.1034 | 2.11989 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d024903 | 24.612 | 7.23 | -1.76731 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d024903 | 20.884 | 1.12182 | 4.21846 | 5.00E-05 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d004413 | 11.619 | 35.14 | 1.5965 | $3.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | Zm00001d004413 | 25.363 | 6.86872 | 1.88459 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d034839 | 2.2029 | 6.258 | 1.50633 | 0.0041 | Zm00001d034839 | 3.4675 | 0.86655 | 2.00053 | 0.00775 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d032003 | 1.6503 | 6.828 | 2.04882 | 0.00525 | Zm00001d032003 | 11.001 | 3.66552 | 1.58548 | 0.0077 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d047658 | 6.1049 | 16.84 | 1.46373 | 0.0069 | Zm00001d047658 | 26.689 | 1.91057 | 3.80418 | 0.00045 |
| Zm00001d009765 | 1.3196 | 4.027 | 1.6095 | 0.00015 | Zm00001d009765 | 25.174 | 1.01928 | -4.6263 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d047548 | 10.934 | 2.001 | -2.4499 | 0.00615 | Zm00001d047548 | 5.2223 | 0 | - | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d047841 | 20.304 | 2.777 | -2.87034 | 0.00035 | Zm00001d047841 | 2.7202 | 0 | - | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ |

Genes upregulated in the susceptible genotype
Genes upregulated in the resistant genotype

## $\log 2$ <br> fold

| Gene_id | SBI | SBU | change | P value | Gene_id.1 | RBI | RBU | change | P value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Zm00001d003767 | 2.3978 | 0 | - | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d002347 | 2.256 | 0 | - | 0.005 |
| Zm00001d004894 | 2.6126 | 0 | - | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d003549 | 2.6455 | 0 | - | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d005446 | 2.1628 | 0 | - | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d005029 | 3.0561 | 0 | - | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d006111 | 3.4382 | 0 | - | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d005037 | 2.0548 | 0 | - | 0.00775 |
| Zm00001d008814 | 1.7818 | 0 | - | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d005375 | 1.8008 | 0 | - | $3.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| Zm00001d009589 | 3.9626 | 0 | - | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d005381 | 2.9139 | 0 | - | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |


| Zm00001d010102 | 15.226 | 0 | - | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d005766 | 2.5864 | 0 | - | 0.00205 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zm00001d011285 | 3.2912 | 0 | - | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d005819 | 3.23 | 0 | - | $6.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| Zm00001d018913 | 28.657 | 0 | - | 0.0021 | Zm00001d006119 | 1.9953 | 0 | - | 0.00415 |
| Zm00001d018914 | 3.9221 | 0 | - | 0.0017 | Zm00001d006882 | 2.8924 | 0 | - | 0.0075 |
| Zm00001d020364 | 2.1836 | 0 | - | $6.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | Zm00001d008397 | 2.3134 | 0 | - | 0.00205 |
| Zm00001d020877 | 1.8581 | 0 | - | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d009446 | 3.8415 | 0 | - | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d021906 | 1.9888 | 0 | - | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d010575 | 3.0588 | 0 | - | 0.00495 |
| Zm00001d023559 | 1.6828 | 0 | - | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d011050 | 4.1598 | 0 | - | 0.00285 |
| Zm00001d028432 | 4.942 | 0 | - | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d011543 | 2.3027 | 0 | - | 0.00435 |
| Zm00001d028880 | 114.64 | 0 | - | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d013208 | 2.0553 | 0 | - | 0.00015 |
| Zm00001d033008 | 2.7007 | 0 | - | 0.0027 | Zm00001d013517 | 2.2425 | 0 | - | 0.00045 |
| Zm00001d039942 | 3.7486 | 0 | - | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d016379 | 3.2255 | 0 | - | 0.00025 |
| Zm00001d041819 | 5.058 | 0 | - | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d016697 | 4.607 | 0 | - | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d043299 | 2.2506 | 0 | - | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | Zm00001d017477 | 2.7317 | 0 | - | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d044925 | 22.72 | 0 | - | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d018326 | 1.8189 | 0 | - | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d046786 | 2.1677 | 0 | - | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d018433 | 14.288 | 0 | - | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d051894 | 1.6253 | 0 | - | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | Zm00001d019216 | 1.8918 | 0 | - | $4.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| Zm00001d034124 | 4.0285 | 1.478 | -1.44644 | 0.00655 | Zm00001d020195 | 1.6608 | 0 | - | 0.00355 |
| Zm00001d017785 | 7.6951 | 2.819 | -1.44858 | 0.0015 | Zm00001d021591 | 2.617 | 0 | - | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d021304 | 75.091 | 27.48 | -1.45042 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d022210 | 4.5963 | 0 | - | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d028363 | 23.491 | 8.579 | -1.45326 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d022637 | 1.9706 | 0 | - | $3.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| Zm00001d019944 | 11.921 | 4.318 | -1.46518 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | Zm00001d024200 | 1.8742 | 0 | - | $9.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| Zm00001d019507 | 127.11 | 45.95 | -1.46797 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d025406 | 3.6124 | 0 | - | 0.00225 |
| Zm00001d032715 | 6.5302 | 2.36 | -1.46845 | $6.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | Zm00001d026262 | 2.2336 | 0 | - | $9.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| Zm00001d039144 | 90.627 | 32.74 | -1.46876 | $3.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | Zm00001d027345 | 2.939 | 0 | - | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| Zm00001d034175 | 13.417 | 4.841 | -1.4707 | $6.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | Zm00001d027387 | 2.8392 | 0 | - | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d002358 | 21.569 | 7.756 | -1.47566 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d029028 | 3.6037 | 0 | - | 0.00015 |
| Zm00001d011274 | 16.567 | 5.949 | -1.47754 | $9.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | Zm00001d029599 | 1.6488 | 0 | - | 0.0012 |
| Zm00001d031822 | 63.477 | 22.77 | -1.47907 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | Zm00001d030698 | 3.4757 | 0 | - | $4.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| Zm00001d010066 | 6.7445 | 2.417 | -1.48028 | 0.0023 | Zm00001d031423 | 3.0012 | 0 | - | 0.00095 |
| Zm00001d045833 | 26.77 | 9.582 | -1.48218 | 0.0024 | Zm00001d031431 | 4.5102 | 0 | - | 0.00045 |
| Zm00001d024825 | 2.0436 | 0.731 | -1.48291 | 0.00035 | Zm00001d031806 | 2.4581 | 0 | - | 0.00345 |
| Zm00001d034779 | 2.3358 | 0.833 | -1.48761 | 0.00285 | Zm00001d031852 | 3.808 | 0 | - | 0.00775 |
| Zm00001d052030 | 1.7794 | 0.633 | -1.49178 | 0.00505 | Zm00001d033531 | 5.8802 | 0 | - | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d045582 | 5.2714 | 1.872 | -1.49395 | 0.0067 | Zm00001d033680 | 2.3061 | 0 | - | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d053356 | 8.3698 | 2.969 | -1.49519 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d035559 | 2.3651 | 0 | - | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d016683 | 7.2727 | 2.575 | -1.49798 | 0.00545 | Zm00001d035560 | 1.8863 | 0 | - | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d011088 | 8.6314 | 3.043 | $-1.50391$ | 0.0034 | Zm00001d035759 | 8.0999 | 0 | - | 0.00105 |
| Zm00001d042078 | 6.4744 | 2.277 | -1.50747 | 0.0071 | Zm00001d036597 | 2.1942 | 0 | - | 0.00325 |
| Zm00001d039946 | 12.529 | 4.389 | $-1.51322$ | 0.00085 | Zm00001d037361 | 2.6795 | 0 | - | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ |



| Zm00001d051704 | 6.8055 | 2.28 | -1.57795 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d023277 | 4.6643 | 1.67785 | 1.47506 | 0.00275 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d032971 | 4.5685 | 1.526 | -1.58187 | 0.00195 | Zm00001d030199 | 30.155 | 10.8061 | 1.48057 | 0.00135 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d044594 | 9.5452 | 3.184 | -1.584 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | Zm00001d018744 | 616.31 | 219.681 | 1.48824 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d053011 | 9.9601 | 3.309 | -1.58997 | 5.00E-05 | Zm00001d043615 | 19.89 | 7.05773 | 1.49479 | $6.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d037939 | 9.0752 | 3.01 | -1.59211 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d003712 | 148.37 | 52.4899 | 1.49904 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d017820 | 14.664 | 4.851 | -1.59578 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d040697 | 221.86 | 78.4645 | 1.49954 | 5.00E-05 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d049541 | 343.25 | 113.2 | -1.60035 | 5.00E-05 | Zm00001d032461 | 21.272 | 7.52102 | 1.49997 | $9.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d009586 | 5.5583 | 1.833 | -1.60072 | 0.0053 | Zm00001d052651 | 12.921 | 4.54428 | 1.50764 | 0.00415 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d047763 | 20.752 | 6.829 | -1.60349 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d017526 | 643.55 | 225.854 | 1.51065 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d047765 | 33.023 | 10.85 | -1.6052 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d015589 | 10.221 | 3.5604 | -1.5214 | 0.0039 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d043737 | 18.79 | 6.168 | -1.60714 | 5.00E-05 | Zm00001d049510 | 54.788 | 19.0505 | 1.52402 | 5.00E-05 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d047981 | 24.175 | 7.932 | -1.60775 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d019280 | 56.203 | 19.5191 | 1.52577 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d031619 | 22.505 | 7.378 | -1.60885 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d024382 | 42.643 | 14.8082 | 1.52591 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d005394 | 106.09 | 34.78 | -1.60897 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d041663 | 28.223 | 9.78755 | 1.52787 | 0.00035 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d015124 | 4.3429 | 1.422 | -1.61075 | 0.00055 | Zm00001d013003 | 23.801 | 8.24803 | 1.52892 | 8.00E-04 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d032172 | 2.5141 | 0.823 | -1.61094 | 0.0039 | Zm00001d019560 | 10.968 | 3.78345 | 1.53556 | 0.0012 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d038282 | 19.633 | 6.415 | -1.61374 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d028486 | 7.2853 | 2.49859 | 1.54386 | 0.00635 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d032955 | 32.396 | 10.55 | -1.61889 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d018028 | 6.8755 | 2.35699 | 1.54452 | 0.0064 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d044821 | 15.492 | 5.031 | -1.62274 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | Zm00001d028386 | 10.376 | 3.55371 | 1.54583 | $7.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d047806 | 17.713 | 5.74 | -1.62563 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d014507 | 6.0763 | 2.07334 | 1.55123 | 0.0015 |
| Zm00001d053313 | 2.9882 | 0.965 | -1.63046 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d039914 | 66.261 | 22.5263 | - | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |

### 1.55655

| Zm00001d022082 | 11.738 | 3.787 | -1.63217 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | Zm00001d026224 | 18.443 | 6.2493 | 1.56129 | 0.0052 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d045695 | 58.254 | 18.7 | -1.63958 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d045302 | 24.813 | 8.40394 | 1.56195 | $4.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d009969 | 26.411 | 8.46 | -1.64243 | 5.00E-05 | Zm00001d048710 | 29.089 | 9.80773 | 1.56847 | 0.0011 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d053545 | 1.8269 | 0.584 | -1.64449 | 0.00365 | Zm00001d009029 | 7.3154 | 2.46128 | 1.57153 | $9.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d029285 | 24.157 | 7.708 | -1.64793 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d034015 | 290.44 | 97.416 | 1.57598 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d039006 | 17.146 | 5.466 | -1.64934 | 5.00E-05 | Zm00001d005917 | 5.4224 | 1.81507 | 1.57892 | 0.00445 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d017441 | 26.888 | 8.531 | -1.65612 | 0.00055 | Zm00001d013243 | 6.0923 | 2.03704 | 1.58052 | 0.00525 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d017845 | 6.832 | 2.167 | -1.65689 | 0.0012 | Zm00001d022264 | 218.91 | 72.9393 | 1.58554 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d029651 | 3.6894 | 1.169 | -1.65756 | 0.00665 | Zm00001d017485 | 355.69 | 118.263 | 1.58862 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d027763 | 13.94 | 4.399 | -1.66417 | 0.00185 | Zm00001d049643 | 29.588 | 9.82599 | 1.59034 | 0.00045 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d029806 | 13.257 | 4.178 | -1.66584 | 0.001 | Zm00001d017841 | 31.518 | 10.4661 | 1.59046 | $6.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d013319 | 300.15 | 94.54 | -1.66675 | 5.00E-05 | Zm00001d008700 | 48.616 | 15.8501 | 1.61694 | 0.0015 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d033778 | 7.1699 | 2.254 | -1.66979 | 0.00485 | Zm00001d018282 | 28.457 | 9.26145 | 1.61946 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d029499 | 19.989 | 6.248 | -1.67775 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d044302 | 3.7624 | 1.22348 | 1.62066 | 0.0065 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d040171 | 7.1893 | 2.246 | -1.67818 | 0.0024 | Zm00001d042276 | 53.064 | 17.2317 | 1.62266 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d021420 | 17.29 | 5.397 | -1.67971 | 5.00E-05 | Zm00001d022254 | 56.222 | 18.1752 | 1.62915 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d015101 | 17.177 | 5.353 | -1.68192 | 7.00E-04 | Zm00001d045206 | 89.991 | 29.0438 | 1.63156 | 8.00E-04 |
| Zm00001d048137 | 15.379 | 4.78 | -1.68601 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d006626 | 14.83 | 4.77624 | -1.6346 | 0.00155 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d039387 | 98.95 | 30.72 | -1.6877 | 5.00E-05 | Zm00001d036255 | 26.044 | 8.37712 | 1.63642 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d048703 | 96.377 | 29.71 | -1.69787 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d044520 | 4.1476 | 1.33321 | 1.63736 | 0.0072 |



| Zm00001d021006 | 15.531 | 4.519 | -1.78103 | 0.00015 | Zm00001d027454 | 17.875 | 5.3774 | 1.73296 | 0.00475 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d006454 | 17.518 | 5.011 | -1.80563 | 5.00E-05 | Zm00001d017025 | 4.1038 | 1.23454 | 1.73299 | 0.00835 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d047582 | 14.441 | 4.124 | -1.80795 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | Zm00001d041305 | 11.856 | 3.56594 | 1.73331 | 0.00425 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d005779 | 4.479 | 1.275 | -1.81229 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d051163 | 75.736 | 22.7717 | 1.73374 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d015092 | 21.19 | 6.012 | -1.81735 | 0.00045 | Zm00001d006511 | 8.4015 | 2.52165 | 1.73627 | 0.0063 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d020238 | 26.003 | 7.374 | -1.81815 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d002939 | 9.0493 | 2.71466 | 1.73704 | $6.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d017786 | 5.5781 | 1.579 | -1.82092 | $6.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | Zm00001d045386 | 6.1101 | 1.83102 | 1.73856 | 0.0024 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d027850 | 2.1719 | 0.615 | -1.8213 | 0.00355 | Zm00001d003015 | 16.666 | 4.97474 | 1.74423 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d027593 | 11.043 | 3.119 | -1.82406 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d048021 | 58.413 | 17.4111 | 1.74629 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d027987 | 5.4174 | 1.525 | -1.82861 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d041662 | 42.742 | 12.7184 | 1.74873 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d006627 | 1.6801 | 0.471 | -1.83576 | 0.00835 | Zm00001d051553 | 2.0499 | 0.6088 | -1.7515 | 0.0015 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d052103 | 21.529 | 6.026 | -1.83709 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d017288 | 83.373 | 24.7324 | 1.75318 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d032283 | 7.9459 | 2.223 | -1.83778 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | Zm00001d034991 | 15.784 | 4.67575 | 1.75516 | 0.0074 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d032613 | 5.5901 | 1.562 | -1.83964 | 0.00065 | Zm00001d023596 | 15.467 | 4.5281 | 1.77221 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d027732 | 5.8421 | 1.632 | -1.84016 | 0.00065 | Zm00001d015091 | 172.19 | 50.2899 | 1.77565 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 侕 |  |
| Zm00001d028725 | 60.817 | 16.98 | -1.84089 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d035881 | 8.8705 | 2.59056 | 1.77575 | 0.00435 |
| Zm00001d026413 | 24.396 | 6.8 | -1.84296 | $7.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | Zm00001d027619 | 9.5965 | 2.79279 | -1.7808 | 0.00175 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d036986 | 21.274 | 5.893 | -1.85201 | 5.00E-05 | Zm00001d031427 | 3.6633 | 1.06161 | 1.78689 | 0.00175 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d017886 | 2.3788 | 0.658 | -1.85339 | 0.0031 | Zm00001d002584 | 16.24 | 4.6777 | 1.79571 | 0.0023 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d028751 | 28.45 | 7.847 | -1.85817 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d017719 | 31.157 | 8.92442 | 1.80374 | 0.00105 |
| Zm00001d047723 | 31.209 | 8.597 | -1.86008 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d027727 | 25.537 | 7.27445 | - | 0.00105 |


| Zm00001d037248 | 4.6871 | 1.289 | -1.8626 | 0.0029 | Zm00001d027749 | 36.994 | 10.506 | 1.81609 | 5.00E-05 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d027946 | 8.5995 | 2.363 | -1.86338 | $6.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | Zm00001d052673 | 8.0778 | 2.28931 | 1.81905 | 0.0016 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d006659 | 20.181 | 5.522 | -1.86987 | 5.00E-05 | Zm00001d016982 | 7.07 | 1.99528 | 1.82512 | 0.00275 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d017199 | 8.4322 | 2.299 | -1.87475 | 0.00215 | Zm00001d038125 | 4.7327 | 1.33544 | 1.82533 | 0.00815 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d030339 | 18.185 | 4.958 | -1.8749 | 0.0058 | Zm00001d028243 | 3.0321 | 0.85162 | 1.83203 | 0.00835 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d007045 | 3.1783 | 0.866 | $-1.87621$ | 0.00175 | Zm00001d007718 | 209.83 | 58.8343 | 1.83445 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d034621 | 114.2 | 31.07 | $-1.87803$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d018696 | 12.941 | 3.57893 | 1.85429 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d027456 | 13.6 | 3.69 | -1.88203 | 0.0052 | Zm00001d011314 | 29.39 | 8.1054 | 1.85836 | 0.00455 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d038564 | 6.3313 | 1.716 | $-1.88374$ | 0.00085 | Zm00001d032608 | 8.1698 | 2.25097 | 1.85976 | 0.0033 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d052793 | 2.3577 | 0.637 | -1.88906 | 0.0046 | Zm00001d048787 | 338.42 | 93.1447 | 1.86128 | 5.00E-05 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d012167 | 25.863 | 6.981 | -1.8893 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d046330 | 2.5102 | 0.6908 | 1.86144 | 0.00175 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d034096 | 4.1693 | 1.114 | -1.90403 | 0.00375 | Zm00001d022569 | 17.109 | 4.70624 | 1.86208 | 0.0022 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d007345 | 9.2724 | 2.442 | -1.92509 | 0.00055 | Zm00001d030345 | 5.5346 | 1.51766 | 1.86662 | 0.00585 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d049288 | 11.601 | 3.052 | -1.92633 | 7.00E-04 | Zm00001d034547 | 10.402 | 2.84501 | 1.87033 | 8.00E-04 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d029913 | 35.164 | 9.218 | -1.93162 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d024386 | 56.288 | 15.3904 | 1.87081 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d036839 | 74.71 | 19.52 | -1.93655 | 5.00E-05 | Zm00001d003064 | 13.716 | 3.74142 | 1.87415 | 0.0053 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d005148 | 13.672 | 3.562 | -1.94061 | 5.00E-05 | Zm00001d051543 | 60.127 | 16.293 | 1.88375 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d041725 | 107.37 | 27.96 | -1.94105 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d051102 | 7.4527 | 2.01375 | 1.88787 | 0.00425 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d007231 | 3.2526 | 0.846 | -1.9437 | 0.00645 | Zm00001d005543 | 30.381 | 8.18914 | 1.89139 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d053210 | 5.9846 | 1.548 | -1.95051 | 0.00315 | Zm00001d005421 | 53.531 | 14.4224 | - | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |


| Zm00001d043232 | 11.628 | 2.992 | -1.95863 | 0.001 | Zm00001d008266 | 11.54 | 3.10227 | 1.89524 | 0.00475 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zm00001d022088 | 19.14 | 4.917 | -1.96088 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d026712 | 31.466 | 8.38385 | - 1.90809 | 5.00E-05 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d024208 | 2.9755 | 0.763 | -1.96243 | $6.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | Zm00001d002801 | 5.038 | 1.33952 | 1.91113 | 0.0024 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d027938 | 19.19 | 4.915 | -1.96508 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d010451 | 6.5784 | 1.73002 | 1.92695 | 0.00665 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d020371 | 7.1473 | 1.828 | -1.96688 | 0.00305 | Zm00001d048843 | 9.0171 | 2.36418 | 1.93133 | 0.00435 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d032888 | 12.304 | 3.134 | -1.9731 | 0.00115 | Zm00001d013489 | 11.483 | 3.00814 | 1.93252 | 5.00E-04 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d048837 | 11.37 | 2.893 | -1.97436 | 0.00015 | Zm00001d031168 | 1399.3 | 363.923 | 1.94297 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d043921 | 7.9713 | 2.022 | -1.97876 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | Zm00001d009556 | 19.767 | 5.13425 | 1.94487 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d037941 | 11.176 | 2.834 | -1.97937 | 0.00075 | Zm00001d003671 | 4.0027 | 1.03591 | 1.95009 | 0.00515 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d027330 | 82.836 | 20.98 | -1.98139 | 5.00E-05 | Zm00001d042636 | 7.0323 | 1.81451 | 1.95441 | 0.00225 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d038878 | 6.0106 | 1.519 | -1.98415 | 0.00415 | Zm00001d018966 | 15.844 | 4.07285 | 1.95978 | 0.0049 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d017380 | 3.6552 | 0.924 | -1.98416 | 0.00165 | Zm00001d051896 | 19.333 | 4.96441 | 1.96135 | 0.0018 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d014965 | 23.457 | 5.901 | -1.99112 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d018461 | 51.561 | 13.2211 | 1.96344 | 5.00E-05 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d046897 | 6.7447 | 1.685 | -2.00073 | 0.004 | Zm00001d032570 | 25.809 | 6.56341 | 1.97536 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d012604 | 5.1892 | 1.296 | -2.002 | 0.0015 | Zm00001d043174 | 11.701 | 2.96883 | -1.9786 | 0.00045 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d025338 | 81.804 | 20.4 | -2.00381 | 5.00E-05 | Zm00001d045368 | 57.079 | 14.4651 | 1.98037 | 5.00E-05 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d009103 | 28.072 | 6.995 | -2.00461 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d045036 | 20.536 | 5.16208 | 1.99214 | 0.00045 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d026012 | 9.0118 | 2.244 | -2.00575 | 8.00E-04 | Zm00001d028696 | 5.2071 | 1.30273 | 1.99893 | 0.0057 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d028967 | 9.532 | 2.371 | -2.00743 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d017840 | 133.59 | 33.4001 | 1.99986 | 5.00E-05 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d042813 | 4.1966 | 1.02 | -2.04076 | 0.0052 | Zm00001d013108 | 24.088 | 6.02098 | 2.00022 | 5.00E-05 |




| Zm00001d010948 | 6.1556 | 1.18 | -2.38301 | 0.00055 | Zm00001d028555 | 238.64 | 46.8184 | 2.34968 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zm00001d042901 | 23.009 | 4.398 | -2.38715 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d041246 | 78.929 | 15.4233 | 2.35545 | $6.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d008230 | 6.6021 | 1.258 | -2.39172 | 5.00E-05 | Zm00001d043276 | 9.912 | 1.91775 | 2.36976 | 0.0017 |
| Zm00001d039004 | 8.1446 | 1.53 | -2.41209 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | Zm00001d018298 | 64.793 | 12.5282 | 2.37065 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d004208 | 21.638 | 4.044 | -2.41957 | 0.00185 | Zm00001d021757 | 4.4704 | 0.84538 | 2.40272 | 0.00635 |
| Zm00001d051548 | 1.6533 | 0.307 | -2.43115 | 0.00675 | Zm00001d014722 | 30.393 | 5.74689 | -2.4029 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d043728 | 9.6567 | 1.774 | -2.44452 | 0.00035 | Zm00001d028797 | 6.6867 | 1.24633 | 2.42359 | 0.00105 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d025081 | 14.38 | 2.619 | -2.45721 | $3.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | Zm00001d022669 | 10.533 | 1.94461 | 2.43737 | 0.00475 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d038355 | 2.7743 | 0.496 | -2.48334 | 0.001 | Zm00001d043205 | 16.253 | 2.99489 | 2.44016 | 0.0041 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d046246 | 1.6756 | 0.299 | -2.4853 | 0.0068 | Zm00001d023213 | 21.347 | 3.91644 | 2.44644 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d018097 | 12.882 | 2.273 | -2.50287 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d041670 | 23.547 | 4.31664 | 2.44756 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d037359 | 58.258 | 10.25 | -2.50709 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d011650 | 18.053 | 3.28487 | 2.45834 | 0.00145 |
| Zm00001d053799 | 5.6351 | 0.99 | -2.50876 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d028931 | 16.182 | 2.92416 | -2.4683 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d047276 | 104.84 | 18.42 | -2.5089 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d002958 | 14.049 | 2.51834 | 2.47993 | $3.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d012221 | 17.319 | 3.03 | -2.51478 | 5.00E-05 | Zm00001d001802 | 13.584 | 2.43212 | 2.48165 | 0.0036 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d025803 | 15.396 | 2.659 | -2.53351 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d020903 | 44.289 | 7.90828 | 2.48551 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d041315 | 2.782 | 0.478 | -2.5412 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | Zm00001d045478 | 17.935 | 3.16579 | 2.50214 | 0.00565 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d039770 | 38.555 | 6.541 | -2.55922 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d038226 | 9.447 | 1.65969 | 2.50894 | 0.00025 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d018229 | 19.775 | 3.342 | -2.56482 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d040075 | 4.7191 | 0.8271 | 2.51238 | 0.00105 |
| Zm00001d042864 | 19.215 | 3.196 | -2.58807 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | Zm00001d008545 | 52.52 | 9.20162 | -2.5129 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d032744 | 2.2075 | 0.367 | -2.59032 | 0.0032 | Zm00001d031769 | 4.2275 | 0.72739 | 2.53902 | 0.00575 |


| Zm00001d007765 | 7.8709 | 1.293 | -2.60628 | 0.00035 | Zm00001d028689 | 3.6817 | 0.63333 | 2.53932 | 0.00595 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zm00001d042814 | 4.5347 | 0.745 | -2.60643 | 0.0023 | Zm00001d007842 | 1.7506 | 0.29303 | -2.5787 | 0.0013 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d012789 | 4.9856 | 0.812 | -2.61813 | 0.00725 | Zm00001d018335 | 6.7596 | 1.12105 | 2.59209 | 0.0023 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d048608 | 5.6428 | 0.913 | -2.62849 | 0.00085 | Zm00001d024885 | 19.693 | 3.25786 | 2.59569 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d024630 | 11.811 | 1.905 | -2.632 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d033987 | 27.514 | 4.55089 | 2.59595 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d044111 | 6.2112 | 0.998 | -2.6374 | $4.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | Zm00001d019994 | 14.259 | 2.35007 | 2.60104 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d052683 | 38.339 | 6.153 | -2.6395 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d026500 | 6.5473 | 1.07744 | 2.60328 | 0.00745 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d038599 | 9.5551 | 1.518 | -2.65383 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | Zm00001d017557 | 42.117 | 6.8824 | 2.61342 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d038761 | 6.1373 | 0.971 | -2.66016 | 0.00535 | Zm00001d011787 | 6.8738 | 1.10917 | 2.63163 | 0.00445 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d047553 | 11.423 | 1.772 | -2.68878 | 0.00575 | Zm00001d037228 | 3.6171 | 0.58122 | 2.63768 | 0.0087 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d028862 | 13.156 | 2.038 | -2.69077 | $6.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | Zm00001d037384 | 39.722 | 6.34618 | 2.64598 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d007132 | 2.0793 | 0.317 | -2.71459 | 0.0087 | Zm00001d032083 | 4.2565 | 0.661 | 2.68695 | 0.0036 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d019669 | 22.246 | 3.376 | -2.7204 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d012420 | 320.25 | 49.6113 | 2.69043 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d026374 | 4.6797 | 0.706 | $-2.72911$ | 5.00E-05 | Zm00001d033794 | 4.2811 | 0.66064 | 2.69603 | 0.0011 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d052333 | 7.0435 | 1.053 | -2.74166 | 8.00E-04 | Zm00001d039650 | 2.1729 | 0.3322 | 2.70949 | 0.00535 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d007267 | 2.7174 | 0.405 | -2.74619 | 0.0022 | Zm00001d039240 | 11.205 | 1.70239 | 2.71848 | 0.004 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d027924 | 6.9406 | 1.011 | -2.77975 | 0.0017 | Zm00001d044664 | 56.351 | 8.55382 | 2.71979 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d045392 | 81.646 | 11.85 | $-2.78476$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d041236 | 10.55 | 1.58507 | 2.73468 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d026632 | 11.432 | 1.626 | -2.81399 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d039908 | 58.335 | 8.74344 | 2.73808 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d052684 | 48.824 | 6.888 | -2.82534 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d033872 | 2.0677 | 0.30438 | 2.76408 | 0.0075 |
| Zm00001d013022 | 3.55 | 0.493 | -2.84699 | 0.00815 | Zm00001d003581 | 5.5285 | 0.81261 | - | 0.00785 |


| Zm00001d012983 | 4.8356 | 0.671 | -2.84957 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | Zm00001d020954 | 12.957 | 2.76627 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.8971 | -2.7719 | 0.00295 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d006449 | 4.5947 | 0.625 | -2.8788 | 0.0012 | Zm00001d010529 | 101.15 | 14.7473 | 2.77799 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d031270 | 6.0959 | 0.829 | -2.87895 | 0.00165 | Zm00001d024875 | 14.69 | 2.12752 | 2.78757 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d049387 | 5.3852 | 0.73 | $-2.88283$ | 0.0032 | Zm00001d036439 | 15.212 | 2.16097 | 2.81541 | 0.00165 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d001826 | 9.4562 | 1.192 | -2.98802 | 0.00125 | Zm00001d039542 | 16.75 | 2.37248 | 2.81973 | 0.00065 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d028451 | 2.9762 | 0.352 | -3.07822 | 0.00665 | Zm00001d042922 | 100.47 | 14.2275 | 2.82004 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d017209 | 2.1672 | 0.248 | -3.12595 | 0.0013 | Zm00001d030028 | 9.6028 | 1.3382 | 2.84317 | $7.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d051788 | 5.3231 | 0.592 | -3.16915 | 0.0039 | Zm00001d049201 | 9.5024 | 1.30921 | 2.85959 | 0.00125 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d028561 | 35.515 | 3.196 | -3.47421 | 5.00E-05 | Zm00001d052978 | 11.85 | 1.62492 | 2.86647 | 0.0026 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d048998 | 5.0389 | 0.396 | -3.66897 | 0.00075 | Zm00001d052104 | 6.6284 | 0.90188 | 2.87764 | 0.00395 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d045391 | 11.722 | 0.819 | -3.83952 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | Zm00001d047339 | 4.3907 | 0.59534 | 2.88266 | 0.00435 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d028541 | 12.485 | 0.859 | -3.86061 | 0.0034 | Zm00001d018350 | 9.3006 | 1.21689 | 2.93413 | 0.0036 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d020717 | 4.9446 | 0.334 | -3.88898 | 0.00085 | Zm00001d047639 | 22.443 | 2.9259 | 2.93931 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d016605 | 19.679 | 1.322 | -3.89639 | 0.00225 | Zm00001d046029 | 5.2458 | 0.67835 | 2.95106 | $9.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d002590 | 10.249 | 0.657 | -3.96278 | 0.0086 | Zm00001d049303 | 5.4432 | 0.70215 | 2.95461 | 0.0069 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d039933 | 37.662 | 2.244 | -4.06924 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d010588 | 8.7547 | 1.07795 | 3.02176 | 0.0056 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Zm00001d005715 | 7.876 | 0.96079 | 3.03517 | 0.0023 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Genes downregulated in the susceptible genotype |  |  |  |  | Zm00001d017455 | 12.278 | 1.48042 | 3.05204 | 0.0035 |
|  |  |  | $\log _{2}$ fold |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Gene id | SAI | SAU | change | p value | Zm00001d009700 | 35.643 | 4.21817 | 3.07891 | 7.00E-04 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d021581 | 0 | 1.472 | Inf | $6.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | Zm00001d032295 | 15.268 | 1.7988 | 3.08539 | 0.0081 |


| Zm00001d026984 | 0 | 2.879 | Inf | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d040457 | 36.275 | 4.19108 | 3.11359 | 0.00155 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d003485 | 0 | 3.681 | Inf | 0.0017 | Zm00001d007604 | 40.065 | 4.57995 | 3.12893 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d027498 | 0 | 4.357 | Inf | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d020958 | 44.177 | 4.74718 | 3.21817 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d039791 | 0 | 4.617 | Inf | 0.00145 | Zm00001d034356 | 24.209 | 2.55932 | 3.24168 | 0.0034 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d019137 | 27.282 | 1256 | 5.52422 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d022420 | 17.006 | 1.7776 | 3.25805 | 0.00655 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d044387 | 0.2456 | 7.929 | 5.01259 | 0.00655 | Zm00001d024379 | 30.134 | 3.12379 | 3.27002 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d022883 | 4.7325 | 115.1 | 4.60424 | $9.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | Zm00001d035562 | 35.203 | 3.25971 | 3.43288 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d002675 | 0.5643 | 10.99 | 4.28358 | 0.00145 | Zm00001d028998 | 43.265 | 3.79872 | 3.50961 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d036530 | 0.1895 | 2.966 | 3.9683 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d007161 | 22.424 | 1.96615 | 3.51157 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d047441 | 1.2018 | 15.64 | 3.70164 | 0.00015 | Zm00001d043929 | 24.914 | 2.16408 | 3.52512 | 0.0056 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d011656 | 0.8396 | 10.67 | 3.66743 | 0.0048 | Zm00001d028888 | 96.164 | 7.99829 | 3.58774 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d048416 | 0.7173 | 8.291 | 3.53077 | 0.00155 | Zm00001d011080 | 178.05 | 14.4878 | 3.61935 | 0.003 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d019615 | 0.4439 | 5.023 | 3.50021 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | Zm00001d028887 | 106.98 | 8.58215 | 3.63991 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Zm00001d047656 | 1.2817 | 13.45 | 3.39202 | 0.0013 | Zm00001d039010 | 38.565 | 2.90374 | 3.73131 | 0.0016 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d029183 | 0.3966 | 4.084 | 3.36403 | 0.0012 | Zm00001d038806 | 63.785 | 4.39918 | 3.85792 | 5.00E-05 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d014099 | 0.2388 | 2.423 | 3.3428 | 0.00255 | Zm00001d009567 | 49.311 | 3.18419 | 3.95291 | 0.00025 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d027932 | 5.3637 | 44.45 | 3.05087 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d020339 | 8.2147 | 0.5075 | 4.01674 | 0.0068 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d018209 | 0.9751 | 7.831 | 3.00566 | 0.0043 | Zm00001d047651 | 28.881 | 1.6972 | 4.08891 | 0.00025 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d029722 | 0.8684 | 6.927 | 2.99575 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d026700 | 65.044 | 1.94806 | 5.06131 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d004721 | 1.2743 | 10.16 | 2.99498 | 0.00155 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d051167 | 0.6101 | 4.803 | 2.97686 | 0.0027 | Genes downregulate | d in the r | esistant gen | notype |  |


| Zm00001d032909 | 0.3045 | 2.387 | 2.97085 | 0.0074 | Gene id | RAI | RAU | change | p value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zm00001d025354 | 3.7343 | 28.8 | 2.9473 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d004921 | 1.3578 | 12.9133 | 3.24957 | 0.00045 |
| Zm00001d018161 | 4.819 | 36.66 | 2.92732 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d013244 | 0.8982 | 7.76733 | 3.11237 | 0.00245 |
| Zm00001d052915 | 8.9747 | 67.02 | 2.90062 | 0.00835 | Zm00001d028816 | 7.4676 | 64.2046 | 3.10395 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d039487 | 0.7382 | 5.421 | 2.87642 | 0.00195 | Zm00001d035854 | 1.361 | 10.1849 | 2.90365 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d047231 | 4.7718 | 34.3 | 2.84542 | 0.0016 | Zm00001d049113 | 0.3781 | 2.7457 | 2.86025 | 0.00715 |
| Zm00001d027700 | 2.8879 | 20.01 | 2.79266 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d026542 | 0.7963 | 5.27 | 2.7265 | $4.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| Zm00001d011393 | 0.448 | 3.064 | 2.77376 | 0.0012 | Zm00001d006947 | 1.1855 | 7.43205 | 2.64829 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| Zm00001d031940 | 8.0875 | 54.44 | 2.751 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d028349 | 1.1337 | 7.07862 | 2.64248 | 0.00165 |
| Zm00001d053320 | 0.9432 | 6.238 | 2.72559 | 0.0059 | Zm00001d037198 | 0.4481 | 2.22001 | 2.30868 | 0.0013 |
| Zm00001d051945 | 2.2364 | 14.53 | 2.69969 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d021425 | 0.3678 | 1.68137 | 2.19276 | 0.00335 |
| Zm00001d024522 | 7.2566 | 46.46 | 2.6785 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d025015 | 24.858 | 108.491 | 2.12578 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d012641 | 1.2857 | 8.189 | 2.67109 | 0.0049 | Zm00001d004331 | 8.3261 | 35.2781 | 2.08307 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d026156 | 0.5964 | 3.754 | 2.65416 | 0.00095 | Zm00001d011644 | 1.6596 | 6.98312 | 2.07308 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d050674 | 0.7975 | 4.972 | 2.64011 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-0$ | Zm00001d020340 | 2.5806 | 10.5277 | 2.02844 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| Zm00001d041458 | 2.834 | 16.59 | 2.54948 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d018037 | 5.3552 | 21.6245 | 2.01367 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d048644 | 0.7233 | 4.16 | 2.5248 | 0.0012 | Zm00001d049435 | 0.8547 | 3.44462 | 2.01081 | 0.0039 |
| Zm00001d054060 | 8.7124 | 49.83 | 2.51587 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d053706 | 5.9089 | 23.0099 | 1.96129 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d002543 | 5.5053 | 30.09 | 2.45055 | 0.0036 | Zm00001d029373 | 3.111 | 11.5665 | 1.89452 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d010655 | 1.9496 | 9.768 | 2.32488 | $6.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | Zm00001d045295 | 3.7586 | 13.8 | 1.8764 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d049987 | 4.2415 | 20.98 | 2.30628 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d033071 | 0.8967 | 3.23387 | 1.85055 | 0.0014 |
| Zm00001d054057 | 6.1139 | 29.94 | 2.29188 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d016601 | 0.4887 | 1.73989 | 1.83205 | 0.0022 |
| Zm00001d052269 | 3.8313 | 18.49 | 2.27098 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d037182 | 1.2564 | 4.43893 | 1.82095 | 0.0039 |
| Zm00001d033413 | 3.0027 | 14.35 | 2.25668 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | Zm00001d031926 | 1.2288 | 4.11994 | 1.74541 | 0.0057 |
| Zm00001d001987 | 1.5978 | 7.59 | 2.24796 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d005687 | 16.276 | 54.2106 | 1.73585 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d027900 | 6.8578 | 32.54 | 2.24635 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d029842 | 6.8249 | 22.6972 | 1.73364 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d012394 | 0.6789 | 3.188 | 2.23138 | 0.00615 | Zm00001d020521 | 0.7699 | 2.55343 | 1.72978 | 0.0031 |
| Zm00001d003555 | 1.7691 | 8.068 | 2.18926 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d031315 | 2.4512 | 8.12672 | 1.72921 | 0.00015 |
| Zm00001d002035 | 7.381 | 33.32 | 2.17441 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d008548 | 43.933 | 144.948 | 1.72217 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d021695 | 5.8423 | 26.13 | 2.1613 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d011610 | 36.437 | 119.613 | 1.7149 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d044596 | 2.2213 | 9.831 | 2.1459 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | Zm00001d028445 | 8.6626 | 28.1943 | 1.70253 | 0.00015 |
| Zm00001d048099 | 2.9404 | 12.93 | 2.13674 | 0.001 | Zm00001d025984 | 8.3148 | 26.9709 | 1.69765 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d053163 | 2.8122 | 12.35 | 2.13472 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d028814 | 6.7776 | 21.9794 | 1.69731 | $3.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| Zm00001d050682 | 3.5944 | 15.63 | 2.12076 | 0.00065 | Zm00001d032724 | 1.8861 | 6.10704 | 1.69509 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d020069 | 4.0466 | 17.45 | 2.10834 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d019497 | 10.991 | 35.4984 | 1.69138 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d007175 | 0.6291 | 2.692 | 2.09746 | 0.0025 | Zm00001d020400 | 2.8121 | 9.05964 | 1.68781 | 0.00555 |
| Zm00001d040308 | 12.781 | 54.47 | 2.09147 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d047110 | 13.347 | 42.9305 | 1.68549 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d040545 | 1.5972 | 6.791 | 2.0882 | 0.00615 | Zm00001d049436 | 3.1596 | 10.0721 | 1.67254 | 0.00055 |


| Zm00001d024667 | 0.9427 | 4.004 | 2.08668 | 0.00165 | Zm00001d010662 | 6.1493 | 19.5763 | 1.6706 | 0.00015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zm00001d009899 | 5.7709 | 24.25 | 2.07123 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d037766 | 1.026 | 3.25237 | 1.66451 | 0.00775 |
| Zm00001d039698 | 1.3038 | 5.448 | 2.06289 | 0.00085 | Zm00001d038460 | 71.418 | 226.201 | 1.66325 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d053746 | 9.5073 | 38.54 | 2.01935 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d042851 | 6.6875 | 21.1692 | 1.66242 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d041657 | 8.4412 | 33.85 | 2.00346 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d053156 | 3.5126 | 11.0763 | 1.65687 | 0.0011 |
| Zm00001d033543 | 4.7927 | 19.2 | 2.00216 | 0.00455 | Zm00001d004401 | 3.0606 | 9.5469 | 1.64121 | 0.00105 |
| Zm00001d035214 | 1.3621 | 5.422 | 1.99304 | 0.0034 | Zm00001d052435 | 5.3666 | 16.4452 | 1.61558 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d030172 | 0.6695 | 2.64 | 1.97954 | 0.00345 | Zm00001d041590 | 12.941 | 39.2386 | 1.60032 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d035756 | 8.153 | 31.94 | 1.97009 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | Zm00001d045097 | 1.8198 | 5.48035 | 1.59046 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d039695 | 3.2087 | 12.56 | 1.96868 | 0.00015 | Zm00001d038453 | 65.861 | 198.029 | 1.58823 | 0.00035 |
| Zm00001d013370 | 1.854 | 7.244 | 1.96624 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | Zm00001d044442 | 13.057 | 39.2366 | 1.58737 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d017570 | 6.4319 | 24.97 | 1.95708 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d011789 | 27.084 | 80.4619 | 1.57088 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d019044 | 1.3994 | 5.383 | 1.94364 | 0.0027 | Zm00001d030222 | 9.0628 | 26.8937 | 1.56924 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d028260 | 117.51 | 448.9 | 1.93349 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d029546 | 130.03 | 385.595 | 1.5682 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d032000 | 2.5962 | 9.858 | 1.92494 | 0.0018 | Zm00001d002167 | 2.9319 | 8.54336 | 1.54297 | 0.00035 |
| Zm00001d028367 | 4.9595 | 18.51 | 1.90032 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Zm00001d044138 | 12.286 | 35.0478 | 1.51231 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d006933 | 3.3316 | 12.3 | 1.88407 | 0.00125 | Zm00001d017386 | 1.8407 | 5.21566 | 1.5026 | 0.0047 |
| Zm00001d043731 | 2.0935 | 7.683 | 1.87574 | 0.0014 | Zm00001d012544 | 1.8758 | 5.28817 | 1.49523 | 0.00295 |
| Zm00001d040621 | 0.8771 | 3.207 | 1.87034 | 0.0057 | Zm00001d020134 | 2.3788 | 6.66849 | 1.48711 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| Zm00001d014244 | 2.2477 | 8.182 | 1.86403 | 0.0027 | Zm00001d018603 | 3.2667 | 9.10739 | 1.47922 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| Zm00001d014555 | 1.3205 | 4.77 | 1.85287 | 0.00385 | Zm00001d018064 | 2.2276 | 6.20696 | 1.47843 | 0.0031 |
| Zm00001d017571 | 4.7911 | 17.28 | 1.85046 | 0.00025 | Zm00001d053293 | 6.8614 | 19.1035 | 1.47726 | 0.00025 |
| Zm00001d021988 | 1.9832 | 7.147 | 1.84945 | 0.0057 | Zm00001d027415 | 1.1616 | 3.18875 | 1.45683 | 0.00165 |
| Zm00001d047579 | 2.2917 | 8.238 | 1.84585 | 0.0011 | Zm00001d023392 | 0.9585 | 2.60983 | 1.44518 | 0.00025 |
| Zm00001d001139 | 173.57 | 622.1 | 1.8416 | 0.00015 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d024220 | 1.1434 | 4.088 | 1.83793 | 0.00285 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d044738 | 1.0821 | 3.865 | 1.83659 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d005823 | 2.3534 | 8.399 | 1.83537 | 0.00105 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d021093 | 3.0804 | 10.98 | 1.83334 | 0.002 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d003995 | 0.9217 | 3.237 | 1.81212 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d053684 | 5.3137 | 18.64 | 1.81054 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d011847 | 2.6812 | 9.235 | 1.78423 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d026873 | 3.3694 | 11.52 | 1.774 | 0.00065 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d008587 | 4.9062 | 16.78 | 1.77385 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d033566 | 1.0097 | 3.444 | 1.77016 | 0.00255 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d031813 | 3.4267 | 11.64 | 1.76417 | $9.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d011473 | 1.1711 | 3.974 | 1.76266 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d038382 | 4.0447 | 13.71 | 1.76137 | 0.0042 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d053304 | 0.6237 | 2.087 | 1.7426 | 0.0068 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zm00001d044541 | 3.956 | 13.11 | 1.72904 | 0.0026 |  |  |  |  |  |


| Zm00001d037057 | 1.2325 | 4.084 | 1.72851 | 0.00075 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zm00001d041611 | 24.076 | 78.9 | 1.71286 | 0.00305 |
| Zm00001d035753 | 3.811 | 12.49 | 1.7126 | 0.00275 |
| Zm00001d034421 | 0.7406 | 2.391 | 1.69098 | 0.0051 |
| Zm00001d052443 | 5.9964 | 19.2 | 1.682 | 0.00135 |
| Zm00001d021653 | 9.0098 | 28.5 | 1.66189 | 0.00015 |
| Zm00001d032822 | 3.5067 | 10. | 1.63 | 0.00695 |
| Zm00001d012229 | 6.1682 | 19.1 | 1.63058 | 0.00025 |
| Zm00001d040755 | 2.074 | 6.414 | 1.62874 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| Zm00001d044095 | 14.415 | 44.04 | 1.61135 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d025011 | 10.071 | 30.69 | 1.60775 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d025141 | 4.8491 | 14.69 | 1.59893 | $6.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| Zm00001d023424 | 1.9182 | 5.7 | 1.58272 | 0.00165 |
| Zm00001d028744 | 4.6607 | 13.96 | 1.58244 | 0.00065 |
| Zm00001d030347 | 1.202 | 3.58 | 1.57501 | 0.00855 |
| Zm00001d030121 | 1.4876 | 4.419 | 1.57063 | 0.0019 |
| Zm00001d02 | 3.2 | 9.6 | 1.55515 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d027855 | 9.38 | 27.28 | 1.54022 | $8.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| Zm00001d013066 | 13.903 | 40. | 1.53282 | 0.00055 |
| Zm00001d038297 | 3.0263 | 8.744 | 1.53081 | 0.0044 |
| Zm00001d044153 | 4.9342 | 14.2 | 1.52531 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| Zm00001d033286 | 9.8203 | 28.14 | 1.51887 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d027423 | 5.0483 | 14.45 | 1.51704 | 0.003 |
| Zm00001d021702 | 2.5029 | 7.126 | 1.50948 | 0.0016 |
| Zm00001d012797 | 2.133 | 6.006 | 1.49356 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d010736 | 5.0106 | 14.06 | 1.48855 | 0.00295 |
| Zm00001d010375 | 2.3954 | 6.717 | 1.48751 | 0.00445 |
| Zm00001d038908 | 1.5971 | 4.477 | 1.48703 | 0.0061 |
| Zm00001d010172 | 7.231 | 20.23 | 1.48421 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| Zm00001d015515 | 19.867 | 55.24 | 1.47539 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Zm00001d031344 | 53.527 | 148.6 | 1.47286 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| Zm00001d022228 | 8.1164 | 22.49 | 1.47021 | 0.00365 |
| Zm00001d004509 | 3.1137 | 8.615 | 1.46828 | 0.00395 |
| Zm00001d006306 | 2.6283 | 7.26 | 1.4659 | 0.00555 |
| Zm00001d031958 | 5.2369 | 14.4 | 1.45928 | 0.00015 |
| Zm00001d008600 | 3.6634 | 10.06 | 1.4569 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| Zm00001d007687 | 8.5464 | 23.33 | 1.44886 | 0.002 |


[^0]:    * $=$ Significant at $\alpha_{0.05}$

